

LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION ACCOUNTING FOR WATER YEAR 1983

Prepared by

THE NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS PLANNING COMMISSION

For

THE ILLINOIS DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

November, 1985

R E C E I V E D
NOV 15 1985
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES
BUREAU OF RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Introduction
- A. Budgets
- B. The Accounting Report
- II. Problems Encountered
 - A. Record at Lockport
 - B. Water Budgets at MSDGC Treatment Plants
 - C. Upper Des Plaines Pumping Station
 - D. Precipitation Gages
 - E. O'Hare Treatment Plant Watershed Transfers
 - F. Summit Conduit
- III. Sewer Induced Groundwater Pumpage
- IV. Diversion Accounting Report Results for Water Year 1988
 - A. Column 1 The Record at Lockport
 - B. Column 2 Diversions Above Lockport Gage
 - C. Column 3 Total Flow Through the Canal
 - D. Column 4 Groundwater Pumpage From the Lake Michigan Watershed in Illinois Reaching the Canal
 - E. Column 5 Groundwater Pumpage from the Des Plaines Watershed Reaching the Canal
 - F. Column 6 Water Supply Pumpage from Indiana Reaching the Canal
 - G. Columns 7 & 9 Sewer Induced Groundwater Pumpage
 - H. Column 8 Runoff from the Des Plaines Watershed Reaching the Canal
 - I. Column 10 Total Deductions
 - J. Column 11 Domestic Pumpage from Lake Michigan Discharged to the Canal, with Adjustments
 - K. Column 12 Total Diversion
 - L. Column 13-15 Lake Michigan Water Supply Pumpage, Stormwater Runoff, and Direct Dive at Lake Controlling Structures
- V. Conclusions
- VI Recommendations
- REFERENCES
- APPENDIX - Monthly Accounting Reports
- TABLES
 - Table 1: Water Budgets
 - Table 2: Diversion Accounting Report for Water Year 1988
 - Table 3: MSDGC Hydraulic Report Column Headings
 - Table 4: Summary of Flow Components Above Lockport

LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION ACCOUNTING FOR WATER YEAR 1983

I. Introduction

The 1983 water year accounting for the State of Illinois' diversion of Lake Michigan water is the result of a major effort by the state to improve the accounting procedure. Previous accounting procedures had relied on estimation techniques which met the directives of the U.S. Supreme Court decree but did not attempt to cross check measured and estimated values. The new accounting procedure also meets the directives of the U.S. Supreme Court decree and at the same time, through a system of water budgets, checks whether the water entering key points in the diverted watershed system balances with the total water leaving those points.

A. Budgets

A total of 13 water budgets were prepared using both measured and estimated data, the latter obtained from simulation of the hydrologic response of the major sewer systems and ungaged watersheds. The water budgets are the starting point for the analysis of data collected to prepare the diversion accounting report. These budgets are discussed in detail in the Lake Michigan Diversion Accounting Manual of Procedures (NIPC, 1985). In balancing against the most important flow budget, Lockport Powerhouse and Controlling Works, over 85 percent of the flow data was measured and less than 15 percent estimated.

Table 1 shows the budgets used in the new accounting procedure. Budgets 1 through 3 are not true budgets, in the sense that inputs are measured against outputs, but rather are summations of critical water supply pumpage data by user. Further, Budgets 4,9,10, and 11 do not independently balance inputs versus outputs. These budgets are used to estimate stormwater runoff at stream gages by subtracting sanitary and point source flow from the streamflow record. Budgets 5,6,7,8,12 and 13 compare measured and estimated inputs against measured output. At the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago (MSDG) treatment plants (Budgets 5,7,8 and 12) this is actually a balancing of estimated inputs versus measured inputs to the treatment plants, since plant effluent is not measured.

Table 1: Water Budgets

No.	Name	Tributary to Nos.
1	Lake Michigan Water Supply	4-11, 13
2	Groundwater Supply Lake Michigan Watershed	4-11, 13
3	Groundwater Supply Des Plaines Watershed	5-8, 12, 1
4	North Branch Chicago River at Touhy Avenue	13
5	Northside Treatment Plant	13
6	Upper Des Plaines Pumping Station	7
7	West-Southwest Treatment Plant	13
8	Calumet Treatment Plant	13
9	Little Calumet River at State Line	11
10	Thorn Creek at Thornton	11
11	Little Calumet River at South Holland	13
12	Lemont Treatment Plant	13
13	Lockport Powerhouse and Controlling Works	-

B. The Accounting Report

Following the preparation of these budgets, their components are used to compute the accounting report. Table 2 is the new accounting report for the 1983 water year. Table 3 shows the MSDGC hydraulic report format. Columns 1 through 6 of the MSDGC hydraulic report format have been condensed into a single Column 1, Total Measured Flow at Lockport. Eventually, this column will be reserved for total flow measured by the AVM station near Romeoville. Column 2 of the new report is the same as Column 7 of the MSDGC format, accounting for withdrawals above Lockport. Column 3 is the same as Column 8 of the MSDGC format and is the summation of total flow past Lockport plus canal water supply withdrawals. Column 4 (MSDGC Column 9) is groundwater pumpage from the Lake Michigan watershed by Illinois the sewage effluent derived from which reaches Lockport. Column 5 (MSDGC Column 10) is groundwater pumpage by Illinois outside the Lake Michigan watershed the effluent from which reaches Lockport. Column 6 (MSDGC Column 11) is all Indiana domestic pumpage the sewage effluent derived from which reaches Lockport.

Columns 7 and 9, sewer induced groundwater pumpage from Indiana and Illinois, respectively, have no equivalent in the MSDGC reports. The concept of sewer induced groundwater pumpage refers to subsurface runoff, or groundwater, which ordinarily would not reach a stream but because of the presence of sanitary or combined sewers, is "pumped" due to increased hydraulic efficiency to treatment plants as sewer infiltration and then

ILLINOIS DIVISION OF WAVE REGULATORS DIVERSIFICATION REPORT
FOR THE PERIOD FROM OCTOBER 1, 1942 TO SEPTEMBER 1, 1943
ALL DATA ARE PRESENTED IN CUMULATIVE FFRT PER SECOND (CFS)

STATUS OF ILLINOIS' LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION

UNDER 1980 AMENDED U.S. SUPREME COURT DECREE

Accounting Year	Diversion (% of 3,200 cfs)	40 Year Running Avg.		Annual CFS - Years Cumulative Sum
		Annual	CFS - Years Cumulative Sum	
1981	3106 cfs (97)	3106	+ 94	+ 94
1982	3087 cfs (96.5)	3096	+113	+207
1983	3613 cfs (113)	3269	-413	-206

Table 3: MSDGC Hydraulic Report Format

<u>Column Number</u>	<u>Entry</u>
1	Turbines 1 & 2
2	Exciters
	<u>Sluice Gates</u>
3	Powerhouse
4	Controlling Works
5	Leakages
6	Lockages
7	Industrial and other Withdrawals
8	Total Flow at Lockport
	<u>Domestic Pumpage</u>
9	Groundwater Sources in the Lake Michigan Watershed
10	Groundwater Sources Outside the Lake Michigan Watershed
11	Indiana and Wisconsin
12	Storm Runoff and Infiltration from Illinois River Watershed
13	Diversions into Lake Michigan
14	Total Deductions
15	Total Diversion Entering Canal
16	Lake Michigan Pumpage Entering Canal
17	Direct Diversion and Storm Runoff from the Lake Michigan Watershed
18	Domestic Pumpage from Lake Michigan by Illinois Bypassing Canal
19	Total Diversion from Lake Michigan by Illinois

recorded at Lockport. Although this is essentially groundwater pumpage and does not represent a component of Lake Michigan diversion, the U.S. Dept. of Justice has issued an opinion that the current wording of the decree does not allow this to be included as a deduction to the Lockport record. Illinois disagrees with this interpretation, but has not included this component of groundwater as a deduction. Hence, this component of flow is included as part of Illinois' Lake Michigan diversion

Column 8, (MSDGC Column 12) is runoff from the Des Plaines River watershed which reaches Lockport. Column 10 is the summation of Columns 4 through 9 and it is the same as MSDGC Column 14. It represents the total deductions from the record at Lockport. Column 11 (MSDGC Column 18) is Lake Michigan pumpage diverted past Lockport, and therefore not measured, which must be added to the record at Lockport. Included in the value of this column are Lake Michigan water supply pumpage to communities in the Des Plaines watershed, Lake Michigan pumpage diverted to the Des Plaines by the North Shore Sanitary District (NSSD) and the portions of the combined sewer overflows to the Des Plaines which are derived from Lake Michigan water supply pumpage. Adjustments are made in this column for pumpage by federal facilities which reaches Lockport. Column 12 is the same as Column 19 of the MSDGC report and it is the sum of Column 3 and Column 11 minus Column 10.

Columns 13 through 15 present additional information not actually used in the computation of Illinois diversion. Column 13 is total water supply pumpage from Lake Michigan by users in Illinois. Pumpage by federal facilities (Ft. Sheridan, Great Lakes Naval Training Center, Glenview Naval Air Station and Hines Veterans Hospital) is not included. This column is similar to MSDGC Column 16 except for the addition of Glenview Naval Air Station. Column 14 is stormwater runoff reaching Lockport from the diverted watershed. The MSDGC did not compute a separate stormwater number but rather computed a value by subtracting Lake Michigan domestic pumpage (MSDGC Column 16) from total diversions entering the canal (MSDGC Column 15) calling it stormwater and direct diversion (MSDGC Column 17). These values, given the MSDGC procedures, were sometimes negative. This seemingly anomalous result can be explained by residual error (resulting from computational procedures) and consumptive water supply losses (evaporation, transpiration, and industrial consumption above Lockport). The new Column 14 is the summation of direct runoff and treatment plant infiltration and inflow reaching Lockport from the diverted watershed. Thus it is an independent estimate of runoff. Direct diversions through lake controlling structures by Illinois are now covered separately by Column 15 of the new accounting format. Lockage, leakage, navigational makeup (including ice control), and discretionary diversion at Wilmette, O'Brien and the Chicago River Controlling Works as reported by MSDGC are included in this column.

The new accounting report simplifies the procedure specified in the U.S. Supreme Court decree and highlights Lake Michigan water supply pumpage diverted to the Des Plaines River. This will be an area of increased interest as more communities outside of the diverted watershed begin to use Lake Michigan water but do not return it past Lockport.

The decree states that total Lake Michigan pumpage by Illinois, the sewage effluent derived from which reaches Lockport, must first be subtracted from the record at Lockport. Later, the decree states that total Lake Michigan water supply pumpage, the sewage effluent derived from which reaches the Illinois waterway either above or below Lockport, must be added to the record at Lockport. This is the same quantity shown in Column 13 of the new accounting report. The difference between these two water supply pumpage numbers is Lake Michigan pumpage by Illinois, the sewage effluent from which is diverted to the Des Plaines River and reaches the Illinois waterway below Lockport. This quantity is the same as Column 11, Lake Michigan Domestic Pumpage Not Discharged to the canal, (allowing for adjustments for federal water supply pumpage from the lake).

II. Problems Encountered

Since this was the first year the new accounting report procedure was used it was anticipated that problems could be encountered in balancing flows. This was indeed the case as can be seen from the following discussions.

A. Record at Lockport

Perhaps the most significant question raised during the preparation of the 1983 accounting report was the accuracy of the record at Lockport. Upon completion of the initial estimate of total flow past Lockport (Budget 13) it was noted that the measured record was about 580 cfs higher than the sum of contributing flow components on an annual basis. This was of great concern since over 85 percent of the estimated record was measured data (i.e., direct diversion, treatment plant flows, etc.) An investigation into possible explanations for the difference focused on when the differences occurred. It was noted that the sum of contributing flow components was significantly lower than the measured record at Lockport during storm runoff periods and matched or was slightly higher (50-100 cfs) than the measured record during extended dry periods. Analysis of runoff in inches at Lockport and two other stream gages for the four largest storm events during the 1983 water year yielded the following data.

