

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Hartz Construction Company PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Fidler, Frieders and Cibulskis Properties in Aurora, Kane County, Illinois (Sections 10, 11 and 13, T38N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200501091 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[X] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA NORTH.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: AURORA NORTH, HA 70.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):DigiAir, no date
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: September 1, 2005 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
[X] Other information: FEMA Firm, Wetland Delineation Reports, dated August and September 2005, prepared by

CBBEL

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The Fidler Property contains waters of the United States. Wetland 2 is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) due to its connection to Indian Creek. There is surface flow that continues south through a swale and under the railroad tracks to Indian Creek. Indian Creek is a tributary of the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Wetlands 1, 3 and 4 on the Fidler Property do not have a surface water tributary system connection and are isolated. Therefore, Wetlands 1, 3 and 4 on the Fidler Property are not under the jurisdiction of the USACE. The Frieders Parcel does not have any wetlands or waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the USACE. The Cibulskis Property contains one wetland that is in a closed depression, does not have a surface water tributary system connection and is isolated. Therefore, the wetland on the Cibulskis property is not under the jurisdiction of the USACE.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 2005 , prepared by CBBEL.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 1/6/06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 1/9/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

**INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
FILE NUMBER: 200501091

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Jaimee Hammit **Date:** 01/06/06

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) **Date:** 01/06/06
At the project site (Y/N) **Date:** 09/01/05

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Illinois
County: Kane
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Fidler/Lat: 41-46-37, Lon: 88-16-8,
Frieders/Lat: 41-47-37, Lon: 88-18-8, Cibulskis/Lat: 41-47-26, Lon: 88-17-42
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 20, 10 and 10?
Name of waterway or watershed: Indian Creek/Fox River

SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
River	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Stream	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Dry Wash	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Mudflat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Sandflat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Wetlands	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				
Slough	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Prairie pothole	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Wet meadow	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Playa lake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Vernal pool	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Natural pond	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Other water (identify type)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Beritus, Inc
Mokena, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200500684

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: LaGrange Road and South of LaPorte Road in

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: TINLEY PARK.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: TINLEY PARK, 152.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: TINLEY PARK, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): Mokena, 1997
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on subject property drains into Hickory Creek. Hickory Creek flows into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 17 Jan 06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 17 Jan 06

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlandson agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: ISTHA PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: I-90 Plaza 7 (Marengo) at Coon Creek in Coral Township, McHenry County, IL

FILE NUMBER: 200501058 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Other:
Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MARENGO SOUTH.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MARENGO SOUTH, HA 463.
[X] USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MARENGO SOUTH, 1968.
U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
Site Visit Conducted on:
[X] Other information: Wetland Delineation Report dated August 11, 2005, and prepared by HDR, Inc.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Discharge of fill material into wetlands and tributaries of Coon Creek tributary of Kishwaukee River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [X] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[X] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[X] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[X] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 17 JAN 06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 18 JAN 06

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlandson agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Nicolet Properties VI, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Property located west of Route 47 and south of Main Street in Elburn, Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 20, T39N R7E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600059 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SUGAR GROVE.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SUGAR GROVE, HA 227.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SUGAR GROVE, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): DigiAir, Fall 2004
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: December 1, 2005 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
[X] Other information: FEMA FIRM

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Blackberry Creek and the wetland contiguous with it (designated as Area I in the V3 Wetland Delineation and Assessment Report) are under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Blackberry Creek is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Therefore, Area I has a surface water tributary system connection with a navigable waterway and is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 7, 2005, prepared by V3 Companies.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 1/18/06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 1/17/06

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Westminster Swanson Land Partners LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200600195 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MCHENRY.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2001, 2002, 2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): No wetlands or waters of the United States are present on the project site.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 1-19-06

Approved by: _____ Date: 1-19-06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Hunters Gate, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Property located west of Geneva Road, north of Roosevelt Street and south of Horne Street in St. Charles, Kane County, Illinois (SE 1/4 of Section 34, T40N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600304 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: GENEVA.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: GENEVA, HA 142.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps:
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GENEVA, 1949.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):USGS, April 10, 2002
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[X] Other information: FEMA FIRM. Negative Wetland Findings Report, dated January 9, 2006, prepared by ENCAP, Inc.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The unnamed tributary on-site is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Therefore, the unnamed tributary on-site is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 9, 2006 , prepared by ENCAP, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ Date: 1/23/06

