APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Neumann Homes  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the Intersection of Mississippi Road and South Coldwater Road in Jackson Township, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200600003  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELWOOD.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
- Aerials (Name & Date): Jackson Township, 2000
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands located on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated __________, prepared by
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________________  Date: __________  Approval by: ____________________________  Date: __________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Mike Warren  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast of the Intersection of Route 6 and Gougar Road in New Lenox Township, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200600002  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands\(^1\)). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent\(^2\) (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:

☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOLIET.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☒ Aerials (Name & Date):
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ____________.
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 27 Sept 2005
☒ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands located on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ________________________________ Date: ____________

Approved by: ________________________________ Date: ____________

\(^1\)Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

\(^2\) Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Alps Development  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest of the Intersection of Cominig Road and Ridgeland Avenue, East of Peotone, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200501074  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [ ] Office  [ ] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
  - Section 10 waterway.
  - [ ]

Information Reviewed
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PEOTONE.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
- Aerials (Name & Date): Peotone, 2000
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________.
- Site Visit Conducted on: __________.
- Other information: __________.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Black Walnut Creek drains into South Branch Rock Creek. Rock Creek flows into the Kankakee River, a navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - [ ] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - [ ] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - [ ] the presence of litter and debris
  - [ ] shelving
  - [ ] changes in the character of soil
  - [ ] other:
  - [ ] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- [ ] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- [ ] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- [ ] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
- [ ] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated June 23, 2005, prepared by JFNew.
- [ ] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: ____________
Approved by: ___________________________ Date: ____________

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Outback Properties  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest Corner of Stuenkel Road and Peotone Road in Will County, Illinois  
FILE NUMBER: 200500688  
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑️ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands"). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to an interstate water or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): Green Garden, 2001
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands located on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ________________________________ Date: _________________
Approved by: ________________________________ Date: _________________

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Midwestern University  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: East of Highland Avenue & South of 31st St., Downers Grove, DuPage County, Illinois/Lacey Creek

FILE NUMBER: 200501087  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WHEATON.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY.
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: October 20, 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands 1, 2 and 3 including the drainage way have a hydrological connection or are adjacent to the on-site portion of Lacey Creek within the project site therefore they are jurisdictional waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelling
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☒ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: 11-2-05

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________ 

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: TR Klein Development  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 7.9 Acre Property Located West Of Rand Road And 1/4 Of A Mile North Of Rand Road And Miller Road In North Barrington, Lake County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 7, T43N, R10E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500920  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☒ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☐ Aerials (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: 
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: November 1, 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands W-1, W-2, W-3, and W-4, as referenced in your delineation report, are under the jurisdiction of this office due to their hydrologic connection to Flint Creek which flows into the Fox River, a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☒ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 12, 2002 (Revised September 20, 2005), prepared by Raisanen & Associates, Inc.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: 11/7/05

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: 

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Vince Tomasello
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Antioch/Lake Marie
FILE NUMBER: 200500918
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ____________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: July 29, 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland and pond on site are tributary to Tranquility Bay of Lake Marie via a pipe running north under Bowles road. Lake Marie is part of the Fox River Chain of Lakes system and a navigable water of the United States, therefore all its tributary waters, including those on site, are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

 Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ____________, prepared by ____________________.
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ____________________ Date: 10/4/05

Approved by: ____________________ Date: ____________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Brian Castro PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Old Molitor Road, East of Eola Road, Aurora, DuPage County, Illinois/ Fox River

FILE NUMBER: 200500734 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands\'). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent\' (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NAPERVILLE
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: 
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: October 20, 2005 and November 2, 2005
☒ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The onsite pond and wetland areas have a surface water connection that drains to the Fox River, therefore they are jurisdictional waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: _____________________________ Date: __________

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Bellefleur Homes  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Sugar Grove, Kane County, Illinois (NE 1/4 of Section 10, T38N R7E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500951  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  ☑ Office ☑ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SUGAR GROVE.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): USGS, April 10, 2002
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: October 25, 2005 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM, the ENCAP Wetland Delineation Report, dated April 15, 2005

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The on-site wetland is part of a large wetland complex that continues off-site. This wetland complex has a direct surface water tributary system connection with Blackberry Creek. Blackberry Creek is a tributary of the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Therefore, the on-site wetland is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soils
☒ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated April 15, 2005, prepared by ENCAP, Inc.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________________________ Date: 11/3/05

Approved by: __________________________________________ Date: 11/9/05

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Hines Interest L.P.

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 300 N. LaSalle St. along the Chicago River, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200501010

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office □ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHICAGO LOOP.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
☐ Aerials (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ____________.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):
The project requires construction activities within the Chicago River which is a jurisdictional navigable waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ____________ , prepared by ____________.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: 11/14/05

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: 11/16/05

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Addison

FILE NUMBER: 200500946
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) 1. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent 2 (bording, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland areas 1 and 2 within the project site appear to have a hydrological connection to a tributary of the East Branch of the DuPage River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☑ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated __________, prepared by __________.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: __________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: __________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Saint Pius V Shrine
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast Of Miller Road And Brierwood Land In Hawthorn Woods, Lake County, Illinois (Section 8, T43N, R11E)
FILE NUMBER: 200600021
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
  - Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ____________.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ____________.
- Aerials (Name & Date): ____________.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ____________.
- Site Visit Conducted on: ____________.
- Other information: ____________.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The marsh complex on the northern portion of the property and the swale on the western portion of the property, collectively referred to as Wetland 1, are hydrologically connected to Flint Creek, a tributary of the Fox River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated September 12, 2005, prepared by Applied Ecological Services, Inc.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________
Approved by: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dike or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Ruskin Lack  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Avon Freemont Ditch/Grayslake