	<u>Dec. 2-10, 1982</u>	<u>Dec. 23-29, 1982</u>	<u>April 1-6, 1983</u>	<u>July 1-6, 1983</u>
	<u>Runoff</u>	<u>Precip.</u>	<u>Runoff</u>	<u>Precip.</u>
CSSC subwatershed at Lockport (431 mi ²)	6.0	3.8	2.3	2.4
Little Calumet at South Holland (208 mi ²)	2.3	4.3	0.7	2.0
North Branch at Niles (100 mi ²)	2.4	4.1	0.8	1.2
			3.1	2.3
			2.9	2.2
			1.0	1.6
			1.4	3.0
			0.3	1.9

Since snowmelt was not a factor in any of these events, more runoff than precipitation in the Sanitary and Ship Canal basin was an obvious impossibility.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) had recently completed an analysis of the sluice gate ratings at the Lockport Powerhouse and of the free flow and submerged weir ratings at the Controlling Works sluice gates about 2 miles upstream (COE, 1983; COE, 1983; COE, 1984). The conclusion of these studies was that significantly less flow could be expected through these structures using revised ratings developed by the COE. Of special interest was the submerged discharge rating for the Controlling Works and data obtained by the MSDGC during the 1983 water year which indicated tailwater head on the gate sills up to 92 percent of upstream head on the gate sills.

Using the COE ratings, discharge through the Lockport Powerhouse sluice gates was recomputed. This resulted in a 3.0 percent decrease in sluice gate discharge or 23.0 cfs. Next, free flow discharge through the Controlling Works was recomputed using COE ratings. Further, discharges through the Controlling Works were recomputed to account for submergence on days when actual MSDGC tailwater observations were available. Also, for hours when at least 5 gates were open (with gate 7 one of the five) but no tailwater data was available, an average submergence of 0.89, based on MSDGC observations for other days, was applied and the flows recomputed (NIPC, 1985). This analysis indicated that submergence could explain at least 148 cfs of the difference between the measured record at Lockport and the sum of contributing flow components. With these corrections to the Lockport record, the flow balance was improved. However, the

Lockport record remains 400 cfs greater than the sum of measured and estimated component flows as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Summary of Flow Components above Lockport (Budget 13)
Water Year 1983 (cfs)

Lake Controlling Structures (Measured)		% of Lockport recorded flow
Wilmette	63.6	1.6
CRCW	257.0	6.4
O'Brien	253.6	6.3
Backflows	-2.2	
	Sue	572.0
Stream Flows (Measured)		14.3
North Branch at Touhy	156.1	3.9
Little Calumet at South Holland	274.0	6.9
		430.1
MSDGC Treatment Plants (Measured)		10.8
Northside	448.8	
West-Southwest	1312.4	32.9
Calumet	336.7	8.4
Adjustment for Interlake-Riverdale	-4.4	
Lemont	2.0	
	Subtotal	2098.5
Other Point Sources (Measured)	6.1	0.2
Summit Conduit (Measured)	15.8	0.4
Argonne Withdrawal (Measured)	-0.5	
Grand Calumet Stream Flow (Estimated)	50.3	1.3
Combined Sewer Overflows in Ungaged Watershed (Simulated)	255.2	
Direct Runoff in Ungaged Watershed (Simulated)	166.1	4.2
Total	3590.6	90.0
Lockport Recorded Flow (Revised)	3991.0	100
Difference	400.4	10

* Per NIPC chart
7/15 presentation
Kraus

B. Water Budgets at MSDGC Treatment Plants

The water budgets at the MSDGC Northside (Budget 5), West Southwest (Budget 7) and Calumet (Budget 8) treatment plants did not balance. Estimated inputs are from 10 to 13 percent below measured inputs. This results in an overall difference of about 240 cfs. The budgets for the MSDGC treatment plants are constructed by estimating an hourly sanitary return flow pattern and quantity and then simulating infiltration, inflow and combined sewer overflows. Sanitary return flow to the MSDGC treatment plants was assumed to be 90 percent of service area water supply pumpage. Except for some revisions in the representation of separately sewered areas, model parameters used to govern infiltration, inflow, and combined sewer overflows quantities were those established during calibration in 1977 and modified by recalibration in 1979 (NIPC, 1977; NIPC, 1980). Since about 80 percent of simulated influent to these MSDGC treatment plants is sanitary flow, the estimation of influent is highly sensitive to return flow assumptions and relatively insensitive to infiltration and inflow parameters. With a 10 percent sanitary return flow assumption, simulated influent totals nearly match recorded flows, but are still slightly lower.

Possible reasons why these budgets did not balance, assuming plant influent records are accurate, include model assumptions of recycle of river water through combined sewer overflow structures, and unreported discharges to the treatment plants. An analysis of treatment plant influent components indicated the

infiltration and inflow would have to be increased 50 percent to balance the plant budgets since these sources account for only about 20 percent of the simulated plant influent. This appears to be an unreasonably large amount especially since this would place runoff yields at about 80 percent of rainfall. Also, based on observations from plots of simulated and measured treatment plant influent flows, it appears that the discrepancy in flow is in the dry weather flow component and could not be made up by an increase in simulated storm runoff. The correctness of model parameters, and the resultant infiltration and inflow components, will be re-evaluated as additional years of data become available.

Leakage through improperly seated gates on combined sewer overflows is another possible explanation for the difference between estimated and recorded treatment plant flows. The MSDGC feels that leakage to the plants through combined sewer overflow structures is minimal. But actual data on this phenomenon are not available. If leakage does occur, NIPC's estimate of influent does not account for it and so would be expected to be lower than the measured record.

Another possible explanation for the budget differences is unreported discharges to MSDGC plants. This explanation is unlikely because of the large difference of 240 cfs. However, if there are industries or commercial buildings using groundwater or river water whose water supply pumpage has not been counted and

who return the sewage effluent from these flows to MSDGC plants, NIPC's estimate of influent would not include them.

This is an important issue since accurate flow balances at treatment plants can assist in the verification of estimated infiltration and inflow components, which are used in the computation of deductions.

C. Upper Des Plaines Pumping Station

Problems were encountered in balancing Budget 5, the MSDGC Upper Des Plaines Pumping Station. From the measured record it appears that influent to the station does not respond to hydrologic conditions in the station's watershed. Several occasions were noted when heavy rainfall in the watershed produced no corresponding rise in pumping station inflow. Several occasions were also noted when pumping station inflows increased dramatically with no significant rainfall in the watershed. The pumping station flow also did not correspond with the West-Southwest flow record in terms of hydrograph peaks and dry periods.

Attempts to estimate pumping station inflow using NIPC's computer model resulted in a reasonable overall water balance. However there was a prevailing inability to match the timing and volume of flow peaks and dry periods. In contrast, the simulation of the West-Southwest treatment plant watershed, of which the pumping station is a part, matched the shape of the measured plant influent hydrograph reasonably well.

Based on the inconsistencies between the Upper Des Plaines pumping station record and the record at West-Southwest, the fact that flow can bypass the pumps and not be recorded, the fact that flows can be recycled through the station, the fact that the orifice plates by which discharge is measured have not been inspected in 20 years, and the fact that the station is unmanned, it was concluded that the record at the station could not be used to balance simulated flows in the watershed.

D. Precipitation Gages

Thirteen hourly precipitation records are used to estimate flow components to MSDGC treatment plants and streamflow for ungaged watersheds. The average precipitation at these gages in the 1983 water year was 42.4 inches with a standard deviation of 6.5. The variability among the measured precipitation totals was substantial and the differences seemed to correlate with the reporter of the data. It was noted, for example, that the average for the four gages reported to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) was 49.7 inches whereas the average for the five gages operated by the MSDGC was 37.5 inches. The average of the remaining four gages was quite close to the overall average.

Such a difference between gages is, in itself, not unusual since precipitation is highly variable spatially. However, the fact that four gages located some distance from one another are relatively similar to each other in total precipitation yet

substantially different from other gages in the same geographic area operated by other agencies, is cause for concern.

If precipitation is being undermeasured it would of course affect estimates of infiltration and inflow to MSDGC treatment plants and estimates of total runoff and flow passing Lockport. This would help to balance the estimates at the treatment plants (Budgets 5,7,8) and the total flow estimate past Lockport (Budget 13).

After discussion with MSDGC staff concerning these differences no explanation was apparent. Differences have been noted between records at the 95th Street pumping station which reports to the Calumet plant and the Calumet plant's raingage to Chicago at the MSDGC Waterways Control Center. No explanation for these differences is available.

The impact of these differences in terms of the total increase in discharge passing Lockport is estimated to be 32 cfs if all the MSDGC gages were assumed to have undermeasured rainfall by 1 inch (NOAA 49.7 - MSDGC 37.5). However, the impact diversion for which Illinois is responsible is minor since only the MSDGC west-southwest raingage was assigned to simulate all runoff from the Des Plaines watershed and its area representation was relatively minor. Changes in MSDGC rainfall amounts therefore would increase deductions for stormwater runoff from the Des Plaines, but not to a substantial degree.

E. O'Hare Treatment Plant Watershed Transfers

Although the O'Hare Water Reclamation Plant is now fully on line, a significant quantity of flow is still being routed from its design watershed to the Northside plant. The MSDGC has estimated this quantity at 24.8 cfs but has not specified its origin within the O'Hare watershed (MSDGC, 1985). It is assumed that sewage effluent derived from groundwater supply in Des Plaines, Mt. Prospect, Prospect Heights, and Arlington Heights, along with infiltration and inflow, comprise this flow. However, the lack of any metering of this flow along with uncertainties about its origin or flow pattern suggest that further analysis of this source may be necessary.

F. Summit Conduit

The measured record at Summit Conduit contains many gaps due to gage malfunction. The location of this gage also has been criticized by previous investigators (Harza, 1981). As part of Budget 13 a balance was made between the measured record and the sum of measured point source inputs and runoff estimated using NIPC's computer model. In addition to problems with the measured record, the flow balance was made more difficult to achieve due to the hydrologic/hydraulic complexity of the 5.4 square mile watershed. Contributing to this complexity are quarry dewatering operations and the possible existence of combined sewer overflows. A flow record exists for the quarry dewatering operation (Material Service) and in water year 1983 it was

observed that this flow source contributed greater than 50 percent of the total Summit Conduit flow.

The quarry dewatering operation is somewhat confusing with respect to its implications on diversion accounting. A small portion of this pumpage, 0.15 cfs, was subtracted from the Summit Conduit flow in previous accounting years because this amount had been counted as a deduction (in Column 5) as non-public groundwater pumpage reported to the ISWS. The current report follows the same procedure. Though this flow is quite small, it should be verified that 0.15 cfs is still the amount reported to the ISWS by Material Service as groundwater pumpage.

III. Sewer Induced Groundwater Pumpage

Sewer induced groundwater pumpage refers to subsurface runoff (sometimes called groundwater flow or baseflow) which is induced to occur because of the presence of a very efficient underground collection system of sewers, and which would not have occurred in an unsewered, undeveloped watershed. In effect, the sewer system "pumps" this induced groundwater to wastewater treatment plants and to the river system. This is the first year in which this component has been computed and reported.

Sewer induced groundwater pumpage is determined by hydrologic simulation. Subsurface runoff from pervious areas in the combined sewer watershed was compared to subsurface runoff from a

pre-development pervious segment. A pre-development pervious segment could be characterized as relatively flat, poorly drained, woody and marshy. The expected hydrologic response of this pre-development "lowland/forest" segment was developed originally during a hydrologic study of the effects of urbanization (NIPC, 1976).

The estimating procedure for sewer induced groundwater pumpage is discussed in detail in the accounting manual of procedures. Very simply, the amount of induced infiltration is based on the difference in subsurface runoff between developed grassland and undeveloped lowland/forest segments.

The computation of sewer induced groundwater pumpage is performed for Columns 7 and 9. In water year 1983, 308 square miles of combined sewer area in the diverted watershed yielded 41.11 cfs of induced infiltration. Separately sewer areas in the diverted watershed in Illinois totalling 106 square miles, yielded an additional 5.26 cfs. Finally, sewer areas in the Indiana diverted watershed yielded 0.66 cfs. The total estimate for sewer induced groundwater pumpage is 47.03 cfs.

IV. Diversion Accounting Report Results For Water Year 1983

The accounting report for the 1983 water year by month was shown in Table 2. Monthly reports by day are shown in Appendix A. The monthly numbers in Table 2 reflect the dominant hydrologic

features of the 1983 water year, large runoff volume and high lake levels. Discussions of significant column results follow.

A. Column 1: The Record at Lockport

The 3991.0 cfs recorded at Lockport is the highest amount since 1957 and the second highest in the last 43 years. However, the 1957 discharge amount included a one year increased diversion authorization not to exceed 8500 cfs in addition to domestic pumpage, thus the 1983 water year is easily the highest discharge under modern diversion restrictions.