Approved by: [Signature] _____ Date: 1/23/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Jim Velos PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Property located off Glen Oak Drive in Sleepy Hollow, Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 28, T42N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600321 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELGIN, HA 147.
[] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: January 10, 2006 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The on-site tributary is Jelkes Creek, which is a tributary of the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Therefore, Jelkes Creek is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 1/23/06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 1/23/06

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^3 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Krause Custom Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 7S451 Ironwood Drive, Naperville, DuPage County, Illinois / West Branch DuPage River Watershed

FILE NUMBER: 200600249 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NAPERVILLE.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: NAPERVILLE, HA 154.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: NAPERVILLE, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: 20 January 2006
- Other information: December 9, 2005 EnCap, Inc. Wetland Delineation Report

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject unnamed tributary is connected to the West Branch DuPage River, which flows into the DuPage River, which is a tributary of the Illinois River, a navigable in-fact waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 9, 2005, prepared by EnCap, Inc.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____

Date: 24 JAN 06

Approved by: _____

Date: 24 Jan 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Rot's Building & Development, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 7S610 Donwood Drive, Naperville, DuPage County, Illinois / East Branch DuPage River Watershed

FILE NUMBER: 200600235 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WHEATON.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WHEATON, HA 148.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WHEATON, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 20 January 2006
[X] Other information: Wetland Delineation Report by EnCap, Inc. dated December 1,2005.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject pond with wetland fringe, and the unnamed tributary which the pond is adjacent and contiguous with, flows off site and into the East Branch DuPage River, which is a tributary of the DuPage River, which flows into the Illinois River, a navigable in-fact waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[] wetland boundary
[X] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[X] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 1, 2005, prepared by EnCap, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended:

Date: 24 JAN 06

Approved by:

Date: 24 Jan 06

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Kackert and Associates, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South side of Butterfield Road, just west of Route 59 in Warrenville, DuPage County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200600260 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NAPERVILLE.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: NAPERVILLE, HA 154.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: NAPERVILLE, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: January 20, 2006
- Other information: December 9, 2005 Wetland Delineation Report by EnCap, Inc.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject wetland is adjacent and contiguous to a tributary to Ferry Creek, which flows into the West Branch DuPage River, which flows into the DuPage River, which is a tributary to the Illinois River, a navigable in-fact waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 9, 2005, prepared by EnCap Inc.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended

Date: 23 JAN 06

Approved by: _____

Date: 24 Jan 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Illinois Housing Development Authority PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: West of Maple Avenue and South of Lake Street in Bloomingdale, DuPage County, Illinois / Meacham Creek

FILE NUMBER: 200600202 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: January 20, 2006
- Other information: Hey & Associates, Inc. November 2005 Wetland Delineation Report

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject vegetated swale runs north and into a large pipe under Lake Street which discharges into Meacham Creek behind an auto yard. Meacham Creek flows into the East Branch DuPage River, which connects to the DuPage River, which flows into the Illinois River, a navigable in-fact waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated November 2005, prepared by Hey & Associates, Inc.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____

Date: 23 JAN 06

Approved by: _____

Date: 24 JAN 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Naperville Church of Christ PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 2035 E. 75th Street, Naperville, DuPage County, Illinois / East Branch DuPage River Watershed

FILE NUMBER: 200600266 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce...
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands).
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US.
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US...
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams... mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[X] Other: Detention Basin and Roadside Ditch
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ROMEOVILLE.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ROMEOVILLE, HA 146.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ROMEOVILLE, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 20 January 2006
[X] Other information: EnCap, Inc. Wetland Delineation Report dated December 9, 2005

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject detention basins and ditch are connected to an unnamed tributary of the East Branch DuPage River, which flows to the DuPage River, which is a tributary of the Illinois River, a navigable in-fact waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[] wetland boundary
[X] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 9, 2005, prepared by EnCap, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 24 JAN 06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 24 JAN 06

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Bank One Trust Company, NA PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: West of Golf Road, South of Hill Top Road In Long Grove, Lake County, Illinois (SE Section 19, T43N, R11E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600285 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WHEELING, HA 71.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): 2002
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[X] Other information: June 25, 2003

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The one wetland identified on the project site drains north to Kildeer Creek, a tributary to Indian Creek, which flows into the Des Plaines River, a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 1/24/06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village Redevelopment Group, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Deep Lake/Lake Villa

FILE NUMBER: 200600286

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ANTIOCH, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: January 19, 2006
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Deep Lake is a tributary to the Fox River, a navigable water of the United States, via Sequoit Creek and is therefore also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 5, 2005, prepared by Phoenix Environmental.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: -5- Date: 1-24-06

Approved by: Date:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: USDA Forest Service - MNTP PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Prairie Creek Bridges PC #3 and PC #16 within MNTP in Wilmington Will County, IL
FILE NUMBER: 200600347 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WILMINGTON.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WILMINGTON, 306.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: WILMINGTON, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: 23 JAN 2006
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Proposed Discharge of fill material into Prairie Creek tributary to the Kankakee River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 20, 2006, prepared by Robert A. Hommes (MNTP).
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 25 JAN 2006

Approved by: _____ Date: 25 JAN 2006

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Catholic Bishop of Chicago

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Aptakisic Creek/Long Grove

FILE NUMBER: 200600251

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WHEELING, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000 & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- Site Visit Conducted on: January 5, 2006
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): All of the wetlands and waters on site are tributary to, or adjacent to a tributary of Aptakisic Creek, which is tributary to the Des Plaines River. The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore the wtlands and waters on site are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 1/27/04

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Lake Forest Academy PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: West Of Lake Forest Academy In Lake Forest, Lake County, Illinois (Sections 31 and 36, T44N, R12E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600317 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LIBERTYVILLE, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): 2002
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1, as identified in your delineation report, is under the jurisdiction of this office because it drains east to the North Branch of the Chicago River, which is a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 25, 2005, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 4/27/06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Waukegan Park District

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Waukegan River/Lake Michigan

FILE NUMBER: 200500112

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WAUKEGAN, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000 & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The Waukegan River is tributary to Lake Michigan, a navigable water of the United States, and therefore is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ - 5 _____ Date: 1/26/06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: City of Chicago DOT PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Ashland Avenue at the South Fork of the South Branch of the Chicago River

FILE NUMBER: 200300138 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[X] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[X] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ENGLEWOOD.
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The proposed activity will take place within the South Branch of the Chciago River which is a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 1-25-06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: KLM Development PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Spring Grove

FILE NUMBER: 200500978 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FOX LAKE.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FOX LAKE, HA 151.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on: August 23, 2005
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Area 1 and Area 2, as identified in the Wetland Delineation Report dated July 19, 2005, as prepared by V3 Companies of Illinois, Ltd., exhibit a surface water connection to a navigable waterway and are therefore considered waters of the U.S. by the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 19, 2005, prepared by V3 Companies of Illinois, Ltd.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 1/30/06

Approved by: _____ Date: 1/30/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: John Nelson PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lot #4 in Farmington Ridge Southeast of the Intersection of Farmington Drive and Farmington Road in South Barrington, Cook County, Illinois (Section 24, T42N, R9E).

FILE NUMBER: 200600222 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STREAMWOOD.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: STREAMWOOD, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): Cook County 1998 and 2003
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

The wetland on the property drains to Poplar Creek, then into the Fox River, a navigable water under jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ **ORIGINAL SIGNED** _____ Date: _____

Approved by: _____ Date: 3/1 Jan 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Crystal Tree Golf Course PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Ponds on Crystal Tree Golf and Country Club Southeast of 143rd Street and 108th Avenue in Orland Park, Cook County, Illinois (Section 8, T36N, R12E).

FILE NUMBER: 200600264 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MOKENA, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerials (Name & Date):Cook County 1998
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Ponds 1 and 2 were created online to Spring Creek, which flows to Hickory Creek, then the Des Plaines River, a navigable water under jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: 3/25/06

Approved by: Date:

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlandson agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Jackie Hoffman PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Property Located at 9997 Virginia Avenue, Chicago Ridge, Cook County, Illinois (Section 7, T37N, R13E).

FILE NUMBER: 200600122 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
[] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: PALOS PARK, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[X] Aerials (Name & Date):Cook County 1998
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 16 December 2005
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): No wetlands or other waters were found on the property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[X] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 5 Jan 06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Orland Hills PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Detention Basin Southeast of 169th Place and 94th Avenue in Orland Hills, Cook County, Illinois (Section 27, T36N, R12E).

FILE NUMBER: 200600100 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
[] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: TINLEY PARK, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[X] Aerials (Name & Date):Cook County 1998 and 2003
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 16 December 2005
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): No waters or wetlands were apparent on the property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[X] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ - 5 - Date: 5 Jun 06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: AGL Development Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North Branch/Mettawa

FILE NUMBER: 200501135 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LIBERTYVILLE, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: August 9, 2005
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands on site drain into the ditch along Bradley Road and are tributary to the North Branch of the Chicago River via the Tollway Ditches and the Dalitsch Pond. The Chicago River is a navigable water of the United States and therefore the onsite wetlands are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 2005, prepared by Bollinger, Lach and Associates.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 1/6/06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Wilcox Development Group PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: East Side of Rt 59, three-quarters of a mile South of Renwick Road in DuPage River watershed in Plainfield Township, Will County, IL (SW 1/4 Sec 22 T36N R9E)
FILE NUMBER: 200600178 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PLAINFIELD.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: PLAINFIELD, 228.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: PLAINFIELD, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 12 JAN 2006
[X] Other information: NRCS Determination dated February 15, 2002.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no waters on the project site.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: [Signature]

Approved by: [Signature] Date: [Signature]

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Jack Riser PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 114 Thorobred Lane, Sleepy Hollow, Kane County, Illinois (NW 1/4 of Section 28, T42N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200501151 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce...
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands).
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US.
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US...
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): DigiAir 2004
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 11/22/05 by Jaimee Hammit and 1/10/06 by Jaimee Hammit and Kate Bliss of the USACE, Chicago District
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The property does not contain any waters of the United States. There is a tributary just off of the property that would be regulated by the Army Corps of Engineers due to its surface water tributary system connection to the Fox River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ Date: 1/12/06

Approved by: _____ Date: 1/17/06

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Brian Dewitt

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Des Plaines River/Beach Park

FILE NUMBER: 200500188

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WADSWORTH, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004 & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland on site does not have a discernable surface connection to a water of the United States and is therefore is not under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- the presence of litter and debris
- shelving
- changes in the character of soil
- other
- wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: _____

Approved by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: 5/16/02

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Cornerstone Services, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the Intersection of Black Road and Ann Street in Joliet, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200600294 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PLAINFIELD.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: PLAINFIELD, 228.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: PLAINFIELD, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): Plainfield, 1997
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands located on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 17 Jan 06

Approved by: _____ Date: 17 Jan 06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Westminster Swanson Land Partners LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200600197 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MCHENRY.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2001, 2002, 2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): No wetlands or waters of the United States are present on the project site. A Wetland Delineation Report dated March 5, 2004, as prepared by Raisanen & Associates, details two farmed wetland signatures that were only present in 20% of representative years. Even if these areas had qualified as wetlands, they exhibit no surface water connection to a navigable waterway and are not jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 1-19-06

Approved by: _____ Date: 1-23-06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlandson agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Westminster Swanson Land Partners LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200600196 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2001, 2002, 2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no waters or wetlands on the project site

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 1-23-2006

Approved by: _____ Date: 1-23-2006

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlandson agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Westminster Swanson Land Partners LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200600197 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MCHENRY.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2001, 2002, 2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): No wetlands or waters of the United States are present on the project site. A Wetland Delineation Report dated March 5, 2004, as prepared by Raisanen & Associates, details two farmed wetland signatures that were only present in 20% of representative years. Even if these areas had qualified as wetlands, they exhibit no surface water connection to a navigable waterway and are not jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 1-24-06

Approved by: _____ Date: 1-24-06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlandson agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.