APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mekena 29, LLC
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 91st Street and 88th Avenue in Mekena, Will County, IL
FILE NUMBER: 2004/800 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-339). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 322.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.): (33 CFR 322.2(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including intrastate wetlands): (33 CFR 322.2(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US: (33 CFR 322.2(a)(3))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent to bordering, contiguous, or neighboring to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands: (33 CFR 322.2(a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds):
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway:

Information Reviewed:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: TINLEY PARK
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: TINLEY PARK, IL
- ISDA National Recreation Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangle: __________
- U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangle: __________
- Aerials (Name & Date):
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________
- Site Visit Conducted on: 13 MAY 2004
- Other Information:

Rationale for Basis (apply to any boxes checked above): The tributary of Union Drainage Ditch and its adjacent wetland on the property are tributary to the Des Plaines River and are jurisdictional waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 322 and 229):
- Lateral High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - presence of litter and debris
  - changes in the character of soil
  - wetland boundary
  - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - subsiding
  - other

Jurisdictional Determination:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 322.3(a)(1), 322.3(a)(2), or 322.3(a)(4) through 322.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Mitigation Bank Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Mitigation Bank Rule criteria
- Headquarters directed to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 322.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
- Confirmation of Wetland Boundary:
  - This area does not contain any jurisdictional waters

Recommended by:
- [Signature]
- [Date]

Reviewed by:
- [Signature]
- [Date]

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (F Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrological study, and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from those described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (F Manual).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: A Thrush of Green Nursery, Inc.
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the intersection of Route 7 and Bell Road in Homer Glen, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 20900276
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD): For sites regulated under 33 CFR 328-330. An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.5)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2)).
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3)).
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4)).
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams, including intermittent streams), mudflats, marshes, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds.
☐ Other: Section 10 waters.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
☐ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historical Quadrangles.
☐ Aerials (Date & Name): Heiser, Glen 2011
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps
☐ Site Visits Conducted on: 28 December 2004
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on subject property flows south and is hydrologically connected to jurisdictional waters. Spring Creek discharges into Hickory Creek which then flows into the Des Plaines River, a "navigable water of the U.S."

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328.3 and 328.9):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☒ flooding
☐ other:

Basis for Declaring Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7). Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office confirms with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: 
☐ Date: 
☐ Date: 

Approved by: 
☐ Date: 
☐ Date: 

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (E7 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for documenting wetlands or agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Identification Manual (1997).
1 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river bends, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Alps Development
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast of the intersection of Scher Road and Steger Road in Franklin, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 20050042
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action (33 CFR 331.2):

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are no waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an intermittent water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds)
- Other

Section 10 Waterway:

Information Reviewed:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MANHATTAN, 1999
- U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historical Quadrangle: MANHATTAN
- Aerials (Name & Date): Franklin, 2001
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps
- Site Visit Conducted on: May 3, 2015
- Other Information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject property flows into Jackson Creek. Jackson Creek, discharges into the Des Plaines River, a navigable water of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328.3 and 329):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - changes in the character of soil
  - wetland boundary
- Destruction of Territorial vegetation
- Shrubbing
- Other

Basis for Declaring Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANSC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANSC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
- This office confirms with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: 23 May 05

Approved by: 28 May 05

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps' Wetland Delineator Manual (RT Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric soil vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Presence for determining wetlands on agricultural land may vary from methods described in the Corps' Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by manmade dikes or barriers, natural river homes, beach dunes, and the like are also jurisdictional.
APPLICANT: Kerr L.L.C. 
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southeast of intersection of Normantown Road and Water Road in Romeoville, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 2001092095

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office of Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JOD) (for Sites regulated under 33 CFR 325-330). An approved JOD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including intrastate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent1 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:

Section 10 wetway:

Information Reviewed:

☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ROMEOVILLE
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historical Quadrangles: ________________
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historical Quadrangles: ________________
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): Romeoville, 2001
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ________________
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: May 3, 2005
☐ Other Information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to all basins checked above): The tributary located on the subject property drains into the DuPage River. The DuPage River discharges into the Des Plaines River, a navigable water of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Lateral Extent High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ other:

Essential Particulars:

☐ Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unlikely to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

☐ Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office confirms your wetland delineation report dated ________________ , prepared by ________________
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

☐ Approved by: ________________ Date: 23 May 05

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (ST Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydro soils, and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from those described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands adjacent to other waters of the U.S. by non-navigable ditches or barriers, natural river banks, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Robert E. Hamilton
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Shirley Knolls Subdivision at Sugar Run in Will County, IL (NE ¼ Sec. 26 T35s R10E)
FILE NUMBER: 200400367
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action (33 CFR 311.2).