One indication of the frequency of large runoff events was the fact that the MSDGC opened the Controlling Works on 34 different days during the water year. There were backflow events at Wilmette on December 2 and 3, 1982 (143 million gallons) and August 17, 1983 (10.5 million gallons), and at the Chicago River Controlling Works (248 million gallons) and the O'Brien Locks (124 million gallons) on December 3, 1982. The December total Lockport discharge of 6637.1 cfs is the highest discharge for that month since 1938. In fact, excluding January and February of 1957, and an unusual March of 1979, December of 1982 is the highest monthly discharge recorded at Lockport since 1938. The discharges for other months in the 1983 water year also rank very high as shown below.

**Top Ten Monthly Lockport Discharges, Excluding 1957 (1938-1983)
(cfs)**

1.	8896	March, 1979	6.	5833	August, 1972
2.	6637	December, 1982	7.	5824	September, 1972
3.	6195	April, 1975	8.	5764	April, 1983
4.	6169	August, 1971	9.	5459	July, 1983
5.	6028	March, 1974	10.	5467	June, 1981

B. Column 2: Diversions Above Lockport Gage

Argonne Laboratories was the only diversion of Sanitary and Ship Canal water above the gage in the 1983 water year and its withdrawal averaged just 0.5 cfs.

C. Column 3: Total Flow through Canal

This column is the sum of columns 1 and 2. Its value for water year 1983 is 3991.5 cfs.

D. Column 4: Groundwater Pumpage From the Lake Michigan Watershed in Illinois Reaching the Canal

Water supply pumpage data for communities using groundwater were combined with groundwater pumpage data collected by the Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) for industrial and other private users for the computation of this column. This column represents a deduction from the Lockport record. Its value in water year 1983 is 50.2 cfs.

E. Column 5: Groundwater Pumpage from the Des Plaines Watershed Reaching the Canal

Again, community groundwater pumpage records for the 1983 water year were combined with ISWS groundwater usage data for industrial and other private users to compute the values in this column. The average value of this column, also representing a deduction, is 55.0 cfs for the year.

F. Column 6: Water Supply Pumpage from Indiana Reaching the Canal

This column is the computation of Indiana water supply reaching Illinois via the Grand Calumet and Little Calumet Rivers, which is deductible from the Lockport record. The influence of high Lake Michigan levels (annual average = 579.5 I.G.L.D. with over 80 percent of the levels above +1.0 C.C.D.) in the net computation procedure resulted in a relatively large estimate of 47 cfs for the Grand Calumet deduction. The total deduction computed for this column is 50.7 cfs.

G. Columns 7 and 9: Sewer Induced Groundwater Pumpage

As previously discussed, sewer induced groundwater pumpage is not included as a deduction even though it is a component of groundwater. Columns 7 and 9 report the quantities of sewer induced groundwater pumpage for Indiana and Illinois, respectively. They contribute a total flow to Lockport of about 47 cfs for this year.

Of interest is the -6.8 cfs value for June, 1983. As explained in Section III, the procedure for computing induced infiltration compares subsurface runoff from a typical post-development grassland area which is underlain with sewers to subsurface runoff from a typical pre-development, unsewered lowland/forest area. In the long run the post-development area yields significantly more subsurface runoff than the pre-development area as a result of increased drainage efficiency due to sewer installation; hence, "sewer induced groundwater pumpage". The presence of sewers in the post-development area causes not only more subsurface runoff but it also causes that runoff to reach a stream, or treatment plant, more quickly than under pre-development conditions. As a result during some periods of the year the pre-development area may have higher subsurface runoff yields than the post-development segment due to its slower release of subsurface runoff. To avoid biasing the computation of sewer induced groundwater pumpage, these "negative" flows are included in the computation of the final number. The negative value for June of 1983 is an example of this situation.

H. Column 8: Runoff from the Des Plaines Watershed Reaching the Canal

The runoff from the Des Plaines watershed can be separated into five categories: (1) infiltration and inflow from the upper Des Plaines watershed to separate and combined sewers tributary to the three major MSDGC treatment plants which discharge to the canal system; (2) total runoff, including infiltration and

inflow, from the lower Des Plaines watershed to the canal; (3) infiltration, inflow, and combined sewer overflow from the Lemont service area; (4) runoff from the Summit Conduit watershed; and (5) runoff pumped from 13A pumping station to the West-Southwest treatment plant. Total infiltration and inflow from the upper Des Plaines watershed was 109 cfs for the 1983 water year. Total runoff from the lower Des Plaines watershed was 123 cfs. Runoff from the Lemont service area was estimated at 1.4 cfs. Deductible runoff from Summit Conduit was estimated at 13.1 cfs. Finally, runoff from the 13A pumping station was determined to be 0.2 cfs, a nearly insignificant amount. Total 1983 water year runoff from the Des Plaines watershed was 247.6 cfs. Of this amount, about 233 cfs is determined by simulation.

I. Column 10: Total Deductions

Column 10 is the sum of columns 4, 5, 6, and 8. The total deduction from the Lockport record in water year 1983 is 403. cfs. The portion of this amount estimated by hydrologic simulation is about 233 cfs. An additional 47 cfs for the Grand Calumet pumpage deduction is estimated using methods described in the manual of procedures (NIPC, 1985).

J. Column 11: Domestic Pumpage from Lake Michigan Not Discharged to the Canal, With Adjustments

This column represents a slight modification to the accounting procedure outlined in the U.S. Supreme Court decree to adjust for pumpage by federal facilities, as discussed in Section I. The

total addition to the record at Lockport from Column 11 is 25.1 cfs. This is composed primarily of pumpage by primary diverters at Waukegan, North Chicago (minus Knollwood-Rondout), and Lake County Public Water District, and secondary diversions by Riverwoods and Lincolnshire. Also, the sanitary portion of Des Plaines River combined sewer overflows which is derived from Lake Michigan pumpage is added into the value of this column. As indicated, pumpage by federal facilities the sanitary effluent from which reaches Lockport, is subtracted from the above.

K. Column 12: Total Diversion

Column 12 is determined by subtracting Column 10 from Column 3 and adding Column 11. The total diversion for water year 1983 is 3613.1 cfs. This amount is substantially greater than Illinois' long term diversion allowance of 3200 cfs. However, it is less than the 3840 cfs allowed by the Supreme Court decree under extreme hydrologic conditions and less than the 3680 cfs maximum permitted annual diversion (U.S. Supreme Court, 1980).

L. Columns 13-15: Lake Michigan Water Supply Pumpage, Stormwater Runoff, and Direct Diversion at Lake Controlling Structures

Columns 13 through 15 are not used in the computation of diversion. However, these columns represent the actual categories of diversion for which Illinois is accountable: Lake Michigan water supply pumpage by non-federal entities in Illinois, runoff from the diverted watershed, and direct

diversion through lake controlling structures. The sum of Columns 13 through 15 is 3128.7 cfs. The difference between this amount and the total diversion determined in Column 12 is 430 cfs. Coincidentally, this amount is similar to the estimated 393 cfs difference in the Lockport flow balance.

Theoretically, the sum of Columns 13 through 15 should be close to the value of diversion. This assumes that measurements of major flow components, such as Lockport and the lake controlling structures, are accurate. One reason for expecting some difference in the two amounts is consumptive loss from water supply. The computation of diversion from the Lockport record does not charge Illinois for consumptive loss of pumpage whose sanitary effluent reaches Lockport; i.e., water which is withdrawn from the Lake and then consumed or lost before reaching the canal. However, this would suggest that Column 12 should be less, not greater, than the sum of Columns 13 through 15.

Pursuing the difference between Column 12 and the sum of Columns 13-15 further, one might conclude that error lies in one or more components. The Lockport measurement has already been discussed. If it is truly biased high, then Illinois is being charged for more diversion than it should. An error of 10-12 percent in the Lockport record could explain the observed difference. Error could lie in the estimate of runoff. However, to explain the observed difference, simulated runoff would have to increase nearly 50 percent. Based on the extensive

calibration to which the model has been subjected and the yields such an increase would represent, this seems particularly unlikely. The measurement of direct diversion at Lake controlling structures could also be in error. To account for the observed flow differences, however, this component would have to be increased nearly 80 percent, which also seems quite unlikely

The discrepancies observed in the comparisons between Column 12 and the sum of Columns 13-15 and in the flow balance at Lockport are reason for concern. If error lies in the Lockport record, then Illinois is being overcharged substantially for diversion. If error lies in the estimation of runoff components, the computation of diversion will be affected to a lesser extent. Runoff simulation accounts for a deduction of 233 cfs in water year 1983. A potential 50 percent increase in runoff could result in an additional 120 cfs deduction.

V. CONCLUSIONS

- A. The total revised measured discharge of 3991.0 cfs is the largest recorded at Lockport since 1938. The December, 1982, April, 1983 and July, 1983 discharges are among the top ten monthly discharges recorded at Lockport since 1938, excluding two months in 1957 when increased diversion at the lakefront was allowed. Total diversion by Illinois was 3613.1 cfs for water year 1983.

B. The estimated record at Lockport, determined by the diversion accounting procedures, is 400 cfs lower (10 percent) than the record measured by MSDGC and revised using COE ratings and estimated and recorded submergence values.

C. Based on COE ratings, the record at the Lockport Powerhouse Sluice Gates was reduced by 23.0 cfs for the 1983 water year from a reported 774 cfs to a new value of 751 cfs.

D. Based on COE ratings under both free flow and submergence conditions and tailwater observations obtained by the MSDGC for several large runoff events, the record at the Controlling Works, was reduced by 148 cfs for the 1983 water year from a reported 369 cfs to a new value of 221 cfs.

E. The estimated influent to the three major MSDGC sewage treatment plants (Northside, West-Southwest, and Calumet) using the diversion accounting procedure is 243 cfs (12 percent) less than the amount recorded by the MSDGC.

F. Although a reasonable overall flow balance was obtained at the Upper Des Plaines Pumping Station between the estimated total influent and the MSDGC recorded total influent, severe problems in the timing of runoff flows prevents this budget from being used to check total infiltration and inflow estimates from the Des Plaines watershed.

G. Significant differences were noted among the average precipitation amounts recorded at the NOAA gages (49.7 inches), the MSDGC gages, (37.5 inches), and the City of Chicago gages (41.3 inches). It is unlikely that these differences can be explained by spatial variability. The MSDGC has noted differences in values recorded at their 95th Street pumping station and values recorded at the Calumet plant and telemetered to their downtown recording station. No explanation for these differences is apparent. Adjustment of MSDGC rainfall amounts to NOAA average amounts could result in an estimated 32 cfs of additional runoff from the ungaged diverted watershed. This would help only minimally to explain the current 400 cfs difference between estimated and recorded flow at Lockport. Increasing the rainfall at the MSDGC raingages would have only a minor impact on Illinois' diversion since the MSDGC gages were used for the simulation of runoff from only a small portion of the Des Plaines watershed.

H. Sanitary flow, infiltration, and inflow are still being sent from the design MSDGC O'Hare treatment plant service area to the MSDGC Northside treatment plant. These flows are not recorded, but were estimated by MSDGC to be about 25 cfs in the 1983 water year.

I. The Summit Conduit flow record is unreliable due to significant gage malfunctions. A balance was made between this record and estimated and measured flows in the Summit

Conduit watershed. Estimated runoff and measured quarry and industrial flows could be used to compute the Summit deduction in future accounting years, rather than relying on the Summit Conduit record.

- J. The diversion accounting procedure estimated about 47 cfs of sewer induced groundwater pumpage for the 1983 water year. Since this is essentially groundwater pumpage which would not have reached Lockport except for the presence of sewers, this should be taken as a deduction by the State of Illinois. However, the U.S. Dept. of Justice has found that the wording of the current decree does not allow this. Hence, it has not been included as a deduction for the 1983 accounting year.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

IDOT's 1983 report

- A. Further investigations into the accuracy of recorded flows at the Controlling Works and Powerhouse at Lockport are needed. Particular attention is needed to quantify submergence at the Controlling Works and its cause.
- B. The MSDGC should incorporate the revised COE ratings for free flow discharge into their calculation of discharge for the Controlling Works and Powerhouse. The MSDGC should also establish a continuous record of tailwater elevations at a suitable location downstream of the Controlling Works.

C. Further investigation is needed to determine the reasons for imbalances between estimated and recorded flow at the three major MSDGC treatment plants. Areas for investigation include the following:

1. Model assumptions with respect to sanitary return flow and infiltration and inflow quantities.
2. Possible leakage from the Canal through combined sewer overflow structures.
3. Possible unreported major discharges to the plant from groundwater or surface water supply return flows.

