APPLICANT: MCCD PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Nippersink Creek, McHenry Co.

FILE NUMBER: 200500769 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (ID)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved ID is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved ID is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2).

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport articulated foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))

☒ The presence of interstice waters (including interstice wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))

☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstice water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))

☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent to bordering, contiguous, or neighboring interstice or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4))

☒ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, water meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).

Other:

Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:

☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________.

☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:

☐ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 minute Topographic Maps: CHICAGO, IL.

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangle: __________.

☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2001

☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY.

☐ Site Visit Conducted on: ____________________

☐ Office of Wetlands

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands on the subject property are adjacent to the Nippersink Creek and Old Nippersink Creek. These streams are tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under the Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank.

☐ the presence of litter and debris

☐ change in the character of soil

☐ wetland boundary

☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation

☐ shrubbery

☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)

☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Navigable Bird Rule criteria

☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Navigable Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:

☐ This office concurs with prior wetland delineation report dated , prepared by

☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________ Date: ____________________

Approved by: ____________________ Date: ____________________

Footnotes:


2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dike or barriers, natural river barriers, beaver dams, and the like are not adjacent.
APPLICANT: Paquinelli Development Group
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the intersection of U.S. Route 30 and Pilcher Road in Plainfield, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200050558
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 323.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are no non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

In the absence of a specific determination, the presence of any wetlands in the Project area is not required to be evaluated.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).
- The presence of intertidal wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
  - Section 10 wetway.

Information Reviewed:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NORMANTOWN.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
- Aerial (Name & Date): Naperville, 2002.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted:
  - Other:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject property flows into the DuPage River. The DuPage River drains into the Des Plaines River, a "navigable water of the U.S."

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - the presence of litter and debris
  - changes in the character of soil
  - wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of HQ rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ___, prepared by ___.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ________________________________ Date: ________________
Approved by: ________________________________ Date: ________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Edition) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Edition).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river barriers, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Kleen Plastics PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest Corner of Higgins and Old Sutor Road in Hoffman Estates, Cook County, Illinois, Spring Creek/ Fox River Watershed

FILE NUMBER: 20050011

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 321.2)

Based on available information:

☒ There are no waters on the project site.

☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.

☒ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.). (33 CFR 323.1(a)(1))

☒ The presence of interstates waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 323.1(a)(2))

☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 323.1(a)(3))

☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent to wetlands (wondering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 323.1(a)(7))

☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), swamps, marshes, prairies, potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).

☐ Other:

☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:

☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STREAMWOOD.

☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrolologic Atlas: STREAMWOOD 1A 201

☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.


☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: .


☒ Arterial Name & Date:

☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: .

☒ Site Visit Conducted on: December 13, 2005

☒ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (apply to any boxes checked above):

Wetland on the property are hydrologically connected to Spring Creek to the east and the north and to Poplar Creek to the west and south. Spring Creek and Poplar Creek are hydrologically connected the Fox River, a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329)

☒ Ordinary High Water Mark, indicated by:

☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank

☐ the presence of litter and debris

☐ changes in the character of soil

☒ wetland boundary

☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation

☐ shifting

☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 323.1(a)(1), 122.3(a)(2), or 323.1(a)(4) through 323.1(a)(7)

☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 323.1(a)(3) (attach copy of HQ rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ., prepared by .

☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

*Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Process for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual).*
APPLICANT: Maurice Nelligan  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry Co.

FILE NUMBER: 20050752  
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 321.2) An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on the available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 329.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent to, bordering, contiguous, or neighboring to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 314.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prime potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: Sections 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☒ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WOODSTOCK.
☒ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Quadrangle:
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2004
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY.
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): One wetland tributary to Nipterinek Creek exists within the southern and central portion of the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is potentially has been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCA Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confimation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office controls with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (E2 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soil and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands located from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river bars, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: JF New PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Located South of the Intersection of Eastern Road and Smith Road in Manhattan, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200500364

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-320.60). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to theebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of waters adjacent to jurisdictional waters (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence is a tributary to an interstate or interbasin water of the U.S. (33 CFR 128.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or interbasin waters of the U.S. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 128.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an outfall water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).

Information Reviewed:
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory; MANHATTAN.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangle: __________
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangle: __________
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): __________
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________
☐ Site Visit Conducted: __________
☐ Other Information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject property drains into Jackson Creek. Jackson Creek discharges into the Des Plaines River, a "navigable waters of the U.S.".

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line expressed on the bank
☐ presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shoreline
☐ other.