- Based on available information:
  - There are no waters on the project site.
  - There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
  - There are waters of the United States on the project site.
  - There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

**Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:**
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of significant wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent (horizontally contiguous or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., irrigation lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), sandbars, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds)
- Other
  - Section 10 Waterway

**Information Reviewed:**
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife: National Wetland Inventory: ELWOOD.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service: Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey: 7.5-Minute Hydrologic Quadrangle:
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps
- Site Visit Conducted:
- Other information: Deflection Report dated March 17, 2004

**Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):** Sugar Run is a tributary to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water of the U.S. This proposed project does not involve a discharge of fill material into jurisdictional waters of the U.S. NO PERMIT REQUIRED.

**Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328.3 and 339):**
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - presence of litter and debris
  - changes in the character of soil
  - wetland vegetation
  - sheathing
  - other

**Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:**
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under post-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 338.3(a)(1) (match copy of HQ rationale)

**Confimation of Wetland Boundaries**
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report 4/6/2004, prepared by EnCAP.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

**Recommended by:**

**Approved by:**

---

APPLICANT: Metropolitan Water Reclamation District PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: SPFA Station along the north bank of the Cal-Sag Channel, E of Harlan Ave, Village of Worth, Cook County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200300028 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: 02-03-2004

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JDA) (Per Sites regulated under 33 CFR 323-328). An approved JDA is an appealable action. (33 CFR 323.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are no non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basin of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport succinct or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wells) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (i.e., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playas, lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☒ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory - PALOS PARK
☒ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas - PALOS PARK
☒ USDI Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps - PALOS PARK
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ AERIALS (Name & Date)
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☒ Site Visit Conducted on:
☒ Other information: Application submitted dated December 25, 2004

Wetlands for States (apply to all boxes checked above): The project area is adjacent and hydrologically connected to the Cal-Sag Channel which is a section 10 waters of the 13.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of fine and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(3) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of HQ memo)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by.
☐ This office recommends approval of the wetland delineation report dated , prepared by.
☐ Recommended by:

Date: S-12-05

Approved by: __________________ Date: __________________
APPLICANT: Village of New Lenox  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Cedar Road over Hickory Creek in New Lenox, Will County, IL  
FILE NUMBER: 20090026  
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field  
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD): (For SWSs regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 351.2)  
Based on available information:  
☐ There are no waters on the project site;  
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site;  
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site;  
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  

Basic of Jurisdictional Determination:  
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.  
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))  
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including intermittent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(d)(2))  
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))  
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (i.e., bordering, contiguous or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, nexus for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(d)(7))  
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).  
☐ Other:  
☐ Sec.10. Waterway.  

Information Reviewed:  
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA  
☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MOKENA, 204  
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County  
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Geographic Quadrangles  
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Geographic Quadrangles  
☐ Aerials (name & date)  
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:  
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:  
☐ Other information:  

Rationale for Basis (applies to any box checked above): Discharge of fill material into Hickory Creek tributary to the Des Plaines River.  

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank  
☐ other:  
☐ the presence of litter and debris  
☐ changes in the character of soil  
☐ wetland boundary  
☐ other:  

Estimation of Terrestrial Vegetation  
☐ shrubbery  
☐ other:  

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:  
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(5) through 328.3(a)(7)  
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria  
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria  

Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(5) (original copy of HQ rationale)  

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:  
☐ This office consults with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by  
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary  

Recommended by:  
☐ Date:  

Approved by:  
☐ Date:  

1. Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (WDM Manual) (e.g., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrostatic soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary as methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (WDM) 1990.  

2. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by non-m cakes or barriers, natural river beavers, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: The Meredith Management Group, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal east of the Clover Ave. Bridge, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 20050625 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: 2 Office 1 Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (11b) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 328.3-339). An approved JD is an appealable action (33 CFR 331.2).

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(10)
☐ The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(21)
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5)
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to, bordering, contiguous, or neighboring to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(17)
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams including intermittent streams), mudflats, salt flats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds.
☐ Other
☐ Section 10 waterway

Information Reviewed:

☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ENGLEWOOD.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historical Quadrangles.
☐ Aerials (Name & Date)
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information: application submitted dated April 22, 2005

Rationale for Basis: (apply to any bases checked above): The Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal is a navigable, section 10 waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328.3 and 339):
☐ Clear, natural line impressed on the land.
☐ Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ Changes in the character of soil.
☐ Wetland boundary.
☐ Other.

Basis for Denying Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Arm under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Arm under consideration is likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by:

☐ □ Approved by:

☐ Date: Date: ≤ O ± E≤

☐ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corp's, Wetland Delineation Manual (H7 Manual) i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils, and wetland hydrology. Processes for delineating wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corp Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

☐ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corp's, Wetland Delineation Manual (H7 Manual) i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils, and wetland hydrology. Processes for delineating wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corp Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

☐ Other waters of the U.S. by manmade dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: niece Gen PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Fox River south of Main Street between Washington Street and Lincoln Avenue in Winneconne, Winnebago County, Wisconsin (DOB 05/4 of Section 22, T42N R16E)
FILE NUMBER: 205/50541 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office "Field"
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JJD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 323-330). An approved JJD is an applicable action. (33 CFR 323.12)
Based on available information:
There are no wetlands on the project site.
There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
There are no waters of the United States on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

Information Reviewed:
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory
U.S. Geological Survey Hydric Soil Maps
U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps
L. S. Geological Survey > 1 Minute Historic Quadrangles
Aerial Photographs (Name & Date) D樀al, Fall 2004
Advanced Identification Wetland Maps
Site Visit Conducted by:
Other Information: The information submitted by Huff and Huff on February 4, 2003 and April 1, 2005

Rationale for Basis (applies to all bases checked above): The Fox River is a Section 10 water under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Huff and Huff also identified a small wetland located on the south side of North Street directly adjacent to the Fox River (it will not be impacted in the proposed plan) that is also under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 323 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soils
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- defiling
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 323 or 329 through 328.3(c)(7)
Addendum: wetlands are likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(c)(3) (attach copy of HQ rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
This office agrees with wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Date: 10/5/05

Approved by: Date: 10/5/05

1 Warnings are identified and determined using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Determination Manual (RM 97-01) (i.e., determination of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Caddy Fieldbook, Determination Manual (1983).

2 Wetlands located on other waters of the U.S. to man-made dike or barriers, natural river berms, beach dams, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT:  Clarke Real Estate  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:  80-acre Parcel located on NE Corner of Nolkhouse and Manhattan-Moore Roads in Manhattan, Will County, IL  FILE NUMBER:  200500192  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are no non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(4))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Section 10 Waterway

Information Reviewed:

☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Hydrologic Quads: .
☐ Ambit (Name & Date):
☐ Other information: .

Section 10 Conducted on:

☐ Other information: .

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary of Prairie Creek traversing the project site flows into Prairie Creek and is a tributary to the Kankakee River, a navigable “waters of the United States”

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 330):

☐ Close, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ Changes in the character or soil
☐ Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ Shading
☐ Other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.

Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

☐ This office agrees with your wetland delineation report dated for 26, 2014, prepared by LRMG.
☐ Other:

Recommended by:  O R I G I N A L S I G N E D  Date: 6/5/2014

Approved by:  Date: 6/5/2014


2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made slacks or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Algonquin PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Algonquin, Mchenry County

FILE NUMBER: 2020401063 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JDO) for sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330. An approved JDO is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JDO is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Other information:

There are no wetlands on the project site.

There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.

There are wetlands of the United States on the project site.

There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

The presence of wetlands which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the rise and fall of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.f(a)(1)).