D. The monitoring of flow at the Upper Des Plaines Pumping Station should be discontinued for diversion accounting purposes due to uncertainties in its record which cannot be resolved without significant increased maintenance and flow monitoring changes.

E. Investigations into the possibility of long-term biases among precipitation gages reporting to NOAA, MSDGC and the City of Chicago should be undertaken based on significant differences noted during the 1983 water year. Preliminary investigation into these differences has not yielded any explanations.

F. Flow monitoring at the Summit Conduit should be discontinued due to problems with frequent gage malfunctions, the relatively small amount of flow from this area, and the ability to reasonably estimate flows from this area using pumpage data and runoff simulation.

1/17
Jim wants to
know if NWS
has looked
at this.

TWS

Note

G. { The flow transfers from the MSDGC's design O'Hare service area to the Northside treatment plant should be metered to provide a better estimate of quantity and flow variations.

*check
treatment A
Has diverted
at one time*

REFERENCES

Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission, "Lake Michigan Diversion Accounting Manual of Procedures," 1985.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Memorandum for the Record -- Lockport Control Works Rating Curve," February 3, 1983.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Memorandum for the Record -- Lockport Powerhouse Sluice Discharge Rating," April 25, 1983.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Memorandum for the Record -- Lockport Control Works Submerged Flow Rating Curves," March 21, 1984

Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission, Correspondence dated March 29, 1985 and May 23, 1985 from G.C. Schaefer to H. Krampitz, Hydrologic Engineer, COE.

Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission, "NIPC Chicago Waterways Model: Verification/Recalibration," 1980.

Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission and Hydrocomp, Inc., "Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal Hydrologic Calibration," 1977.

Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago, Correspondence to the Illinois Division of Water Resources, 1985

Harza Engineering Company, "An Evaluation of Flow Measurement and Accounting Methods for Lake Michigan Diversion," 1981.

Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission and Hydrocomp, Inc., "Water Yield, Urbanization and the North Branch of the Chicago River," 1976.

Wisconsin et al. v. Illinois et al. Michigan v. Illinois et al. New York v. Illinois et al. U.S. 2, 3, and 4, Original l-18, 1980.

APPENDIX
Monthly Accounting Reports

JUL INDIVISION OF FEDERAL RIVER SYSTEM ACCOUNTING REPORT

1982

ALL DATA AS PRESENTED IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)

DATE	LOCK-PORT OR CANAL	DIVERSN ABOVE GAGE		TOTAL FLOW THROUGH CANAL (1+2)		SEWER INDUCED GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATRSHD		SEWER INDUCED GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING IN * CANAL INDIANA		LAKE MICH. DOWNSUMPDGE NOT DISCHRG TO CANAL (W/ADJ) ***		PUMPAGE FROM LAKE WICH. TOTAL DIVERSN (W/ADJ)		RUNOFF FROM ACCTBL TO DIVERTD CANAL TO ILLINOIS WATRSHD STRUCTRS	
		DIVERSN	ABOVE GAGE	TOTAL FLOW	THROUGH CANAL	GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATRSHD	GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING IN * CANAL INDIANA	GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING IN * CANAL INDIANA	GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING IN * CANAL INDIANA	GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING IN * CANAL INDIANA	GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING IN * CANAL INDIANA	PUMPAGE FROM LAKE WICH.	RUNOFF FROM ACCTBL TO DIVERTD CANAL TO ILLINOIS WATRSHD STRUCTRS		
1 OCT 1982	2612.1	1.2	2613.1	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.7	29.6	2.5	161.4	25.3	2477.1	1672.9	28.4	
2 OCT 1982	2707.0	0.5	2708.2	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.7	29.3	2.4	161.1	22.1	2562.1	1560.8	26.4	
3 OCT 1982	2626.9	0.9	2628.0	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.7	29.0	2.4	160.9	21.1	2487.2	1560.8	32.0	
4 OCT 1982	2717.9	0.6	2718.5	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.7	28.7	2.4	160.5	25.1	2583.1	1666.4	31.2	
5 OCT 1982	2542.2	0.8	2543.0	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.7	28.5	2.3	160.5	24.9	2407.2	1689.0	34.5	
6 OCT 1982	1666.0	0.0	3660.0	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	90.6	1.9	222.4	27.9	3466.3	1689.0	111.1	
7 OCT 1982	2613.0	0.7	2613.7	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.7	52.3	1.2	184.1	23.0	2452.6	1579.2	108.9	
8 OCT 1982	2588.0	0.7	2589.7	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.7	30.4	1.7	162.3	22.9	4205.9	1496.9	737.0	
9 OCT 1982	4413.0	1.5	4413.5	50.2	54.9	26.4	0.0	98.4	0.4	229.9	22.9	2314.7	1485.2	437.6	
10 OCT 1982	2458.5	0.6	2459.1	50.2	55.1	26.2	0.0	30.9	1.1	162.4	19.0	1440.0	1440.0	1020.0	
11 OCT 1982	2358.3	0.6	2358.9	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	30.9	1.1	162.6	22.7	2219.0	149.9	1179.0	
12 OCT 1982	2739.0	0.5	2739.5	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	29.3	2.0	161.1	27.5	2605.8	1537.4	615.1	
13 OCT 1982	2721.1	0.6	2721.7	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	30.0	2.0	161.0	21.1	1550.7	1550.7	53.6	
14 OCT 1982	2731.6	0.5	2732.1	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	28.0	2.1	160.6	23.9	2595.5	1540.4	46.5	
15 OCT 1982	2762.0	0.6	2762.6	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	27.7	2.1	159.5	24.7	2627.8	1552.0	41.1	
16 OCT 1982	2236.2	0.7	2236.5	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	29.4	2.1	161.3	21.5	2096.7	1452.7	36.0	
17 OCT 1982	2642.9	0.4	2643.3	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	28.7	2.1	160.7	21.7	2504.4	1418.1	33.4	
18 OCT 1982	2592.7	0.7	2593.4	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	28.4	2.0	160.2	21.0	2454.2	1544.6	915.0	
19 OCT 1982	2653.0	0.6	2653.6	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	152.1	1.6	152.1	21.0	21.0	21.0	834.0	
20 OCT 1982	3545.0	0.4	3545.4	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	182.9	-2.0	314.2	27.9	3235.9	1533.9	321.4	
21 OCT 1982	2741.0	0.5	2741.5	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	36.0	0.3	167.8	24.3	2598.0	1521.0	63.0	
22 OCT 1982	2664.1	0.5	2664.5	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	30.3	1.4	161.8	21.5	2524.3	1509.3	63.4	
23 OCT 1982	2590.0	0.3	2590.3	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	28.7	1.9	160.6	22.6	2452.3	1459.3	46.2	
24 OCT 1982	2706.4	0.4	2707.0	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	29.5	2.1	161.3	18.4	2564.1	1416.2	34.1	
25 OCT 1982	2190.6	0.4	2191.1	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	28.7	2.2	160.1	25.1	2056.0	1521.0	949.0	
26 OCT 1982	1149.4	0.4	1149.8	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	27.9	2.3	159.8	23.1	1013.1	1547.5	30.2	
27 OCT 1982	2443.1	0.5	2443.6	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	29.1	2.3	160.9	22.1	2304.7	1542.8	32.3	
28 OCT 1982	1749.6	0.5	1750.1	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	26.6	2.2	158.4	22.4	1614.1	1535.9	26.6	
29 OCT 1982	1761.0	0.4	1761.4	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	27.7	2.2	159.5	22.2	1624.1	1525.6	25.7	
30 OCT 1982	1604.0	0.5	1604.5	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	27.4	2.1	159.3	20.7	1473.5	1466.0	27.2	
31 OCT 1982	1834.0	0.5	1834.5	50.2	55.1	26.4	0.0	31.1	2.1	162.9	18.8	1690.3	1468.6	55.1	
MEAN	2559.6	2.6	2560.1	50.2	55.2	26.4	0.0	43.2	1.8	175.0	23.3	2408.4	1539.0	95.9	
(** SEWF INDUCED GNDWTR PUMPAGE (COLUMN 7 AND 9) NOT INCLUDED AS A DEDUCTION PER U.S. JUSTICE DEPT. OPINION)	(** COLUMN 10=COLUMNS 4+5+6+9) (** COLUMN 12=COLUMNS 3+10+11)													695.6	

***** THIS IS A SUN TO OUTPUT TABLES *****
 ***** TYPF=DAILY

INDUS. DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
DATA MONTH OF: NOVEMBER 1982

DATE	LOCK-PORT RFCRD	DIVRSN ABOVE GAGE	TOTAL FLOW THROUH CANAL (1+2)	GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE WICH. WATRSHD IN ILLINOIS	SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE WICH. WATRSHD IN ILLINOIS	RUNOFF DES FROM PLAINES REACHING IN ILLINOIS CANAL	LAKE MICH. PUMPAGE FROM LAKE WICH. WATRSHD IN ILLINOIS	PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATRSHD IN ILLINOIS	DIRECT DIVRSN THROUGH LAKE CONTROL STRUCTS	LAKE MICH. PUMPAGE FROM LAKE WICH. WATRSHD IN ILLINOIS	
										SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE WICH. WATRSHD IN ILLINOIS	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS FROM NOT DISCHRG TO CANAL (W/ADJ)
NOV 19 82	8045.0	0.5	8045.5	50.2	52.2	0.0	1269.3	6.1	1197.9	40.5	6920.1
NOV 19 82	6840.0	0.5	6840.5	50.2	54.7	0.3	340.9	48.3	472.0	24.2	6401.6
NOV 19 82	2631.8	0.3	2632.1	50.2	26.2	0.2	131.5	27.6	263.1	20.2	1525.6
NOV 19 82	2425.8	0.5	2526.1	50.2	55.3	0.1	83.8	16.2	215.4	20.2	1537.0
NOV 19 82	1771.4	0.5	1771.9	50.2	55.3	0.1	58.3	10.6	189.9	19.6	1518.9
NOV 19 82	1846.4	0.5	1847.0	50.2	55.3	0.1	46.1	7.8	177.8	19.2	1601.5
NOV 19 82	1655.0	0.5	1655.5	50.2	55.3	0.1	40.0	6.3	171.7	19.3	1688.4
NOV 19 82	1900.6	0.6	1900.6	50.2	55.3	0.2	37.3	5.4	168.9	19.6	1465.4
NOV 19 82	3193.8	0.5	3194.7	50.2	53.0	0.2	356.5	24.0	486.4	32.8	2740.7
NOV 19 82	2532.1	0.4	2532.1	50.2	55.3	0.2	84.4	23.3	216.0	21.9	1522.8
NOV 19 82	9972.0	0.6	9972.0	50.2	53.2	0.5	623.4	74.8	351.9	35.1	9254.5
NOV 19 82	5339.0	0.7	5339.7	50.2	54.2	0.5	667.1	102.0	598.0	25.4	5070.5
NOV 19 82	2638.0	0.4	2638.4	50.2	55.3	0.5	190.9	67.2	322.5	47.7	1472.9
NOV 19 82	2419.7	0.4	2419.7	50.2	55.3	0.2	119.3	39.0	250.7	17.7	121.1
NOV 19 82	1968.4	0.5	1969.4	50.2	55.3	0.2	83.3	25.0	215.0	23.2	1752.7
NOV 19 82	1941.2	0.5	1941.2	50.2	55.3	0.1	64.9	18.0	196.6	19.7	1764.8
NOV 19 82	2202.3	0.5	2202.3	50.2	55.3	0.1	56.7	14.5	168.2	22.7	2036.2
NOV 19 82	1692.4	0.5	1692.4	50.2	55.3	0.1	51.1	12.7	183.3	21.7	1530.8
NOV 19 82	2878.1	0.5	2878.6	50.2	54.2	0.2	46.7	4.6	1426.9	14.2	1499.9
NOV 19 82	4531.0	0.5	4531.5	50.2	55.3	0.2	556.1	99.6	686.5	27.6	1411.7
NOV 19 82	2303.8	0.6	2304.2	50.2	55.3	0.2	214.5	72.1	346.2	17.2	1975.3
NOV 19 82	2485.3	0.6	2485.7	50.2	55.1	0.2	205.5	44.8	285.2	22.7	2222.8
NOV 19 82	2598.1	0.4	2598.5	50.2	55.3	0.7	153.7	31.3	446.4	21.7	1499.2
NOV 19 82	2579.7	0.2	2579.9	50.2	55.3	0.3	140.2	42.1	271.9	23.8	2133.8
NOV 19 82	2644.6	0.2	2644.6	50.2	55.2	0.2	113.3	30.9	244.9	19.8	2416.5
NOV 19 82	2317.0	0.1	2317.0	50.2	55.2	0.2	104.7	26.2	236.3	16.1	2116.3
NOV 19 82	1901.6	0.2	1903.8	50.2	55.2	0.2	99.9	23.0	231.5	17.5	1669.9
NOV 19 82	4371.7	0.2	4371.9	50.2	52.6	0.2	982.1	114.1	1111.0	32.7	1391.6
NOV 19 82	3290.3	0.4	3290.7	50.2	54.6	0.2	919.7	129.6	1522.7	23.4	2791.3
NOV 19 82	3385.6	0.2	3385.8	50.2	55.2	0.6	221.6	81.1	353.3	20.7	1484.2
MEAN	3214.7	0.4	3214.8	51.2	54.8	0.3	255.2	42.3	386.5	23.3	2951.6