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of HQ rationales)

 Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: ____________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: ____________

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (97 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils and wetland hydrology). Presence for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: DION ROMANO PROJECT LOCATION / WATERWAY: Lot just west of lot 941 on Ellis Street, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200505247 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (Per Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basics of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
- The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent (i.e., bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.4(a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, plays lakes, or natural ponds).

Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Rationale for Basis (supplies to any boxes checked above):
The wetland is part of a larger wetland system that drains into Salt Creek and flows to the Des Plaines River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- close, natural line impressed on the bank
- presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- sheeting
- other:

wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(7) through 328.3(a)(17)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SVANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SVANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:

This office agrees with your wetland delineation report dated April 18, 2005, prepared by ExCap.

This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Date: 4/18/05

Approved by: Date: 4/18/05

1 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, embankments, and the lake are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: David Stenker PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: N. Park Avenue, Palatine, Cook County, Illinois, Salt Creek/Dearlaines River watershed

FILE NUMBER: 200500045 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 314.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3)).
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including intermittent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3)).
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (borders, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (i.e., intermittently flow, stream, including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, beaver ponds, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds.
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PALATINE.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): ________
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ________
☐ Site Visit Conducted:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):
The pond situated on the property is part of a larger pond/wetland system that drains to Salt Creek and flows into the Des Plaines River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under post-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirimation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________, prepared by ________.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: 6/18/05

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________


2 Wetlands separate from other waters of the U.S. by non-navigable ditches or barriers, natural river benches, beach dunes, and the like are not adjacent.
APPLICANT: City of Chicago Dep't of Water Management PROJECT LOCATION\ WATERWAT: Audubon Island in Lake Michigan; Chicago, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 20050504 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD): (For Shown regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including inter-state wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (i.e., bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (37 CFR 328.7(a)(7))
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Site Specific Information:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JACKSON PARK.
☐ USDI Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historical Quadrangles.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historical Quadrangles.
☐ Aerials (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Map:
☐ Sue Visb. Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis Applies to any boxes checked above:
☐ Work is taking place within and adjacent to a section 10, navigable waters of the U.S., Lake Michigan.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 316 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☒ changes in the character of soil
☒ wetland boundary
☒ presence of litter and debris
☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ shelving
☒ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve Jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [Attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland delineation:

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED

Approved by: 

Date:

6-28-03

Notes:

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (3rd Edition). For wetlands in agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by non-tidal slacks of water, natural levees, beech dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Orland Park  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Galagher and Henry Property Southwest of Wolf Road and 167th Street in Orland Park, Cook County, Illinois (Section 10, T6N, R12E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500638  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETE: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate or foreign commerce, water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or foreign commerce, or territorial waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section(s) of waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________________.
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ________________.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 17 April 2009
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above). A small channel on the property drains from the west generally east northeast into Wolf Lake, then into the answering system for Grassland Subdivision. The stormwater drains into a detention basin southeast of the subdivision, then into Markey Creek, which drains into the Des Plaines River, a navigable water. Both the Wolf Lake and Wolf Lake are under jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 329 and 329):
☐ clear, natural line expressed on the basis of:
☐ the presence of ice and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ other:

Basis for Declaring Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Certification of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________  Date: ___________________________

Approved by: ___________________________  Date: ___________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (e, occurrence of hydrologic vegetation, lake soils and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (97 Manual). 2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river barriers, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Friends of the Chicago River PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: the Main Branch of the Chicago River at Madison Avenue and Lower Wacker Drive

FILE NUMBER: 20090540 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-350). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basics of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate wetlands (including intermittent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent to (foating, contiguous, or neighboring) a tributary or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, plays lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHICAGO LOOP.
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Sediment Survey for Cook Country.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps:
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
- Aerials (Name & Date):
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
- Site Visit Conducted on

Other information: application submitted dated April 20, 2005

Rationale for Basis (applies to any box checked above):
- The proposed project consists of placing a structure within a navigable waterway, the main branch of the Chicago River, which is a section 10 waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Clear, natural line impressed on the bank:
- The presence of litter and debris:
- Changes in the character of soil:
- Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- Shifting
- Other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HCl rational/p]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Date:

Approved by: Date:

---

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the U.S. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (ETM Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydro site and wetland hydrology. Processes determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