The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.f(a)(7)).

The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US, (33 CFR 328.B(a)(5)).

The presence of wetlands adjacent (1) bordering, contiguous or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.5(a)(7)).

The presence of an isolated water (e.g., minutiae lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), marl, marl, salt marsh, tidal wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, ponds, lakes, or mudflats).

Other:

Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:

U. S Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory, CRYSTAL LAKE


U. S Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles.

U. S Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles.

Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002

Advanced Identification Wetland Maps, Mchenry County.,

Sta Vista Calculated as: November 30, 2004

Rationale for Basis (applies to all boxes checked above): The project consists of piping two ravines that are tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, under Department of the Army jurisdiction. However, the very steep, hillside ravines do not exhibit character of a waters of the U.S., and are therefore, non-jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 320 and 329):

Obligatory High Water Mark indicated by:

changes in the character of soil.

wetland boundary.

destruction of terrestrial vegetation.

destruction of aquatic vegetation.

the presence of lake and pond.

shallowing.

erosion.

basis of 33 CFR 328.5(a)(7).

basis of 33 CFR 328.5(a)(7) through 328.3(x)(7).

basis of 33 CFR 328.3(x)(3).

basis of 33 CFR 328.3(x)(3) through 328.3(x)(4).

basis of 33 CFR 328.3(x)(4).

basis of 33 CFR 328.3(x)(3).

basis of 33 CFR 328.3(x)(3).

area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule columns.

area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule columns.

Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction in the basis of 328.5(a)(5) [attach copy of DJQ rationale].

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED

Approved by: Original SIGNED

Date:

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (7th Edition) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands removed from other waters of the U.S. by topographic changes or human actions, including river filling, beach dunes, and the like are also classified.
APPLICANT: AllSpring Community Church  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast of the intersection of Uccopt Street and Van Dyke Road in Plainfield, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 201500594  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD): (Per NRC regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 321.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(3)(3)).
- The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2)).
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5)).
- The presence of wetlands adjacent (including contiguous or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)).
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, swamps, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 wetway:

Information Reviewed:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PLAINFIELD.
- LSIS National Huntington Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historical Quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historical Quadrangles:
- Aerials (Name & Date): Plainfield, 1998
- Advanced Identification Wetland Map:
- Site Visit Conducted on: 21 April 2005
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any bases checked above): The tributary located on the subject property is surrounded by wetland. This tributary is jurisdictional waters hydraulically connected to the DuPage River. The DuPage River discharges into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  -the presence of litter and debris
  - changes in the character of soil

wetland boundary

- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7).
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of HQ rationale).

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 19, 2005, prepared by .
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by:  

Approved by:  

ORIGINAL SIGNED  Date: 6 May 05

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Definition Manual (FT Manual) (i.e., assessment of hydrophytic vegetation, basin sets, and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Definition Manual (FTM).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by non-navigable dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT:  Konez LLC  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:  Southeast of the intersection of Normanfield Road and Weber Road in Romeoville, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER:  200508295  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  X Office X Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD):  (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331:2)
Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including intermittent wetlands), (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)).
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)).
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)).
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ROMEOVILLE.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historical Quadrangles:
☐ Am比例尺 (Map & Date): Romeoville, 2001
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted: May 1, 2005
☐ Other Information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any bases checked above): There is a tributary surrounded by wetlands that drain directly into the DuPage River. The DuPage River discharges into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark, indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ flooding
☐ other:

Basics for Declaring Jurisdiction:
☐ Unlikely to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(c)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANEC: Migratory bird habitat criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANEC: Migratory bird habitat criteria
☐ Headquarters declared to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Certification of Wetland Boundary:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated: June 23, 2001, prepared by Encep.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________________
Approved by: ____________________________ Date: 06/09/05

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (RT Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2 Wetlands segmented from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural rivers or creeks, beach dunes, and the like are also jurisdictional.
APPLICANT: City Of Aurora  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Wood Street Bridge over Indian Creek, Aurora, Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 14, T3N R5E)  
FILE NUMBER: 200510521  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Yes  

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JDS): For sites regulated under 33 CFR 328.30). An approved JDS is an appealable action. 33 CFR 331.2  

Based on available information:  
There are no waters on the project site.  
There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  
There are waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:  
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.  
The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).  
The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands), (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2)).  
The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands), (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2)).  
The presence of wetlands adjacent to other waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3)).  
The presence of wetlands adjacent to other waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4)).  
The presence of isolated water (e.g., isolated lakes, rivers, streams, including intermittent streams, mudflats, sandbars, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, plays lakes, or natural ponds).  
Other:  
Section 10 waterway.  

