

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 11/18/2015

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, LRC-2015-437, Iron Oaks Nature Center

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: South of Vollmer Road and West of Western Avenue

State: Illinois County/parish/borough: **Cook** City: Olympia Fields
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.52657°N, Long. - 87.67678° W.
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: Butterfield Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: **South Branch, Chicago River**

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): **Chicago (07120003)**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 10/22/2015

Field Determination. Date(s): 8/6/2015

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: Defined in People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, slip op. at 7 (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979).

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):¹

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or 0.37 acres.

Wetlands: 0.37 acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: **Midwest Supplement**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: **Pick List.**

Summarize rationale supporting determination: As defined in People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, slip op. at 7 (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979).

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": .

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

- TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Water was flowing in Wetland 1 during a site visit during dry weather..
 Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
 Other non-wetland waters: **0.37** acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: **stream with wetlands.**

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: **water flowing during a dry month.**
 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: **0.37** acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: .
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
 Corps navigable waters' study: .
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:Harvey HA 90, 1964, .
 USGS NHD data.
 USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Harvey 7.5", 1993, Pick List, Pick List, Pick List, .
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: **NRCS Web Soil Survey.**
 National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Harvey, .
 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): **Pick List,** .
 FEMA/FIRM maps: .
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
 Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 2012.
or Other (Name & Date): from field visit 8/6/2015.
 Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
 Applicable/supporting case law: People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979)
 Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
 Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Wetland 1 is a 0.37 acre tributary/wetland complex. It flows north and drops into a pipe that runs underneath the golf course to Butterfield Creek.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 11/18/2015

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, LRC-2015-437, Iron Oaks Nature Center

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: South of Vollmer Road and West of Western Avenue
State: Illinois County/parish/borough: **Cook** City: Olympia Fields
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.52657°N, Long. - 87.67678° W.
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: Butterfield Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: **South Branch, Chicago River**

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): **Chicago (07120003)**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 10/22/2015

Field Determination. Date(s): 8/6/2015

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **Wetland 2 and Wetland 3 together are 1.69 acres. Wetland 2 is within the floodplain of Butterfield Creek and no hydrologic connection was found between the wetland and Butterfield Creek. Wetland 3 is at a higher elevation and can flow through an artificial channel to Wetland 2.**

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):²

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .

Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

Wetlands: acres.

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

² Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: 1.69 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: .
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:Harvey HA 90, 1964, .
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Harvey 7.5", 1993, Pick List, Pick List, Pick List, .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Harvey, .
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Pick List, .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 2012.
or Other (Name & Date): from field visit 8/6/2015.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Wetland 2 is about 850 feet from Butterfield Creek. Wetland 3 is at a high elevation and during a wet season or storm event would flow west through an artificial channel to Wetland 2. .

- Area(s) are geographically isolated. Wetland 3 flows to Wetland 2. Wetland 2 is a depression area.
- Area(s) do not have a hydrologic nexus. Wetland 2 is separated from wetlands north of Vollmer Road. The road is significantly higher than wetlands on both sides, and there were no field indications that water was able to flow from one side to the other. A trail and parking lot in the floodplain west of Wetland 2 are also at a higher elevation and would prevent flow of water to Butterfield Creek.
 - Area(s) do not have an ecological nexus.
 - Area(s) do not have evidence of a subsurface flow connection to a jurisdictional water. A thorough field investigation was unable to find any evidence of a pipe either within wetland 2 or in the forest north of Vollmer Road.
 - Area(s) do not have evidence of surface overland sheet flow. Wetland 2 is probably about 20 feet or more lower than Vollmer Road, and at the lowest elevation in the project area.
 - Area(s) are not located within the flood plain. Wetland 3 is not in a floodplain. Wetland 2 is within the floodplain, which continues north of Vollmer road in the forest which borders Butterfield Creek.



Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

PIRONS OAKS ENVIRONMENTAL LEARNING CENTER\110023.2015\110023.2015_A.WD.mxd

CLIENT:  **Trons Oaks**
 Environmental Learning Center

TITLE: **APPROXIMATE WETLAND DELINEATION**

CBBEL # 11-0023.2015
 DATE: 5/29/15

 **CHRISTOPHER B. BURKE ENGINEERING, LTD.**
 9575 W. Higgins Road, Suite 600 • Rosemont, Illinois 60018 • (847) 823-0500

DWN.	KEK	SCALE:	1" = 200'
CHKD.	RPS	PLOT DATE:	6/2/2015
FILE NAME:	110023.2015_AWD		

EXH 6