---
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: JFNew  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Located Northwest of the Intersection of Chapins Road and Black Rock Road in Wheatland Township, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 20050253
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
☒ There are no waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of jurisdiction on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☒ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an intermittent water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (b)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or foreign commerce waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☒ The presence of an isolated water (i.e., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NORMATOWN.
☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☑ Aerials (Name & Date) Plainfield, 2001
☑ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ________.
☑ Site Visit Conducted on: March 24, 2005
☑ Other information: ________.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any box checked above): Paragraphs with P.I.N. Numbers: 01-22-300-016, 01-22-300-415, 01-22-400-007, 01-22-400-008, 01-22-400-009, 01-22-400-10, 01-22-400-011, 01-22-400-012, 01-22-400-013, 01-22-400-014, 01-26-100-002 do not have any wetlands located on the subject property. However, paragraphs with P.I.N. numbers 01-26-100-001, 01-27-200-001 and 01-27-400-002 have a tributary that flows into the DuPage River. The DuPage River discharges into the Des Plaines River, a "navigable waters of the U.S.".

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 215 3309):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ wetland boundary
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☒ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☒ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated March 23, 2002, prepared by JFNew.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ________ Date: ________
Approved by: ________ Date: ________

*Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (67 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1997).
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Lee Barrett PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Fox River Drive, Dundee, Illinois (SE 1/4 of Section 27, T42N R6E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500741 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ________
☐ ISDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________
☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): ________
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ________
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: ________
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject property is on the Fox River, which is a Section 10 water of the United States and is therefore under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soils
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shoring
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________ prepared by ________
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommened by: __________________________ Date: 6/22/05

Approved by: __________________________

ORIGINAL SIGNED:

6 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (RT Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (147).

7 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Great Pointe Homes, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Diamond Lake/Hendelein
FILE NUMBER: 20010197 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 321.21)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 326.4(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), marinas, sandbars, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, plays lakes, or natural ponds)
- Other: Section 10 waterway

Information Reviewed:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland has a surface tributary connection to Diamond Lake which is tributary to the Des Plaines River via Diamond Lake Drain and Indian Creek. The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore all of its tributary waters are also water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- The presence of litter and debris
- Changes in the character of soil
- Wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attached copy of HQ rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 30, 2001, prepared by Manhard Consulting, Ltd.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: 

Approved by: 

Date: 

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, tydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river barriers, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: ISTHA PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Existing wetland complex near 141st Street and Hamlin Avenue contiguous with ditch leading to Millstone Creek and tributary to the Little Calumet River in Robbins, Cook County, IL  
FILE NUMBER: 20050020  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Other Field 

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) 

Based on available information: 
☐ There are no waters on the project site. 
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. 
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. 
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. 

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: 
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. 
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) 
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interbasins interwaters) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) 
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)) 
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)) 
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including interbasins interwaters), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playas lakes, or natural ponds). 
☐ Other: 
☐ Section 10 waterway. 

Information Reviewed: 
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: BLUE ISLAND. 
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. 
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangle: 
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangle: 
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): 
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: 
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 
☐ Other information: 

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Existing wetland complex contiguous with ditch leading to Millstone Creek and tributary to the Little Calumet River. 

Literal Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): 
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: □ destruction of terrestrial vegetation 
☐ the presence of litter and debris □ sheeling 
☐ changes in the character of soil □ other: 
☐ wetland boundary 

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: 
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) 
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria 
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria 
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] 

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries: 
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by . 
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. 

Recommended by: 
☐ Date: 

Approved by: 
☐ Date: 

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach-ridges, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Wadimir Krzynowek
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast of the intersection of Gougar Road and 15th Street in Homer Glen, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 700050498
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands, (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☒ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOJET.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historical Quadrangles: ________.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historical Quadrangles: ________.
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): Homer Glen, 200________.
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ________.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: April 20, 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to yes boxes checked above): The tributary and the adjacent wetland located on the subject property drain into the Des Plaines River, a navigable "waters of the U.S."

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank of the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☒ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(8)
☒ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Headquarters-declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of HQ rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary, prepared by .
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydro soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87T).

2 Wetlands separated by other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Lake House Picture PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Maple Lake Woods Forest Preserve/Maple Lake, Palos Hills, Cook County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200408094 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water e.g., inland lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandbars, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playas lakes, or natural ponds.
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway:

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SAG BRIDGE
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SAG BRIDGE, 1993
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☐ Aerials (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: May 26, 2005
☐ Other Information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any bases checked above): Maple Lake is hydrologically connected to the Illinois & Michigan Canal

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ shearing
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ other:

wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of wetlands listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(1) [Attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ___, prepared by 
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: __________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (97 Manual) i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydro soils and wetland hydrology. Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural land may be derived from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2 Wetlands are separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Forest Preserve District of Cook County PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Maple Lake Woods Forest Preserve, Palos Hills, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200400854 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, plays lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SAG BRIDGE.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☐ Aerials (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Maple Lake is hydrologically connected to the Illinois & Michigan Canal