(NOT INCLUDED AS A DEDUCTION PER U.S. JUSTICE DEPT. OPINION)
SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE (COLUMNS 7 AND 8) (SEE COLUMNS 12= COLUMNS 1+5+6+9)
(SEE COLUMNS 10=COLUMNS 1+2+3+4)

STATION 12: STATION 12: THE STREAM IN WHICH THE RIVER MEETS THE LAKE

STATION 12: STATION 12: THE LAKE

INDUS DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSITY ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE MONTH OF DECEMBER, 1982

LAWYER-REPORT RECORD		DIVERSN ABOVE GAGE		TOTAL FLOW THROUGH CANAL (1+2)		SEWER GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE		WATER SUPPLY PUMPAGE FROM JES PLAINES REACHING IN ILLINOIS WATRSHD		INDUCED GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DIVERTED PLAINES IN A REACHING IN INDIANA CANAL		SEWER GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DIVERTED PLAINES IN ILLINOIS WATRSHD		TOTAL DEDUC- PUMPAGE FROM DIVERTED PLAINES IN ILLINOIS WATRSHD		LAKE DIVERSN* PUMPAGE FROM MICH. NOT DISCHRG TO CANAL		RUNOFF FROM MICH. ACCTALE TO ILLINOIS		PUMPAGE FROM MICH. RUNOFF FROM MICH. DIVERTED TO ILLINOIS		DIRECT DIVERSN THROUGH LAKE WATRSHD STRUCTS	
DATE	TIME	DIVERSN	Above Gage	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
1982-01-01	10:00	2567.9	0.3	2569.2	50.2	55.3	26.2	26.2	26.2	2.4	137.3	54.6	266.9	20.4	2319.8	1507.0	596.4	61.0	1237.0	1237.0	1237.0		
1982-01-02	10:00	27060.5	0.4	23720.4	50.2	51.9	51.5	51.5	51.5	1.2	17282.8	1722.4	422.1	40.0	8271.0	1486.0	10947.1	10947.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
1982-01-03	10:00	225522.0	0.1	22502.1	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	6.4	1369.1	907.1	1.1	1.1	20951.1	1477.9	10947.0	10947.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
1982-01-04	10:00	1688.0	0.1	136425.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	3.7	3.7	4.6	1417.7	1518.1	34.1	201618.1	1445.5	6375.5	35.0	35.0	35.0		
1982-01-05	10:00	12425.0	0.3	136425.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	52.8	52.8	4.6	1417.7	1558.0	30.0	20160.0	1407.9	6908.7	44.0	44.0	44.0		
1982-01-06	10:00	9090.9	0.2	6222.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.8	620.6	612.0	1.1	1.1	19.9	14249.3	1485.2	4333.4	4333.4	4333.4	4333.4		
1982-01-07	10:00	6222.0	0.5	6222.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6		
1982-01-08	10:00	6545.9	0.3	3777.8	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.9	2.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
1982-01-09	10:00	3777.8	0.2	3777.8	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-10	10:00	2677.3	0.1	2677.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-11	10:00	2653.5	0.2	2653.5	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-12	10:00	2653.5	0.3	2653.5	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-13	10:00	2454.4	0.3	2454.4	50.2	55.3	55.3	55.3	55.3	2.6	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.1	285.7	2198.4	634.7	65.0	65.0		
1982-01-14	10:00	3550.2	0.2	3550.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-15	10:00	2452.4	0.2	2452.4	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-16	10:00	2466.3	0.2	2466.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	3.7	3.7	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-17	10:00	2364.5	0.2	2364.5	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-18	10:00	2364.5	0.1	2202.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-19	10:00	2002.2	0.1	2002.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-20	10:00	2489.8	0.2	2489.8	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-21	10:00	1824.2	0.3	1824.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-22	10:00	2475.6	0.2	2475.6	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-23	10:00	2383.7	0.2	2383.7	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-24	10:00	2587.0	0.1	2587.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-25	10:00	7677.2	0.2	7677.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-26	10:00	18454.0	0.1	18454.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-27	10:00	18552.0	0.2	18552.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-28	10:00	9873.7	0.3	9873.7	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-29	10:00	1706.0	0.2	1706.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-30	10:00	2394.7	0.2	2394.7	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-01-31	10:00	2679.6	0.2	2679.6	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-01	10:00	3454.5	0.2	3454.5	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-02	10:00	2726.0	0.2	2726.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-03	10:00	6637.1	0.2	6637.1	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-04	10:00	542.4	0.2	542.4	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-05	10:00	239.0	0.2	239.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-06	10:00	674.3	0.2	674.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-07	10:00	542.4	0.2	542.4	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-08	10:00	239.0	0.2	239.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-09	10:00	674.3	0.2	674.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-10	10:00	542.4	0.2	542.4	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-11	10:00	239.0	0.2	239.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-12	10:00	674.3	0.2	674.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-13	10:00	542.4	0.2	542.4	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-14	10:00	239.0	0.2	239.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-15	10:00	674.3	0.2	674.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-16	10:00	542.4	0.2	542.4	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-17	10:00	239.0	0.2	239.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-18	10:00	674.3	0.2	674.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-19	10:00	542.4	0.2	542.4	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-20	10:00	239.0	0.2	239.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-21	10:00	674.3	0.2	674.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-22	10:00	542.4	0.2	542.4	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-23	10:00	239.0	0.2	239.0	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
1982-02-24	10:00	674.3	0.2	674.3	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	2.6	2.6	0.2											

111.5% of the total number of students in the country.

INDUS DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE MONTH OF: JANUARY, 1983, THREE SECO SECOND (CES)

METHODS
MEAN
NUMBER INDUCED GROUNDWATER DIMPAGE (1 COLUMN 7 AND 9) NOT INCLUDED AS A DEDUCTION PER U.S. JUSTICE DEPT. OPINION)

卷之三

INDIVIDUAL DIVISION OF WATER PESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY 1983
DATA ARE PRESENTED IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)

DATE	LOCK-PORT-REFORD	DIVERSEN ABOVE GAGE	TOTAL FLOW THROUGH CANAL (1+2)	DIVERSEN IN MICH. WATERSHED	SEWER INDUCED GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH.	WATER SUPPLY DUMPAGE FROM INDIANA WATERSHED	SEWER INDUCED GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES WATERSHED	RUNOFF FROM PLAINES WATERSHED REACHING IN CANAL	LAKE MICH. DEDUCT. PUMPAGE NOT DISCHRG TO PORT	TOTAL DIVERSN IN CANAL	PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. ACCTBLE	RUNOFF FROM PLAINES WATERSHED	DIVERSEN THROUGH LAKE	DIRECT DIVERSN THROUGH LAKE	RUNOFF FROM PLAINES WATERSHED	DIVERSEN CONTROL STRUCTRS		
FEB 1983	1466.1	0.2	1866.3	59.2	55.3	26.2	0.1	90.0	10.2	221.7	20.4	1665.0	1478.5	215.7	113.0			
FEB 2 1983	9607.2	0.2	9607.2	50.2	53.7	53.7	0.1	489.6	17.9	647.2	30.5	8990.5	1481.7	2173.4	82.0			
FEB 3 1983	6496.5	0.3	6496.5	50.2	55.3	61.7	0.2	90.6	21.8	257.7	19.6	6258.6	1471.6	1830.7	87.0			
FEB 4 1983	4946.7	0.3	4946.7	50.2	55.3	54.8	0.1	72.9	14.7	213.2	22.5	4650.3	1472.0	1830.7	78.0			
FEB 5 1983	3176.1	0.1	3176.1	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.1	65.9	19.8	197.6	18.5	2997.0	1421.1	563.8	85.0			
FEB 6 1983	2717.0	2.1	2717.0	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.1	61.5	7.3	193.2	19.2	2543.2	1396.6	453.9	72.0			
FEB 7 1983	2898.0	0.3	2898.3	50.2	55.3	27.0	0.1	59.4	6.2	191.9	20.0	102.0	1453.6	493.0	102.0			
FEB 8 1983	2581.6	0.1	2581.7	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.1	75.6	10.9	207.3	20.9	2305.3	1476.5	340.3	96.0			
FEB 9 1983	2229.1	0.3	2229.4	50.2	55.3	31.8	0.1	100.7	16.0	238.0	21.2	2012.6	1474.1	359.0	112.0			
FEB 10 1983	2322.2	0.2	2322.4	50.2	55.3	31.7	0.2	163.3	24.4	300.5	22.1	2043.0	1465.2	508.9	105.0			
FEB 11 1983	2209.5	0.1	2209.6	50.2	55.2	31.6	0.3	253.5	36.4	390.4	22.2	1841.3	1472.4	740.7	120.0			
FEB 12 1983	2021.2	0.2	2021.4	50.2	54.9	26.2	0.3	293.1	41.8	424.3	22.1	1716.8	1425.2	682.7	100.0			
FEB 13 1983	1934.5	0.2	1934.7	50.2	53.8	26.2	0.4	586.7	49.5	717.0	29.7	1401.3	1427.4	1390.9	140.3			
FEB 14 1983	2146.0	0.3	2146.3	50.2	53.8	26.2	0.5	861.5	71.0	991.1	37.4	1192.6	1474.0	1952.3	78.0			
FEB 15 1983	2276.1	0.1	2276.4	50.2	54.6	26.2	0.6	332.9	83.0	463.9	26.7	1454.1	1479.2	1839.2	98.0			
FEB 16 1983	2148.3	0.2	2148.5	50.2	54.4	26.2	0.7	361.8	96.0	492.6	26.3	1682.2	1467.0	1089.0	77.0			
FEB 17 1983	2455.4	0.3	2455.4	50.2	55.2	26.2	0.6	220.5	84.1	352.1	22.6	2126.0	1469.0	663.6	72.0			
FEB 18 1983	2585.2	0.3	2585.2	50.2	54.9	26.2	0.4	201.0	62.0	332.4	22.5	2278.3	1472.0	691.6	62.0			
FEB 19 1983	2376.7	0.1	2376.7	50.2	55.1	26.2	0.3	179.3	46.9	310.9	20.0	2045.9	1472.0	662.1	269.0			
FEB 20 1983	2127.4	0.2	2128.0	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.2	107.8	27.6	239.4	18.7	1907.3	1474.0	522.5	79.0			
FEB 21 1983	2452.5	0.3	2452.8	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.1	89.1	18.6	220.8	22.3	2254.1	1473.3	461.9	77.0			
FEB 22 1983	2585.2	0.3	2585.5	50.2	55.3	27.0	0.1	82.9	11.3	215.3	22.8	2393.9	1469.1	415.0	89.0			
FEB 23 1983	2223.8	0.4	2224.2	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.0	83.2	7.4	214.8	20.6	2072.6	1458.8	370.3	89.0			
FEB 24 1983	2348.2	0.3	2348.2	50.2	55.3	37.4	0.0	84.9	6.7	227.8	22.0	2018.4	1461.6	335.9	96.0			
FEB 25 1983	2347.2	0.1	2073.3	50.2	55.3	37.2	0.0	93.4	5.5	236.0	22.4	2134.5	1456.6	345.6	90.0			
FEB 26 1983	2071.5	0.2	2031.7	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.0	90.5	5.6	222.1	20.3	1871.5	1432.4	287.6	89.0			
FEB 27 1983	1933.5	0.4	1833.9	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.0	91.4	4.7	223.1	19.7	1828.4	1391.4	265.0	66.0			
FEB 28 1983	1933.5	0.4	1833.9	50.2	55.3	26.2	0.0	92.7	3.1	224.3	22.3	1632.8	1463.4	252.3	88.0			
MAR 1 1983	2915.3	0.2	2915.5	50.2	55.0	50.2	0.2	192.0	0.2	192.0	26.6	327.4	22.8	2511.0	1451.2	711.4	94.0	