FILE NUMBER: 200500590  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Both wetlands on site have a surface connection to the Des Plaines River via Avon Freemont Ditch and Mill Creek. The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore all of its tributary waters, including the two on site wetlands, are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☑ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 10, 2004, prepared by Ehorn Environmental.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date:

Approved by: ________________________________ Date: 12/Nov/05

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Robert Arthur Land Company  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: SMRT Farm property located south of Higgins Road and east Harmony Road in unincorporated Hampshire, Kane County, Illinois (N 1/2 of Section 10 and NW 1/4 of Section 11, T42N R6E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500841  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☒ There are no waters on the project site.
☒ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☒ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☒ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MARENGO SOUTH.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ________.
☒ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): DigiAir, Fall 2001
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: August 9, 2005
☒ Other information: FEMA FIRM

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Area 1 is an unnamed tributary that has a surface water tributary system connection to Hampshire Creek. Hampshire Creek flows into Burlington Creek and Burlington Creek flows into Coon Creek. Coon Creek is tributary to the Kishwaukee River. Therefore, Area 1 is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soils
☐ other:
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ________, prepared by ________.
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________  Date: 11/16/05

Approved by: ___________________________  Date: _______________________
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: James Albert
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Pistakee Lake/Fox River

FILE NUMBER: 200500937
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑️ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory. 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002& 2004
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on:
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Pistakee Lake is a navigable water of the United States and therefore under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ other
☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Architectural Resource Corporation  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 1 Acre Property Southeast of the Intersection of LaGrange Road and 165th Street in Orland Park, Cook County, Illinois (Section 22, T36N, R12E).

FILE NUMBER: 200501141  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [ ] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: TINLEY PARK.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: .
- Aerials (Name & Date): AirPhoto 1998
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: .
- Site Visit Conducted on: 5 October 2005
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): A large wetland to the north of the property extends into the site along the entire northern boundary. That wetland drains to the south into a ditch that extends the length of the western property boundary. The ditch eventually crosses under LaGrange Road and enters Marley Creek, a tributary to the Des Plaines River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- the presence of litter and debris
- shelving
- changes in the character of soil
- other:
- wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 17 June 2005, prepared by JFNew.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: 
Approved by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: /

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Town and Country Homes
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Mutton Creek/Fox River
FILE NUMBER: 200500723
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☑ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: April 14, 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Both wetlands on site have surface tributary connections to the Fox River via Mutton Creek. The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States and therefore all its tributary waters, including the on site wetlands, are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army/Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated February 21, 2005, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: ________________________________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: 2/11/05


2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Forest Preserve District of Cook County  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Trail Maintenance in Poplar Creek Forest Preserve North of Schaumburg Road and West of Poplar Creek in Cook County, Illinois (Sections 15, 16, & 21; T41N, R13E).

FILE NUMBER: 200600051  
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office ☐ Field ☒

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): Cook County 1998 and 2003
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: __________.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 27 September 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):
A small unnamed tributary to Poplar Creek crosses one of the trails undergoing maintenance. From the aerial photos there also appear to be several wetlands in close proximity to the trail areas. Poplar Creek flows to the Fox River, a navigable water under jurisdiction of this office. Any work in the wetlands or streams will require a permit.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ___. prepared by ___.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED __________________ Date: __________________

Approved by: __________________ Date: 22 NOV 05

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Brown Road Investment Group, LLC

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Property Located north of Route 64, south of the Great Western Trail and east of Brown Road in Campton Township, Kane County, Illinois (SE 1/4 of Section 15 and NE 1/4 of Section 22, T40N R7E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500691  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Mill Creek is just off-site of the property to the south. On-site there is an unnamed tributary that flows into Mill Creek. Mill Creek is a tributary of the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Therefore, the unnamed tributary on-site is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Wetland 1 and Wetland 2 (designated as such by ENCAP, Inc.) have a surface water tributary system connection to the unnamed tributary and are therefore also under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soils
☒ wetland boundary

☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other:

confirmation of wetland boundaries

☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated May 13, 2005, prepared by ENCAP, Inc.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: 11/21/05

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: 11/22/05

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
APPLICANT: Don Peszek  
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Fox

FILE NUMBER: 200600096  
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☒ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________.
☒ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 1939, 2004
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 10/31/2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The pond located east of the Fox River and West of Althea Drive and the Summer Place Condominiums is directly connected to the Fox River, a navigable waterway and is therefore Jurisdictional to the Department of the Army Regulations.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated __________, prepared by ________.
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _______________ S ____________ Date: 11-18-2005

Approved by: _______________ S ____________ Date: 11-22-2005

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Forest Preserve District of Cook County  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Multi use Trail in Harms Woods Northeast of Harms Road and Golf Road in Cook County, Illinois (Sections 8 & 9, T41N, R13E).

FILE NUMBER: 200600052  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☒ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 33 1.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1), (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☒ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PARK RIDGE.
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
☒ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _________.
☒ Aerials (Name & Date): Cook County 1998
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _________.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 27 September 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Wetlands on the property drain to the Chicago River, a navigable water under jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329);

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ other:
☒ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated . prepared by .
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ____________________ Date: 22 Nov 2005

Approved by: ____________________ Date: 22 Nov 2005

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPLICANT: Polcon Builders  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest Corner Of Hickory Street And U.S. Route 45 In Mundelein, Lake County, