APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: City of Chicago, Mayor’s Office of Special Events  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South Side of the Chicago River Just West of Lake Shore Drive in the City of Chicago, Cook County, Illinois/Chicago River

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-946  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  Office

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site
☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHICAGO LOOP.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  
☒ Aerials (Name & Date):
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:  
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):
The Chicago River is a jurisdictional, section 10 waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☒ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by:  
Date:  7-31-06

Approved by:  
Date:  

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Deformance Method (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Linda Pappen Knight
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 65-acre site located east and west of Route 47, north of Route 72 and south of Reinking Road in Rutland Township, Kane County, Illinois (NW 1/4 of Section 29 and NE 1/4 of Section 30, T42N R7E)

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-486

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PINEGROVE GROVE.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): No source, Sept. 2004
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: April 18, 2006 by Jaimee Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM; Wetland Delineation Report, dated January 24, 2006, prepared by CBBEL.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 is actually a wetland at the headwaters of Eakin Creek, which flows into the Kishwaukee River, a navigable waterway. Wetland 2 is directly adjacent to Wetland 1/headwaters of Eakin Creek. Therefore, Wetland 1 and Wetland 2 are under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for their surface water tributary system connection to Eakin Creek and ultimately, the Kishwaukee River. A farmed wetland is also on-site (southeastern corner) that has a surface water tributary system connection to Tyler Creek, which flows to the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Therefore, the farmed wetland on-site and Tyler Creek are also under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soils ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: __________
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Level 3 Communications, LLC       PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Aptakisic Creek/Buffalo Grove
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-881          PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
☒ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: __________.
☐ Other information: __________.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Aptakisic Creek is tributary to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, and therefore also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☒ changes in the character of soil ☒ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated __________, prepared by __________.
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary __________.

Recommended by: ______________________ Date: 7/28/04

Approved by: ______________________ Date: __________.

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Level 3 Communications, LLC  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Des Plaines River/Buffalo Grove
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-840  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office [ ] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 1004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam and therefore a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army above Hoffman Dam.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
 enclosed High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☒ other
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) 
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria 
☒ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: 7/28/06

Approved by: ___________________________ Date:

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (97 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Madina Masjid

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest of the Intersection of Manhattan-Monee Road and 80th Street in Green Garden, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-552

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [ ] Office [ ] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands)
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): Green Garden, 1997
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: May 19, 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject property drains into the DuPage River. The DuPage River flows into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated June 26, 2006, prepared by Bollinger, Lach & Associates.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________ Date: 26 June 2006

Approved by: ____________________ Date: 26 June 2006

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLIED: Mitch Kasper  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: ¾ Mile West of the Intersection of Burville Road and Klemme Road in Crete, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200600392 (LRC-2006-23488)  
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: DYER.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Crete, 1997
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: June 19, 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): *. Wetlands 1-6 are tributaries that flow into Plum Creek. Wetland 6 drains towards Wetland 5 through cut drainage paths that head west eventually fanning out towards Wetland 5. Plum Creek drains into the Calumet River, a navigable waters of the U.S. and is therefore jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) 
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria 
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 25, 2005, prepared by.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: ____________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: ____________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (e.g., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: John Ratkovich
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest of the Intersection of Manhattan-Monee Road and 80th Street in Green Garden, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-646
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Green Garden, 1997
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: June 19, 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject property drains into Forked Creek. Forked Creek flows into the Kankakee River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☑ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☑ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☑ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 31, 2005, prepared by McGowan Ecological, Inc.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: S Date: 26-1-06
Approved by: 5 Date: 20-J-06

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Eiden and O'Donnell, Ltd.

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Indian Creek/Hawthorn Woods

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-568

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: July 20, 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands 1 and 2 are tributary to the Fox River via Squaw Creek and wetlands 3 and 5 are tributary to the Des Plaines River via Indian Creek. The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States as is the Des Plaines River Hoffman Dam, therefore their tributaries are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Wetlands 4 and 6 do not have a discernable surface water connection to a navigable water of the United States and are therefore not under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☒ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated March 2006 (revised July 24, 2006), prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPARENT JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: City of Chicago Department of Environment PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North Branch of the Chicago River Within the Northeast Section of the Diversey Turning Basin, City of Chicago, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-913 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))

☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))

☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))

☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))

☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).

☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHICAGO LOOP.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☑️ Aerials (Name & Date):
☑️ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):
The project will take place within the North Branch of the Chicago River which is a Section 10, waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: 7-24-06

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophyte vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: __________
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): __________
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: July 13, 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):
The wetlands were observed on or near the western portion of the property adjacent to the power line right-of-way and at the northern extent of the property. These wetlands drain east to Wolf Lake and then to Lake Michigan, a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☒ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated __________, prepared by __________.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: JUL 19 2006

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: GL-DB, LLC
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Skokie River/North Chicago
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-879
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands\(^1\)). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent\(^2\) (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: __________.
☐ Other information: __________.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The Skokie River is a tributary of the Chicago River, a navigable water of the United States, and therefore also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☑ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated __________, prepared by __________.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: ___________________________________ Date: __________________________

\(^1\) Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

\(^2\) Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Jim Lindgren  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Duck Lake/Fox Lake

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-863  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: X Office □ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ____________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: ____________.
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland and channel on site are tributary to Duck Lake which is itself tributary to the Fox River via the Fox Chain of Lakes. The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States, therefore the wetland and channel on the property are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 2006, prepared by Varga and Associates.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________ Date: 1/10/06

Approved by: ____________ Date: ____________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Don Summers
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Deep Lake/Lake Villa
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-898 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland and channel on site are tributary to Duck Lake which is itself tributary to the Fox River via the Fox Chain of Lakes. The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States, therefore the wetland and channel on the property are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ shelving
☐ other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________, prepared by __________________________.
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: 7/10/04

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: VenCom Commercial Properties  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lake Michigan/Lake Bluff
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-449  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  Office  Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of navigable waters (including navigable wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of an tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
  - Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: 
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Lake Michigan is a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - the presence of litter and debris
  - other
  - changes in the character of soil
  - wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________________ Date: 7/10/06

Approved by: ____________________________ Date: 7/10/06

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Garen Johnson  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Indian Creek/Hawthorn Woods

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-785  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ___________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ___________.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ___________.
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
Site Visit Conducted on: May 18, 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland on the site has a surface water connection to the Des Plaines River via Indian Creek. The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore the wetland on the property is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☒ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated April 26, 2006, prepared by DK Environmental, Inc.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________________ Date: __7/10/06__

Approved by: ____________________________ Date: ____________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: City of Chicago, Dept of General Services PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 1142 North Branch Street, Chicago, IL/North Branch Chicago River

FILE NUMBER: 200600218 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☒ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☒ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: ☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHICAGO LOOP.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☒ Aerials (Name & Date):
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: 
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

the project site is adjacent to the North Branch of the Chicago River which is a jurisdictional section 10 waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ the presence of litter and debris ☒ shelving
☒ changes in the character of soil ☒ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☒ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☒ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☒ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ______________________________ Date: 7-11-06

Approved by: ______________________________ Date: 

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: P.T. Ferro Company  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest of the Intersection of Ferro Drive and Gougar Road in New Lenox, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-539  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOLIET.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): New Lenox, 1997
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________.
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: June 19, 2006
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject is flows into Hickory Creek Hickory Creek flows into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
do not confirm your wetland boundary
☒ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☒ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328 3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________________, prepared by __________________________.
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydroporphic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: George Zehner  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-838   PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [Office] [Field]

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ____________
☐ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________
☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 1939, 2001
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 6/26/2006
☐ Other information: McHenry County Natural Areas Inventory

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Lake Killarney drains east through a storm sewer and an unnamed tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ____________ prepared by ____________.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________________ Date: ____________

Approved by: ____________________________ Date: ____________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology) Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: John Haley
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Grass Lake/Antioch

FILE NUMBER: 200600479
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands, (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:

Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: ________
☐ Other information: ________

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Grass Lake is part of the Fox Chain of Lakes and therefore a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ the presence of litter and debris
☒ shelving
☒ changes in the character of soil
☒ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________, prepared by ________
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: 7/10/06

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: American Legion Post #703

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Fox Lake

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-483

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _________.
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Fox Lake is part of the Fox Chain of Lakes and therefore a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☑ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ the presence of litter and debris ☒ shelving
☒ changes in the character of soil ☐ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: 7/10/06

Approved by: __________________________ Date:

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Brad Ipsen
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Bluff Lake/Antioch

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-565
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ________.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- Site Visit Conducted on: March 28, 2006
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Bluff Lake is part of the Fox Chain of Lakes and therefore a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - the presence of litter and debris
  - changes in the character of soil
  - wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________ prepared by ________.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________ Date: __________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Cambridge Homes
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Unnamed Des Plaines trib/Wadsworth

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-849
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: __________
☐ Other information: __________

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The creek in question is a tributary to the Des Plaines River. The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore its tributaries are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☒ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated May 11, 2006, prepared by Christopher B Burke Eng.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ________________________ Date: 7/10/06

Approved by: ________________________ Date: ________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Kipling Development  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the Intersection of Mound Road and South River Road in Troy Township, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-550  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHANNAHON.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Troy Township, 1997.
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☒ Site Visit Conducted on:
☒ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 is an unnamed tributary to the DuPage River. The DuPage River drains into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S. Farmed Wetland 1 is adjacent to Wetland 1 and is therefore jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 4, 2006, prepared by Encap.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: 6/10/06

Approved by: __________________________ Date: 6/10/06

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (e.g., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Senior Lifestyle Corporation  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 10-acre site located south of Eagle Road and west of McLean Boulevard in Elgin, Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 10, T41N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600215 (LRC-2006-14013)  
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELGIN.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Express Limited, 2004
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 3/28/06 by Jaimee Hammit, 4/18/06 by Jaimee Hammit and Kate Bliss, and 5/18/06 site meeting with Cowhey, Jaimee Hammit and Kate Bliss
☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Tyler Creek is a tributary of the Fox River and is therefore under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. There is wetland on-site that has a surface water tributary system connection to Tyler Creek and is therefore also under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. There is more wetland on-site than what is represented in Cowhey's Jurisdictional Wetland Delineation Report, dated July 27, 2005, but per their plan, the proposed development will not impact any wetland on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☒ changes in the character of soils ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
APPLICANT: Gary Law
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry Co

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-684 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☒ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☒ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: RICHMOND.
☐ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: RICHMOND.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: RICHMOND.
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2004
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 3/23/06
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland and drainageway located on the subject property is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☑ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☑ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated April 21, 1006, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________ Date: 7/5/06

Approved by: ___________________ Date: 7/5/06

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: River & Benton, LLC  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Between Benton and Cross Streets in Aurora, Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 22, T38N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-798  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☑ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to an interstate or other water of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________.
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: May 25, 2006 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
☐ Other information: The information submitted by Patrick Engineering, received by this office on May 23, 2006

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The Fox River is a Section 10 navigable waterway and is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soils ☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________, prepared by ____________________________.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ____________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: ____________________________ Date: __________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Network Real Estate Group, Ltd. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southeast of the Intersection of South 108th Avenue and Route 30 in Frankfort, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: IPRC-2006-574 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  O Office  □ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- Site Visit Conducted on: June 19, 2006.
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributaries located on the subject property drains into Hickory Creek. Hickory Creek flows into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - the presence of litter and debris shelving
  - changes in the character of soil other:
  - wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated February 16, 2006, prepared by JFNew.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ________________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: ________________________________ Date: __________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Lockport Township High School District
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southeast of Cedar Road and 151st Street in Homer Glen, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200600441 (LRC-2006-23514)
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway

Information Reviewed:
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MOKENA, 1993
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Homer Glen, Illinois
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: March 10, 2006
☒ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland on the subject property drains into Fiddyment Creek, which then flows into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:

☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: 5/4/2006

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: 5/4/2006

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berm, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.