SEWER INDUCED GNDWTR PUMPAGE (COLUMNS 7 AND 9) NOT INCLUDED AS A DEDUCTION PER U.S. JUSTICE DEPT. OPINION
* FEB 1 UNICOD COLUMNS 4+5+6+9) *** COLUMNS 12= COLUMNS 3+10+11)

STADTEN 1: 1983
STADTEN 2: 1983
STADTEN 3: 1983

INDIANA DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE MONTH OF: MARCH, 1981
DATA ARE PRESENTED IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)

DATE	GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATERSHD			SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES DIVERTED WATERSHD IN CANAL			LAKE MICH. DOMEST. PUMPAGE NOT DISCHRG TO CANAL (W/ADJ) ***			DIRECT DIVERSION THROUGH LAKE MICH. RUNOFF FROM DIVERTED CONTROL STRTRS				
	TOTAL FLOW THROUGH C4W (1+2)	DIVERSY ABOVE GAGE	LOCK-PORT RECORD	GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATERSHD	SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES DIVERTED WATERSHD IN CANAL	GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATERSHD	SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES DIVERTED WATERSHD IN CANAL	GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATERSHD	SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES DIVERTED WATERSHD IN CANAL	GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATERSHD	SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES DIVERTED WATERSHD IN CANAL			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1 MAR 1981	2237.5	0.4	2237.9	50.2	55.3	26.1	0.0	90.8	1.9	222.4	19.5	2035.0	1466.4	243.3
2 MAR 1981	2623.4	0.3	2623.7	57.2	55.3	26.1	0.0	91.5	0.7	223.1	1822.9	1467.8	227.1	97.0
3 MAR 1981	1812.6	0.3	1812.0	50.2	55.3	36.6	0.0	91.9	0.4	223.5	1612.4	1484.4	220.0	101.0
4 MAR 1981	2311.7	0.3	2312.0	50.2	55.3	42.5	0.0	91.7	-1.4	233.8	20.9	1499.1	1479.0	209.9
5 MAR 1981	1873.7	0.4	1873.8	50.2	55.3	42.5	0.0	92.0	-2.4	240.9	20.4	1653.3	1437.8	206.0
6 MAR 1981	1766.0	0.4	1766.0	55.0	55.3	42.5	0.0	256.0	-7.5	403.9	19.2	2922.5	1385.5	502.0
7 MAR 1981	3281.9	0.4	3281.9	55.2	55.2	26.4	0.0	274.7	-23.5	406.5	22.1	2897.5	1461.8	526.0
8 MAR 1981	2523.9	0.3	2523.9	55.2	55.1	26.1	0.0	274.0	-18.1	405.5	21.0	2139.6	1462.7	685.1
9 MAR 1981	2357.5	0.5	2357.9	50.2	55.3	31.5	0.0	165.1	-12.3	302.1	21.0	2072.6	1454.8	426.1
10 MAR 1981	2151.5	0.2	2151.7	50.2	55.3	65.1	0.0	124.5	-9.2	302.1	20.2	1876.8	1456.4	365.2
11 MAR 1981	2433.3	0.2	2433.5	50.2	55.3	49.0	0.0	180.5	-2.6	334.7	23.1	2121.9	1465.1	459.9
12 MAR 1981	1051.6	0.2	1051.7	50.2	55.3	25.9	0.0	114.9	-2.6	334.7	19.3	1724.7	1474.5	287.9
13 MAR 1981	2149.7	0.2	2148.9	50.2	55.3	26.1	0.0	101.5	-7.2	233.1	19.0	1934.6	1378.4	259.6
14 MAR 1981	2003.8	0.3	2004.1	50.2	55.3	25.9	0.0	93.1	-6.8	224.5	21.8	1801.4	1447.8	239.0
15 MAR 1981	2011.5	0.5	2012.0	50.2	55.3	25.8	0.0	88.2	-6.9	219.5	21.0	1614.7	1481.2	218.7
16 MAR 1981	1995.6	0.3	1995.9	50.2	55.3	42.4	0.0	84.1	-7.3	219.5	20.9	1689.7	1480.0	202.6
17 MAR 1981	2253.7	0.2	2253.7	50.2	55.3	42.4	0.0	84.1	-7.4	232.0	21.6	2043.3	1470.7	235.8
18 MAR 1981	5698.0	0.2	5698.2	50.2	55.3	56.6	0.0	949.1	-13.3	1170.7	41.3	463.3	1463.4	295.8
19 MAR 1981	4170.7	0.1	4170.4	50.2	55.1	61.0	0.0	446.1	-1.1	612.6	20.5	3428.1	1414.1	1858.4
20 MAR 1981	3184.9	0.1	3184.9	50.2	55.1	61.0	0.0	296.1	-4.3	617.4	18.0	3254.3	1537.7	83.0
21 MAR 1981	1327.3	0.3	1327.6	50.2	55.3	65.8	0.2	199.1	3.4	348.5	19.9	3084.1	1456.9	93.0
22 MAR 1981	3412.7	0.2	3412.7	50.2	55.3	43.9	0.0	305.1	16.4	442.4	24.1	2764.7	1474.3	111.0
23 MAR 1981	3182.2	0.2	3182.2	50.2	55.2	54.8	0.0	37.8	0.3	362.9	23.0	2181.7	1463.4	101.0
24 MAR 1981	3182.7	0.2	3182.7	50.2	55.2	54.8	0.0	362.9	42.2	505.6	23.0	2181.7	1463.4	100.5
25 MAR 1981	2664.2	0.2	2664.1	50.2	55.2	43.6	0.3	309.5	46.2	458.6	22.1	2400.1	1457.1	107.0
26 MAR 1981	2817.3	0.3	2817.0	50.2	55.2	54.6	0.0	518.8	49.1	690.7	22.3	2709.6	1415.8	264.0
27 MAR 1981	3377.0	0.2	3377.0	50.2	55.2	67.0	2.0	1211.5	203.5	1378.2	16.0	5848.4	1316.3	269.0
28 MAR 1981	7190.3	0.2	7190.5	50.2	55.2	64.4	0.4	1704.4	331.8	1876.0	11.6	6168.0	1457.5	78.0
29 MAR 1981	7025.1	0.2	7025.4	50.2	55.2	66.1	0.4	436.7	1.3	589.0	21.5	2872.3	1452.1	86.0
30 MAR 1981	1970.4	0.3	1970.4	50.2	55.2	46.8	0.7	310.3	102.7	449.4	20.2	3866.0	1452.9	110.0
31 MAR 1981	4314.9	0.2	4315.2	50.2	55.1	33.7	0.4	276.6	0.4	276.6	22.1	2865.9	1437.6	98.0
1 APR 1981	1258.3	0.2	1258.6	50.2	55.1	32.0	0.4	414.8	0.4	414.8	22.1	941.8	122.3	122.3
MEAN	7156.3	0.3	7157.0	50.7	54.9	41.7	0.6	297.6	29.3	444.4	22.1	2734.7	1447.1	932.1

(7-9) INDUCED GROUNDWATER PUMPAGE (COLUMNS 7 AND 9) NOT INCLUDED AS A DEDUCTION PER U.S. JUSTICE DEPT. OPINION
(***) COLUMN 12= COLUMNS 1+5+6+7+8+9+10+11)

** SFWD INDUCED GROUNDWATER PUMPAGE
** COLUMNS 12=COLUMNS 1+5+6+7+8+9+10+11)
** THIS TABLE IS A JUNE 1978 INPUT TABLE
** FOR THE STATE OF INDIANA

LINCOLN DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE MONTH OF APRIL, 1987
DATA ARE PRESENTED IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)

DATE	LOCK-DOOR RECORD	DIVERSEN GAGE ABOVE CANAL (1+2)	TOTAL FLOW THROUGH CANAL (1+2)	GROUNDWATER PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATERSHED		SEWER INDUCED PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES INDIANA REACHING CANAL		SEWER INDUCED PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES INDIANA REACHING CANAL		LAKE MICH. DOWNS PUMPAGE NOT DIVERTED THROUGH LAKE CONTROL STRUCTS	
				FROM	DESES	FROM	DESES	FROM	DESES	FROM	DESES
APR 1 1987	64856.4	0+1	64856.5	50+2	53+1	44+4	0+4	7422.2	59+7	889.9	34+2
APR 2 1987	63332.1	0+1	23332.0	50+2	49+2	62+1	13+3	2408.8	315+0	2572.3	5629.8
APR 3 1987	15502.0	0+1	15502.4	50+2	52+7	62+1	9+0	1566.3	2122.3	1671.2	1398.1
APR 4 1987	6399.0	0+1	6399.1	50+2	54+8	38+5	0+9	952.5	1095.9	952.5	9433.6
APR 5 1987	6235.5	0+1	6235.2	50+2	54+1	46+7	0+5	824.9	75+3	975.9	5719.4
APR 6 1987	4213.4	0+1	4213.7	50+2	55+1	51+3	0+3	631.0	47+6	787.6	1433.9
APR 7 1987	4356.4	0+3	4356.9	50+2	55+3	33+1	0+3	407.3	36+7	545.8	8286.5
APR 8 1987	4371.4	0+1	4371.5	50+2	55+3	44+4	0+2	312.6	36+7	3827.8	1448.2
APR 9 1987	10562.0	0+2	10562.5	50+2	52+1	64+2	3+7	1262.3	2122.6	221.6	2919.1
APR 10 1987	6522.1	0+1	6522.5	50+2	54+2	54+9	1+2	984.9	1651.0	1428.7	1452.0
APR 11 1987	4635.1	0+2	4635.5	50+2	55+2	39+4	0+7	630.2	91+8	876.6	1452.0
APR 12 1987	4242.7	0+4	4382.4	50+2	55+3	51+0	0+4	432.2	54+1	585.7	1452.0
APR 13 1987	4123.5	0+1	4123.1	50+2	52+7	52+7	1+5	1642.0	207.7	1602.4	1452.0
APR 14 1987	11050.0	0+1	11050.1	50+2	52+5	26+3	3+0	1576.0	4232.9	356.6	6357.3
APR 15 1987	65852.0	0+2	65852.2	50+2	55+1	26+3	1+6	891.4	1705.6	1705.6	1478.6
APR 16 1987	5151.4	0+1	5151.5	50+2	54+2	26+2	0+7	221.0	1022.9	222.5	5805.0
APR 17 1987	3701.1	0+3	3701.4	50+2	55+2	33+7	0+4	636.4	1171.0	771.2	493.0
APR 18 1987	3297.0	0+1	3297.1	50+2	55+2	38+6	0+2	446.1	633.5	585.2	1364.1
APR 19 1987	3256.1	0+2	3256.7	50+2	55+3	43+9	0+2	335.1	34+9	479.1	1364.1
APR 20 1987	2722.6	0+2	2722.4	50+2	55+2	37+7	0+1	272.5	19+5	421.6	1364.1
APR 21 1987	2819.6	0+2	2819.8	50+2	55+2	37+7	0+1	237.0	19+5	380.1	1364.1
APR 22 1987	2421.9	0+3	2621.7	50+2	55+2	42+0	0+0	216.1	19+5	233.0	1364.1
APR 23 1987	2583.9	0+2	2584.1	50+2	55+3	49+5	0+0	201.9	4+2	353.5	1364.1
APR 24 1987	2206.7	0+2	2206.9	50+2	55+3	62+5	-0+3	189.6	-0+2	356.8	1481.3
APR 25 1987	2407.6	0+4	2407.6	50+2	55+3	55+7	-0+3	177.4	-0+3	357.5	1481.3
APR 26 1987	2469.4	0+5	2469.9	50+2	55+3	37+1	-0+1	165.6	-0+1	358.5	1481.3
APR 27 1987	2387.4	0+4	2387.5	50+2	55+3	31+5	-0+1	154.6	-0+1	359.5	1481.3
APR 28 1987	4021.0	0+4	4021.0	50+2	54+6	42+8	-0+1	145.7	-0+1	360.4	1481.3
APR 29 1987	3296.4	0+5	3296.7	50+2	54+6	49+4	-0+3	337.3	-0+3	367.3	1481.3
APR 30 1987	5297.0	0+3	5297.0	50+2	54+6	43+2	-0+2	271.8	-0+2	281.1	1481.3
APR 31 1987	5297.0	0+3	5297.0	50+2	54+6	43+7	-0+2	253.5	-0+2	253.5	1481.3
MAY 1 1987	5764.0	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 2 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 3 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 4 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 5 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 6 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 7 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 8 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 9 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 10 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 11 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 12 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 13 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 14 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 15 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 16 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 17 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 18 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 19 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 20 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 21 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 22 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 23 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 24 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 25 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 26 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 27 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 28 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 29 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 30 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
MAY 31 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 1 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 2 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 3 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 4 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 5 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 6 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 7 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 8 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 9 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 10 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 11 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 12 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 13 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 14 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 15 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 16 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 17 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 18 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 19 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 20 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 21 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9
JUN 22 1987	5764.2	0+2	5764.2	50+2	51+2	54+5	+1.3	651.5	79.8	801.3	25.9</td