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is gg likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Date: 05/2025

Approved by: Date:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydro soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: West Shore Pipe Line Company
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: IL RT 81 Bridge over Cal-Sag Channel in Lemont, Cook County, IL (NE 1/4 Sec 14 T37N R11E)
FILE NUMBER: 20050987  P: PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Yes Office: Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-339). An approved JD is an appeasable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
[ ] There are no waters on the project site.
[ ] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[ ] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[ ] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
[ ] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[ ] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be acceptable for use of transport, interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[ ] The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[ ] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3))
[ ] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the US, except for these wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(4))
[ ] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intraterrane lakes, rivers, stream) (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds.
[ ] Other:
[ ] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
[ ] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventories: SAG BRIDGE.
[ ] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey 2nd Cook County.
[ ] U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[ ] U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[ ] Aerials (Name & Date): 
[ ] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[ ] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Repair work over Cal-Sag Channel, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328.3 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[ ] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[ ] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[ ] the presence of litter and debris
[ ] shelving
[ ] changes in the character of soil
[ ] wetland boundary
[ ] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
[ ] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(3) through 328.3(a)(7)
[ ] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[ ] Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[ ] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
[ ] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[ ] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: , Date: ,

Approved by: , Date: ,

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydrologic soil, and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary. (Wetlands identified in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydrologic soil, and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary. (Note: Wetlands delineated in this report are shown on the site plan attached.)

1Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river forks, branch points, and the like are also adjacent.

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river forks, branch points, and the like are also adjacent.

3Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river forks, branch points, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Roger Tragacz
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 37584 Grenade Boulevard, Lake Villa, Illinois 60046

FILE NUMBER: 200500773  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  22 Office  0 Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2)).
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5)).
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent1 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)).
☒ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows plays lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ANTECH.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ Aerials (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Sand Lake is hydrologically connected to Mill Creek. Mill Creek flows into the Des Plaines River, a navigable “waters of the U.S.”.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ the presence of litter and debris
☒ shelving
☒ changes in the character of soil
☒ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(5) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 01/01/01 prepared by:
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________________ Date: 01/01/01

Approved by: ____________________________ Date: 01/01/01

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (EM 1110-2-1907) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydro-soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agriculural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (EM 1110-2-1907).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, flood plains, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: LCC International, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Triggs Avenue, Elgin, Kane County, Illinois
(59° 14' of Section 18, T41N R5E)

FILE NUMBER: 200505405 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Yes Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For streams regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (3) CFR 331.2

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.

☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.

☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (3) CFR 328.3(a)(1)).

☐ The presence of interstate waters (including indratate wetlands). (3) CFR 328.3 (a)(2)).

☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (3) CFR 328.3 (a)(5)).

☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (wetland, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (3) CFR 328.3 (a)(7)).

☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intratate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).

☐ Other:

☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:

☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ________.


☐ (NOA) Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.


☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ________.

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Topographic Quadrangle: ________.

☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):

☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:

☐ Site Visit Conducted on: June 9, 2003 by Janee W. Hamme of the USACE, Chicago District

☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Tyler Creek is a tributary of the Fox River and is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank

☐ the presence of litter and debris

☐ changes in the character of soil

☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation

☐ wetland boundary

☐ shelving

☐ other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)

☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________ prepared by ___________________________.

☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommendation by: ____________________________ Date: 6/14/05

Approved by: ____________________________ Date: 6/15/05

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87th Manual): i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydroic soils and wetland hydrology. Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1978).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes, barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the line are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Will County Highway Department PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Arsenal/Quigley Road over Jordan Creek in Wilmington, Will County, IL. (51°44'00"N 88°39'00"W)
FILE NUMBER: 209500389 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: X Office □ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Seta regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.): 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including intermittent wetlands)* (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands: 33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SYMERTON.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ Aerials (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: 1980...
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Proposed discharge of fill material into Jordan Creek tributary to the Kankakee River for the replacement of the existing double arch culvert for Arsenal/Quigley Road, Wilmington, Will County, IL.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Clear, natural line impressed on the bank of the project site, or
☐ Changes in the character of soil wetland boundary
☐ Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ Shifting other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(7), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(c)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(c)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Date: 5/19/01