Information Reviewed:  
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:  
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County:  
U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  
U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  
Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):  
Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:  
Site Visit Conducted on:  
Other information: FEMA FIRM Map and the information submitted by Crawford, Murphy and Tilly, Inc.  

Rationale for Basis (apply to any boxes checked above): Indian Creek is a tributary of the Fox River and is therefore under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.  

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 339):  
Ordinance High Water Mark indicated by:  
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank  
- the presence of litter and debris  
- changes in the character of soils  
- wetland boundary  
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation  
- subsiding  
- other:  

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:  
Unable to conform the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)  
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria  
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria  

Heads of approval declined to approve Jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(4) (salish copy of HQ rationale)  

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:  
This office agrees with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .  
This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.  

Recommended by:  
ORIGINAL SIGNED  
Approved by:  
State:  
Date:  

*Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps’ Wetland Delineation Manual (T.R. Mathers et al., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic setting and wetland hydrology. Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps’ Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).  
*Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by minor natural obstructions, including ditches, dikes, or barriers, are not jurisdictional under 33 CFR 328.30, unless they are adjacent to jurisdictional waters.
APPLICANT: T. Smith and Company
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Greenwood Township, McLennan County
FILE NUMBER: 26030535
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are no non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are no waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).
- The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2)).
- The presence of a tributary to an intermittent water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3)).
- The presence of wetlands adjacent to (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)).
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), tidal flats, sandbars, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playas lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WOODSTOCK.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McLennan County.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY.
- Site Visit Conducted on: March 24, 2005.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):
The wetland on the subject property drains east through a shallow route to a drainageway. The drainageway flows north and eventually drains to the Nippekon Creek. The Nippekon Creek is tributary to the San River, a navigable waterway under jurisdiction of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank.
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation.
- changes in the character of soil.
- wetland boundary.
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(3) through 328.3(a)(7).
- Area under consideration is likely to be jurisdictional under Pre-SWAMP Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under Pre-SWAMP Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale].

Conformation of Wetland Boundaries:
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 27, 2005, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
- This office does not concur with your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

ORIGINAL SIGNED

1 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by non-wetland habitats, such as ditches, dikes, or levees, are considered non-jurisdictional.
APPLICANT: Naperville Park District PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Chon Creek East Pond Shoreline in Naperville, Will County, Ill.

FILE NUMBER: 309100473 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (UD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.12)

Basic of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.

☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.

☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Information Reviewed:

☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NORMANTOWN.


☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.


☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles.


☐ Areals (Name & Date).

☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps.

☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 25 MARCH 2005

☐ Other information: wetland report prepared by AES dated March 1, 2005

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Discharge of fill material into Chon Creek East Pond tributary to the DuPage River

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

• Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  ☐ the presence of inter and algal detritus
  ☐ standing
  ☐ changes in the character of soil
  ☐ wetland boundary
  ☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(e)(7)

☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Area under consideration is unlikely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Certification of Wetland Boundaries:

☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

☐ This office concur with your wetland delineation report dated March 1, 2005, prepared by AES.

Recommends by: Date:

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (IT Manual) (i.e., evidence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from those described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1978).

Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

Approved: Date:
APPLICANT: NICOR GAS  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Across an unnamed concrete lined tributary to Des Plaines River at 17401 S Broadway, Lockport, Will County, IL
FILE NUMBER: 200500943  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determinations (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-329). An approved JD is an apppellable action. (33 CFR 321.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport materials in foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.2(a)(1))
☐ The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands); (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an intermittent water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (i.e., bordering, encompassing, or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastratal lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, plays, lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:

☐ Section 10 waterway:

Information Reviewed:
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOLIET
☐ USGS National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangle:
☐ ArcGIS (Sherow & Dintel)
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:

Rationale for Basis (Applies to any boxes checked above) Discharge of fill material into unregulated tributary to Des Plaines River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ showing
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(5) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(1) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office agrees with your wetland delineation report 4-need, prepared by
☐ This office does not contain your wetland boundary

Recommended by:
☐ Date:

Approve by:
☐ Date:

APPLICANT: Timber Ridge Trust  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Current Extension in Rock Run Creek at intersection of McDonald Avenue and McNabough Street in Joilet, Will County, IL  FILE NUMBER: 2006402062  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD): (For Sites regulated under 33 CTR 325-339). An approved JD is an appealable action (33 CTR 313.2) Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 338.4(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of tributary to an interstate or other water of the US (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playas, lakes, or natural ponds)
☐ Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PLAINFIELD.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: PLAINFIELD, 228
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________________
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________________
☒ Airsats (Name & Date): __________________________
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ________________
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 25 MARCH 2003

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Proposed discharge of fill material into Rock Run Creek tributary to the DuPage River.

Laterality Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Uranium high water mark indicated by: ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shoreline
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Conferral of Wetland Boundaries:
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated __________, prepared by __________
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (BT Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophyte vegetation, hydro soils, and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1997).
2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by manmade dikes or barriers, annual river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Allan Fefferman
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 195-acre parcel along Black Walnut Creek located southwest of Reading Avenue and Pauling Road in Monroe, Will County, IL (Wetland ID No 264 J29-126)
FILE NUMBER: 26950455
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD): (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is in an attachable action. (33 CFR 321)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no wetlands on the project area.
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are no wetlands on the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both wetlands of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of wetlands which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport merchandise or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including adjacent wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams) including intermittent streams, mudflats, sandflats, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds.

☐ Other
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STF000000000
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): 
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 26 APR 2005
☐ Other information: Wetland delineation report dated December 14, 2004 prepared by JFEnv.

Habitat for生鲜 (applicable to any boxes checked above): The tributary of Black Walnut Creek and the adjacent farmed wetland in the property are tributary to the Kankakee River and are jurisdictional waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdictional (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ wetland boundary
☐ other:

Baseline for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(3), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headwaters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [Attach Copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
☐ Date: 2607 2005

Recommended by:
☐ [Signature]

Approved by:
☐ [Signature]

Date: 2607 2005


2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are not considered.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: [Name]
PROJECT LOCATION/DESCRIPTION: [Location/Description]
FILE NUMBER: [Number]
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [Date]

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (303)(a)

There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States on the project site.

There are no navigable waters on the project site.

There are no jurisdictional wetlands on the project site.

Basis for Jurisdictional Determination:

There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States on the project site.

The presence of waters which are currently navigable, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport commodities of commercial significance, including all waters which may be subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) is 33CFR 324.4(a)(4).

The presence of permanent waters (including impoundments) is 33 CFR 328.4(b)(2).

The presence of wetlands adjacent to an intermittent or otherwise temporary water of the U.S. is 33 CFR 328.3(b)(4).

The presence of wetlands adjacent to an intermittent or otherwise temporary water of the U.S. is 33 CFR 328.b(b)(4).

There are no jurisdictional wetlands adjacent to a water of the U.S. is 33 CFR 328.b(b)(4).

There are no jurisdictional wetlands adjacent to a water of the U.S. is 33 CFR 328.b(b)(4).

Other:

Station(s) considered:

Information Reviewed:

[List of information reviewed]

Other Information:

Recommendation:

[Recommendation statement]

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 322):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

[Legend or table]

[Description of extraordinary vegetation shielding other wetland boundary]

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

[Reasons for declining jurisdiction]

[Additional information]

Configuration of Wetland Boundaries:

[Configuration details]

Recommended by:

[Signature]

Date:

[Date]

[Note: Waders are identified and surveyed using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Determination Manual (WDM 1), U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. This is a formular for determining wetland classification used only by the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and not the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).]