SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): May 20, 2014

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, Churchill School, LRC-2014-349

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: NE of Geneva Road and Bloomingdale Road
   State: Illinois  County/parish/borough: DuPage  City: Glen Ellyn
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.891124° N, Long. -88.080750° W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83

   Name of nearest waterbody: Winfield Creek
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Des Plaines River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Des Plaines (07120004)

   ☑ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   ☑ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 18, 2014
   ☑ Field Determination. Date(s): June 17, 2014

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.


B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
      ☑ TNWs, including territorial seas
      ☑ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      ☑ Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☑ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.
      Wetlands: 1.25 acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1; only if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

   Identify TNW: Pick List

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

---

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or; acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: The tributary that flows into Winfield Creek has several areas feeding it from road drainage and groundwater, and flows most of the year.
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   - Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Wetlands directly abutting RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland is drained by a pipe to the main stem of the tributary to Winfield Creek just a mile to the north.

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.25 acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
   - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Bollinger Environmental, Inc..
   - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report, March 26, 2014. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
   - Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
   - Corps navigable waters’ study: .
   - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
   - National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Lombard, State/Local wetland inventory map(s): DuPage County ADID, Pick List.
   - FEMA/FIRM maps: .
   - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
   - Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 2005, 2008, 2012. or Other (Name & Date): .
   - Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
   - Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
   - Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The subject wetland receives water from multiple sources and drains out slowly into the tributary of Winfield Creek; Winfield Creek flows into the DuPage River, which is a tributary of the Des Plaines River (TNW).
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): November 23, 2013

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, KDOT- Longmeadow Parkway, LRC-2013-839

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Longmeadow Parkway from Randall Road to Route 25
   State: Illinois   County/parish/borough: Kane   City: Algonquin
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.139471°N, Long. -88.278692° W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
   Name of nearest waterbody: Fox River
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Fox River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Fox (07120006)
   Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   ☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 2, 2014
   ☒ Field Determination. Date(s): April 23, 2014

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
   Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
   Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

   1. Waters of the U.S.
      a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
         ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas
         ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
         ☐ Relatively permanent waters 2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         ☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
         Non-wetland waters: 500 linear feet: 2 width (ft) and/or 2.951 acres.
         Wetlands: 6.0 acres.
      c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual
         Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

   The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

   1. TNW
      Identify TNW: Pick List

   2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
      Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

---
1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: WOUS Sites 5 & 6 were both the culmination of multiple drain tiles that ended in a wooded area with a defined bed and bank, and exhibited perennial flow. WOUS Site 8 is a well defined creek with flow visible on aerials. WOUS Site 12 was fed by a pond to the north, and showed evidence of continuous flow into the downstream portion of Site 13. Site 13 was a combination of a tributary and a pond, and had strong flow; the tributary and pond are visible on multiple aerial photos.
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .

   Provide estimates for jurisdicational waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: 500 linear feet 2 width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.

   Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland Sites 1 and 1A is part of a large wetland complex bisected by Selmartin Creek. Site 1C was ponded and piped under Randall Road via storm drains to the Fox River. Site 8 wetlands are drained by Site 8 WOUS, and abut it on both sides. Site 12 wetlands abut Site 12 WOUS on both sides. Site 13 wetlands abut Site 13 WOUS on both sides.

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 6.0 acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
   - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Huff & Huff Wetland Delineation Report.
   - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
   - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. October 2013
   - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
   - Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
   - Corps navigable waters’ study: .
   - USGS NHD data.
   - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
   - U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Crystal Lake 7.5”, 1992, Pick List, Pick List,
   - National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Kane County ADID, Pick List.
   - FEMA/FIRM maps: .
   - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
   - Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 2012.
   - Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
   - Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
   - Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: All wetlands and WOUS were field verified.
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): November 23, 2013

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, KDOT-Longmeadow Parkway, LRC-2013-839

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Longmeadow Parkway from Randall Road to Route 25
   State: Illinois  County/parish/borough: Kane  City: Algonquin
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.139471°N, Long. -88.278692° W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
   Name of nearest waterbody: Fox River
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Fox River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Fox (07120006)
   Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   ☒ Office (Desk) Determination.  Date: 02 May 2014
   ☒ Field Determination.  Date(s): 23 April 2014

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
   ☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
   ☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
      ☒ TNWs, including territorial seas
      ☒ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      ☒ Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☒ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: 500 linear feet: 100 width (ft) and/or       acres.
      Wetlands: 2.69  acres.
   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known):  .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: Fox River

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
Determine rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: Wetlands 7, 9, 11 and 25 are within the forested floodplain of the Fox River, immediately adjacent to the river along the east bank, and no more than 0.25 miles away.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: 500 linear feet 100 width (ft), Or, acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: 2.69 acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply) - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below:
   - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Huff & Huff.
   - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
   - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. October 2013
   - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
   - Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
   - Corps navigable waters’ study: Fox River.
   - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
   - National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Crystal Lake, Kane County ADID, Pick List.
   - FEMA/FIRM maps:
   - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
   - Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 2012.
   - Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
   - Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
   - Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The Fox River is a known TNW; and the forested floodplain wetlands are ecologically adjacent, and therefore jurisdictional.
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): November 25, 2013

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, City of Lockport, LRC-2013-858

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Reed Street, North of Division Street
   State: Illinois   County/parish/borough: Will   City: Lockport
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.583536°N, Long. -88.035594° W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
   Name of nearest waterbody: Tributary to Milne Creek
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Des Plaines River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Des Plaines (07120004)

   Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   ☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 16, 2014
   ☒ Field Determination. Date(s): May 12, 2014

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

   Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
   Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.


B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  
      ☒ TNWs, including territorial seas
      ☒ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      ☒ Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☒ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: 30 linear feet: 6 width (ft) and/or 0.018 acres.
      Wetlands: acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: Pick List

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: The subject tributary is several feet wide, with large culverts under to road to accommodate flow; the tributary flows most of the year except in extreme drought conditions.
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

   Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
   - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Strand Associates Report dated October 2013.
   - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
   - Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
   - Corps navigable waters’ study: .
   - USGS NHD data.
   - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
   - National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Joliet, .
   - State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Pick List, Pick List, .
   - FEMA/FIRM maps: .
   - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
   - Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): .
   - or Other (Name & Date): Local photos from report.
   - Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
   - Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
   - Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Site visit on May 12, 2013 to view tributary and large volume of flow; clearly jurisdictional as a Section 404 water of the U.S.