Approved by: Date: 5/19/01

☐ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987), (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
☐ Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terrains, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Vernon Township PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North Of Port Clinton Road And East Of The Wisconsin Central Railroad Line In Vernon Township, Lake County, Illinois (Section 16, T4N, R11E) FILE NUMBER: 20050589 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JBD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JBD is irrevocable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for these wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _______.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _______.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☐ Areals (Name & Date):
☐ Air-Photo Identification Wetland Maps: _______.
☐ Site Visits Conducted on: May 17, 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale For Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands A, B, and C as referenced in your delineation report, are under the jurisdiction of this office because they are adjacent to Indian Creek, a tributary to the Des Plaines River, a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 228 and 234):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ The presence of litter and debris
☐ Inflowing
☐ Outflowing
☐ other
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(7) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to be jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of HQ memorele)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 17, 2002, prepared by Manhood Consulting, Ltd.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Reconciled by: _______ Date: _______

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river kermex, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Kathy Tedesco (for Castle Lake Estates) PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Castle Lake in Castle Lake Estates in Compton Township, Kane County, Illinois (NE 1/4 of Section 15, T43N R7E S3)

FILE NUMBER: 200500373 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [ ] Office [ ] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 351.2)

Based on available information:
[ ] There are no waters on the project site.
[ ] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[ ] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[ ] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
[ ] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[ ] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[ ] The presence of interstate waters (including intrastate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
[ ] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
[ ] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
[ ] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandbars, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[ ] Other:
[ ] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
[ ] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELBURN.
[ ] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County
[ ] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[ ] U. S. Geological Survey 1:1 Million Historic Quadrangles:
[ ] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Dug/Air 2004.
[ ] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
[ ] Site Visit Conducted on.
[ ] Other information: The information submitted to the office on February 1, 2005 by Kathy Tedesco

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Castle Lake flows into Foran Creek, which is a tributary of the Fox River. Therefore, Castle Lake is under the jurisdiction of the US Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
[ ] Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[ ] A clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[ ] the presence of litter and debris
[ ] changes in the character of soils
[ ] wetland bound+X
[ ] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[ ] shelving
[ ] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
[ ] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[ ] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[ ] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

[ ] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (Attach copy of HQ rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
[ ] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[ ] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Approved by:

6/2/05

Recommended by:

6/3/05

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (RTM Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydropetric vegetation, hydrate soils, and wetland hydrology). Provisions for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Macom Corporation.  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southeast of the intersection of 111th and Normanstown Road in Will County, Illinois.

FILE NUMBER: 200408495  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [ ] Office [ ] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2):

Based on available information:
[ ] There are no waters on the project site.
[ ] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[ ] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[ ] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

[ ] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[ ] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).
[ ] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2)).
[ ] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3)).
[ ] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)).
[ ] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[ ] Other:
[ ] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
[ ] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NORMANTOWN.
[ ] U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
[ ] U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
[ ] U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
[ ] Aerials (Name, date): Naperville, 2002.
[ ] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________.
[ ] Site Visit Conducted:
[ ] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (apply to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on subject property flows into the DuPage River. The DuPage River discharges into the Des Plaines River, a navigable "waters of the U.S."

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

[ ] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[ ] presence of litter and debris
[ ] changes in the character of soil
[ ] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[ ] shelving
[ ] wetland boundary
[ ] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

[ ] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[ ] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[ ] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional and/or pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[ ] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of HQ rationale)

Confimation of Wetland Boundaries:

[ ] This office confirms your wetland delineation report dated _______________.
[ ] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: __________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydro soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Dino Caprini  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South of McDonald Road and East of Corson Road in Plate and Campton Townships, Kane County, Illinois (NW 1/4 of Section 1, T60N R7E and SW 1/4 of Section 36, T61N R7E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500221 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☒ There are no waters on the project site.
☒ There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☒ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☒ The presence of waters which are actually used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☑ The presence of wetlands adjacent to bordering, contiguous, or neighboring to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☒ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☒ Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELBURN.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date) DigiAir, Spring 2001
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: March 31, 2005 by Jaimie W. Hammell of the USBAC, Chicago District
☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM, V3 Wetland Delineation and Assessment Report, dated March 1, 2005

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There is an unnamed tributary on-site, a portion of which is currently conveyed through a drain tile. This unnamed tributary, both the portion that is underground in a pipe and the portion that flows on the surface is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers due to its connection to the Fox River. The portion of the tributary that is flowing on the surface is the result of a drain tile blowout and Area 1 is a wetland area that has formed around the blowout. Therefore, Area 1 is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ the presence of litter and debris
☒ shelving
☒ changes in the character of the bank
☒ other.
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☒ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☒ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated March 1, 2005, prepared by V3 Consultants
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (IT Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river barriers, beach dunes, and the ice are also adjacent.