INDUS DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE MONTH OF: MAY 1983
DATA ARE PRESENTED IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)

DATE	LOCK-DOCK RECORD	DIVERSION ABOVE GAGE		TOTAL FLOW THROUGH CANAL (1+2)		SEWER INDUCED GROWTH DUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATERSHED		WATER SUPPLY DUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. WATERSHED		RUNOFF FROM PLAINES IN ILLINOIS WATERSHED		PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH.		RUNOFF FROM PLAINES IN ILLINOIS WATERSHED		DIRECT DIVERSION THROUGH LAKE CONTROL STRUCTS	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
MAY 1983	9302.0	0.3	9402.3	50.2	53.5	63.8	3.6	1118.8	137.6	1286.3	30.2	1367.8	5469.9	437.0			
MAY 1983	14759.0	0.5	14759.5	50.2	53.4	67.0	9.6	995.7	790.4	1166.8	31.0	13623.6	1456.6	4417.1	61.0		
MAY 1983	7298.0	0.3	7298.4	50.2	53.5	63.5	1.3	554.1	168.6	6597.9	22.6	1469.7	2412.7	108.0			
MAY 1983	5016.0	0.3	5017.0	50.2	55.3	53.4	0.6	347.6	87.2	506.4	23.1	4536.6	1473.0	1398.1			
MAY 1983	3149.5	0.4	3149.6	50.2	55.3	44.8	0.1	239.9	36.2	390.1	23.1	2783.1	1477.0	979.0	178.0		
MAY 1983	2662.7	0.4	3661.1	50.2	55.3	57.2	0.1	184.9	10.2	347.5	10.2	3335.5	1480.6	790.6	191.0		
MAY 1983	3955.7	0.4	3806.1	50.2	55.3	66.3	-0.1	315.0	-17.2	403.3	19.0	2605.1	1448.1	1094.6	439.0		
MAY 1983	2989.1	0.3	2989.4	50.2	55.3	63.9	-0.1	234.0	-20.7	234.5	22.8	2397.0	1485.9	1896.1	106.0		
MAY 1983	2723.1	0.6	2723.7	50.2	55.3	69.5	-0.1	174.6	-20.1	349.5	23.2	2579.8	1500.7	476.3	209.0		
MAY 1983	2869.0	0.4	2869.4	50.2	55.3	63.0	-0.1	146.2	-20.1	312.8	24.0	2183.4	1529.2	405.7	250.0		
MAY 1983	2463.8	0.2	2461.2	50.2	55.3	70.0	-0.1	126.3	-20.1	301.7	24.0	2183.4	1529.2	366.8	219.0		
MAY 1983	2634.7	0.2	2675.1	50.2	55.3	68.9	-0.1	114.7	-20.1	269.0	23.5	2363.1	1529.2	216.9	231.0		
MAY 1983	17518.0	0.6	1518.6	50.2	53.5	69.5	-0.1	736.5	-20.1	910.7	26.1	2636.6	1541.3	2216.9	231.0		
MAY 1983	7741.4	0.4	7741.4	50.2	53.5	67.8	4.1	783.7	102.7	957.5	30.9	910.7	1454.3	1596.3	701.0		
MAY 1983	3705.0	0.3	3736.1	50.2	55.3	65.1	1.7	405.3	38.5	578.8	19.2	3150.0	1451.3	1871.5	180.0		
MAY 1983	3298.9	0.5	3299.4	50.2	55.3	69.4	0.4	275.2	9.8	450.0	22.8	2872.2	1461.2	1461.2	186.0		
MAY 1983	3099.4	0.4	2999.0	50.2	55.3	69.1	-0.2	192.3	-1.6	366.8	23.5	2656.6	1503.6	675.8	222.0		
MAY 1983	3444.2	0.5	3444.7	50.2	55.3	68.0	-0.1	147.9	-11.8	327.3	27.6	3150.2	1468.2	487.1	440.0		
MAY 1983	4619.2	0.4	4639.6	50.2	54.3	64.7	-0.1	438.6	-10.7	612.8	30.6	4057.5	1405.6	393.8	969.0		
MAY 1983	2450.1	0.4	2450.1	50.2	55.3	69.9	-0.1	235.8	-14.2	410.1	22.6	2072.8	1493.7	613.4	286.0		
MAY 1983	3577.2	2.3	3577.2	50.2	55.3	67.2	-0.1	167.1	-16.7	319.6	32.9	3259.0	1466.7	1871.5	541.0		
MAY 1983	7567.0	0.3	7567.0	50.2	54.9	72.1	2.7	643.4	63.5	818.4	24.7	6773.6	1422.3	2737.2	1145.0		
MAY 1983	1335.9	0.5	1336.4	50.2	54.2	72.6	1.6	453.0	90.7	628.0	24.7	1702.4	1516.0	1705.0	228.0		
MAY 1983	3787.7	0.5	3787.7	50.2	55.3	68.0	0.3	286.5	42.2	462.1	32.4	3358.0	1555.3	1759.5	241.0		
MAY 1983	2451.5	0.7	2451.5	50.2	55.2	72.6	0.7	223.8	15.8	401.7	27.8	2077.5	1513.3	590.3	241.0		
MAY 1983	2691.0	0.6	2691.0	50.2	55.3	69.9	-0.1	159.1	-1.1	334.1	28.0	2374.9	1510.8	396.8	213.0		
MAY 1983	2732.0	0.5	2732.0	50.2	55.3	71.5	-0.1	129.4	-9.6	306.3	29.2	2454.9	1499.5	329.5	247.0		
MAY 1983	2765.0	0.3	2765.0	50.2	55.3	67.8	-0.1	111.0	-13.9	284.2	20.7	2501.7	1371.3	314.8	298.0		
MAY 1983	4255.0	0.2	4255.0	50.2	54.1	67.5	0.4	357.0	19.6	528.6	27.5	4753.9	1337.2	1661.7	316.0		
MAY 1983	2576.0	0.1	2576.0	50.2	55.2	63.6	0.6	239.3	15.2	408.3	17.9	2185.9	1329.3	840.1	308.0		
MAY 1983	1403.4	0.1	1403.4	50.2	55.3	73.2	-0.0	195.4	-6.6	361.0	23.4	3065.8	1455.5	538.8	165.0		
1	4277.5	0.4	4277.5	50.2	54.7	66.4	0.0	345.9	33.9	517.4	25.1	3785.6	1463.7	1220.9	310.5		

** 4FB INDUCED GROWTH PUMPAGE (COLUMNS 7 AND 9) NOT INCLUDED AS A DEDUCTION PER U.S. JUSTICE DEPT. OPINION
** COLUMN 10 (COLUMN 4+5+6+A) (*** COLUMN 12=COLUMNS 3-10+1)

LOCK DOCK RECORD TO CURRENT TABLES
REMOVED FROM THIS SHEET

END

INDIANA DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE DATA PERIOD OF: JUNE 1, 1983
DATA ARE PRESENTED IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)

DATE	LOCK- DOORY RECORD	DIVERSN ABOVE GAGE	TOTAL FLOW THROUGH CANAL (1+2)	GNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE WATRSHD IN ILLINOIS	WATER SUPPLY PUMPAGE FROM FOOD DEES PLAINES REACHING CANAL	SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE: FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING INDIANA CANAL	SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE: FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING INDIANA CANAL	INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING IN ILLINOIS	TOTAL DEDUC- TIONS FROM LOCK- PORT RECORD **	LAKE MICH. DOWEST. PUMPAGE NOT DISCHRG TO CANAL (W/ADJ)	RUNOFF FROM DES PLAINES WATRSHD REACHING IN ILLINOIS	PUPPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. ACC'TBLE TO DIVERTD WATRSHD ILLINOIS		
												1	2	3
JUN 19 1983	2397.9	0.4	2398.3	50.2	55.3	77.7	-0.1	137.1	-12.4	320.2	24.0	2102.1	1496.2	351.0
JUN 19 1983	2774.3	0.5	2774.5	50.2	55.3	74.8	-0.1	112.2	-15.1	292.5	22.7	2504.7	1491.6	289.0
JUN 19 1983	2751.0	0.4	2751.1	50.2	55.3	74.9	-0.1	100.1	-16.3	280.4	24.2	2495.5	1479.5	347.7
JUN 19 1983	2729.9	0.4	2730.3	50.2	55.3	74.4	-0.1	93.0	-16.9	272.8	21.7	2479.2	1473.7	329.0
JUN 19 1983	2641.0	0.5	2641.5	50.2	55.3	72.4	-0.1	86.4	-17.0	264.2	22.2	2399.5	1407.4	275.1
JUN 19 1983	2369.9	0.4	2369.9	50.2	55.3	74.7	-0.1	82.5	-16.9	262.6	23.0	2130.2	1490.0	240.3
JUN 19 1983	2405.0	0.9	2415.8	50.2	55.3	77.1	-0.1	78.3	-16.7	260.8	23.0	2168.7	1529.4	211.9
JUN 19 1983	2551.4	0.9	2552.3	50.2	55.3	79.6	-0.1	74.3	-16.0	259.6	24.4	2317.1	1624.4	202.6
JUN 19 1983	2797.9	0.7	2798.6	50.2	55.3	77.0	-0.1	70.7	-16.7	251.4	26.4	2571.9	1573.9	638.0
JUN 19 1983	3071.3	1.1	3072.4	50.2	55.4	82.2	-0.1	164.6	-18.0	351.4	31.3	1608.7	1639.7	376.0
JUN 19 1983	2137.2	0.7	2137.9	50.2	55.3	83.5	-0.1	91.5	-16.4	280.5	25.3	2182.3	2182.7	193.5
JUN 19 1983	2362.2	0.2	2362.4	50.2	55.3	83.0	-0.1	76.4	-14.2	264.9	24.9	1700.7	1700.7	353.0
JUN 19 1983	2196.9	1.1	2196.0	50.2	55.3	91.2	-0.1	67.7	-12.4	263.9	24.9	2122.5	1608.6	163.6
JUN 19 1983	3905.1	0.7	3905.3	50.2	54.3	92.6	-0.1	267.6	-16.2	484.6	30.4	1964.5	1945.5	333.0
JUN 19 1983	3817.7	1.4	3818.1	50.2	55.2	81.9	-0.3	133.8	-35.3	320.9	34.3	3455.5	1914.3	1000.1
JUN 19 1983	3342.1	0.6	3342.7	50.2	55.3	84.8	-0.2	86.6	-21.5	276.8	28.3	3524.1	1757.6	472.0
JUN 19 1983	3449.9	0.6	3500.4	50.2	55.3	85.1	-0.1	61.7	-13.9	261.2	26.9	3266.1	1782.2	191.1
JUN 19 1983	4072.7	0.2	4072.9	50.2	55.3	83.6	-0.1	61.1	-9.9	250.3	24.9	1737.5	149.0	1641.0
JUN 19 1983	3215.7	0.8	3216.5	50.2	55.3	90.6	-0.1	54.1	-7.5	240.2	23.2	2249.8	1576.2	1374.0
JUN 19 1983	3618.8	0.4	3638.6	50.2	55.3	93.5	-0.0	50.7	-6.0	249.6	27.8	2316.7	1934.6	1530.0
JUN 19 1983	1669.9	2.8	3670.7	50.2	55.3	100.5	-0.0	48.3	-4.7	254.3	30.5	3446.9	2150.7	1267.0
JUN 19 1983	3556.7	0.7	3557.4	50.2	55.3	92.3	-0.0	47.3	-3.7	245.0	13.0	3345.3	2219.5	63.4
JUN 19 1983	3423.4	1.2	3424.6	50.2	55.3	92.3	-0.0	45.6	-2.8	36.3	361.7	2329.3	63.1	1367.0
JUN 19 1983	3598.9	0.4	3899.3	50.2	55.3	92.3	-0.0	43.1	-2.0	240.9	34.6	363.1	2129.3	1358.0
JUN 19 1983	4193.2	1.0	4194.0	50.2	55.3	92.3	-0.0	41.8	-1.4	239.5	30.2	3984.7	2196.7	1571.0
JUN 19 1983	4127.0	1.1	4128.0	50.2	55.3	84.5	-0.0	30.6	-0.8	229.5	29.9	3416.7	2307.6	1798.0
JUN 19 1983	10184.6	0.6	10184.6	50.2	52.9	90.4	-0.6	929.6	-19.3	1123.0	41.6	9103.2	1917.1	5108.7
JUN 19 1983	9226.8	2.8	9226.8	50.2	54.6	77.0	-2.4	295.3	55.7	4477.1	28.9	9478.5	1650.1	1040.6
JUN 19 1983	1992.1	0.5	1992.1	50.2	55.1	81.9	-1.4	269.3	33.0	456.5	24.7	1670.3	1670.3	445.0
JUN 19 1983	3061.7	1.1	3061.7	50.2	55.1	81.1	-0.7	187.9	16.0	374.2	26.6	3614.3	1777.4	515.1
4FEB	3476.6	0.7	3477.3	50.2	55.1	83.6	-0.1	130.9	-6.8	319.8	27.8	3385.2	1781.4	449.1

* SIE & INDUCED GNDWTR PUMDAGE (COLUMNS 7 AND 9) NOT INCLUDED AS A DEDUCTION PER U.S. JUSTICE DEPT. OPINION (*** COLUMNS 10 & 11)

卷之三

STABILISATION = ?
AR + VSE = 3A11V

INDIANA DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE MONTH OF JULY, 1983

DATE	DATA AND PRESENTED IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)		SEWER		LAKE MICH. DOWNS PUMPAGE		DIRECT DIVERSION THROUGH LAKE RUNOFF	
	TOTAL FLOW THROUGH CANAL (1+2)	DIVISION ABOVE GAGE	INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE	INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DESI PLAINES REACHING IN ILLINOIS WATRSHD	INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DESI PLAINES REACHING IN INDIANA CANAL	INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM DESI PLAINES REACHING IN INDIANA CANAL	PUMPAGE FROM LAKE MICH. NOT DISCHRG	LAKE RUNOFF FROM DIVERST CANAL *** ILLINOIS WATRSHD STRTRS
JUL 1 1983	5789.0	0.7	5789.7	50.2	54.4	78.8	0.2	562.4
JUL 1 1983	24278.0	0.6	24278.6	52.1	52.1	76.1	32.6	745.6
JUL 1 1983	16263.0	1.2	16264.2	52.2	55.2	76.6	18.4	1597.9
JUL 1 1983	7754.0	0.5	7054.0	50.2	55.3	75.7	9.6	1138.9
JUL 1 1983	4747.5	0.7	4748.2	50.2	55.3	78.8	5.6	67.6
JUL 1 1983	4512.5	0.5	4512.5	50.2	55.3	81.6	2.6	643.6
JUL 1 1983	4529.3	0.7	4530.0	50.2	55.3	86.1	0.2	1628.3
JUL 1 1983	4125.0	0.7	4125.7	50.2	55.3	70.9	0.1	4334.4
JUL 1 1983	4181.7	0.5	4182.2	50.2	55.3	91.4	0.6	1687.0
JUL 1 1983	4383.6	0.6	4384.5	50.2	55.3	84.6	0.4	824.4
JUL 1 1983	4164.6	0.6	4165.5	50.2	55.3	85.5	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4268.4	1.0	4268.6	50.2	55.3	85.3	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4049.5	1.0	4052.5	50.2	55.3	85.2	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	3976.4	0.7	3977.0	50.2	55.3	85.0	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4163.0	1.3	4164.3	50.2	55.3	85.0	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4203.4	0.9	4204.3	50.2	55.3	87.1	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	3780.8	0.9	3781.7	50.2	55.3	85.1	-0.1	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	5275.0	0.7	5276.7	50.2	55.3	83.6	0.2	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	5622.0	0.9	5622.0	50.2	55.2	84.9	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	6436.1	1.1	6437.1	50.2	55.2	87.0	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4554.2	1.2	4555.4	50.2	55.3	87.9	0.1	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4056.6	1.0	4057.6	50.2	55.3	87.9	0.4	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4157.4	1.0	4158.0	50.2	55.3	94.2	0.2	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4945.4	1.2	3916.3	50.2	55.3	83.1	0.2	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	3841.9	1.2	3947.2	50.2	55.3	92.9	0.2	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	3949.5	1.2	3949.5	50.2	55.3	93.6	0.2	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4050.4	1.4	4050.4	50.2	55.3	95.0	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	3729.3	1.0	3729.3	50.2	55.3	84.9	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	3727.9	1.0	3513.2	50.2	55.3	85.1	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	1511.6	1.6	3511.6	50.2	55.3	85.1	0.0	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	4076.8	0.8	4076.8	50.2	55.3	79.7	0.1	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	2756.0	0.7	2757.6	50.2	55.3	77.0	0.1	1220.4
JUL 1 1983	5459.0	0.9	5459.9	50.2	55.0	83.2	2.4	100.3
MEAN	5459.0	0.9	5459.9	50.2	55.0	83.2	2.4	1457.31

** SEWER INDUCED GROUNDWATER PUMPAGE (COLUNMS 7 AND 8) NOT INCLUDED AS A DEDUCTION OF FR 0.5. JUSTICE DEPT. OPINION (COLUNM 1-5 COLUMNS 8+9+10)

INDIANA DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT
THE MONTH OF JULY, 1983

INDIANA

**INDIC DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSIFICATION REPORT
THE MONTH OF AUGUST, 1941
DATA ARE PRESENTED IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)**

INCLIS DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES DIVERSION ACCOUNTING REPORT

DAY	MONTH	YEAR	CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CFS)													
			LOCK-PORT GAGE	DIVERSEN ABOVE GAGE	TOTAL FLOW THROUGH CANAL (1+2)	WATER FROM MICH. WATPSHD	GRNDWTR PUMPAGE FROM LAKE	SEWER INDUCED GRNDWTR PUMPAGE	WATER SUPPLY FROM DIVERTED INDIANA WATPSHD	RUNOFF FROM DIVERTED INDIANA WATPSHD	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS FROM PLAINES REACHING IN * CANAL	LAKE MICH. DOMEST. PUMPAGE NOT DIVERTED	PUMPAGE FROM LAKE	RUNOFF FROM MICH. ACCTABLE DIVERTED TO CANAL	TOTAL DIVERSIONS (W/ADJ) ***	DIRECT DIVERSIONS THROUGH LAKE CONTROL STRUCTS
1	SEP	1983	2879.6	0.5	2880.1	50.2	55.3	84.0	0.0	26.5	4.5	216.0	28.7	2692.8	1973.8	41.8
2	SEP	1983	119.6	0.6	1120.1	50.2	55.3	69.1	0.0	27.3	3.9	201.9	28.6	2056.4	34.4	960.0
3	SEP	1983	1060.5	0.7	1061.2	50.2	55.3	69.0	0.0	26.1	3.6	193.4	25.3	2885.6	30.9	996.0
4	SEP	1983	3274.0	0.9	3274.9	50.2	55.3	62.0	0.0	25.0	3.4	193.4	26.4	3105.9	29.9	969.0
5	SEP	1983	3274.0	0.7	3760.4	50.2	54.7	48.6	0.0	112.9	3.9	126.6	26.9	3520.2	1929.0	896.3
6	SEP	1983	3759.7	0.7	37466.9	50.2	54.7	49.1	0.1	282.7	19.3	436.3	33.8	7094.3	1770.5	1919.9
7	SEP	1983	74.96	1.4	310.4	50.2	55.3	54.7	0.1	40.8	12.4	208.3	24.9	1804.4	2127.7	1725.9
8	SEP	1983	0.4	0.4	310.8	50.2	55.3	55.2	0.1	33.9	8.3	194.6	27.2	2723.2	1977.8	876.0
9	SEP	1983	2881.2	1.0	2882.0	50.2	55.3	48.8	0.0	29.7	6.2	184.0	26.9	328.4	54.4	872.0
10	SEP	1983	2879.4	0.9	2880.3	50.2	55.3	55.0	0.0	55.0	5.1	215.5	2016.0	148.0	148.0	946.0
11	SEP	1983	3570.0	0.7	3500.7	50.2	55.3	62.4	0.0	30.4	4.7	198.3	150.1	161.1	150.1	1213.0
12	SEP	1983	3247.7	0.3	3247.7	50.2	55.3	76.4	0.0	28.2	4.3	210.1	24.3	3158.3	1675.5	1257.0
13	SEP	1983	3342.5	0.5	3344.0	50.2	55.3	77.0	0.2	27.4	6.5	209.9	23.8	3825.0	1649.5	425.1
14	SEP	1983	4073.8	0.3	4038.1	50.2	55.3	69.1	0.0	26.7	6.1	201.3	24.5	3568.9	1634.9	64.3
15	SEP	1983	3745.8	0.3	3745.7	50.2	55.3	69.1	0.0	19.4	7.7	6.1	22.7	3991.3	1613.3	357.4
16	SEP	1983	4319.2	0.3	4319.5	50.2	55.3	55.0	0.0	94.5	3.9	269.2	24.2	4292.6	1548.9	304.1
17	SEP	1983	4537.4	0.4	4537.7	50.2	55.2	69.3	0.0	94.5	3.9	342.5	27.8	4867.4	1548.9	892.1
18	SEP	1983	4192.0	0.7	5182.2	50.2	54.2	69.2	0.2	168.7	6.3	168.7	29.1	4916.1	1529.6	3724.5
19	SEP	1983	5841.0	0.3	5841.3	50.2	55.3	62.6	0.2	787.6	31.6	954.4	29.1	4916.1	1529.6	3724.5
20	SEP	1983	11691.0	1.1	11692.1	50.2	53.9	64.5	1.4	709.8	19.3	878.4	31.9	10845.6	1642.8	2253.0
21	SEP	1983	15511.0	0.4	15511.4	50.2	53.8	74.7	4.1	551.0	137.8	729.8	34.5	1620.8	1684.5	1626.0
22	SEP	1983	4100.3	0.3	4100.3	50.2	55.3	62.7	0.7	199.4	96.4	367.6	25.9	1568.6	1568.6	1080.9
23	SEP	1983	4100.3	0.2	4100.3	50.2	55.3	62.5	0.4	121.3	55.3	289.3	23.9	3547.2	1523.7	1429.0
24	SEP	1983	3810.4	0.2	3810.4	50.2	55.2	55.3	0.2	81.5	34.8	242.6	21.1	3041.6	1546.4	1513.0
25	SEP	1983	3260.0	0.3	3260.3	50.2	55.2	55.3	0.2	80.9	24.6	209.3	21.1	3412.6	1501.6	1469.0
26	SEP	1983	3610.0	0.2	3610.2	50.2	55.2	42.9	0.2	60.9	19.3	199.3	21.6	3368.3	1436.1	1163.0
27	SEP	1983	3549.0	0.2	3548.6	50.2	55.3	42.9	0.1	50.7	16.6	200.1	22.4	3201.9	1559.1	85.2
28	SEP	1983	3177.2	0.3	3177.5	50.2	55.3	49.1	0.1	43.3	15.1	197.8	22.7	3279.3	1624.0	1564.0
29	SEP	1983	3455.0	0.3	3454.3	50.2	55.3	62.2	0.1	38.8	14.1	206.5	24.7	3685.8	1645.1	1477.0
30	SEP	1983	3467.2	0.4	3457.6	50.2	55.3	62.1	0.1	39.7	13.2	207.3	24.6	3174.9	1660.8	49.1
31	SEP	1983	3157.1	0.5	3157.6	50.2	55.3	62.1	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
1	OCT	1983	2474.7	1.1	2474.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
2	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
3	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
4	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
5	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
6	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
7	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
8	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
9	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
10	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
11	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
12	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
13	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
14	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
15	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
16	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
17	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
18	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
19	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
20	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
21	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
22	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
23	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
24	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
25	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
26	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
27	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
28	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
29	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
30	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
31	OCT	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
1	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
2	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
3	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
4	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
5	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
6	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
7	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
8	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
9	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	2293.6	1646.5	571.0
10	NOV	1983	2473.7	1.1	2473.7	50.2	55.3	55.3	0.1	40.0	12.6	207.6	26.5	229		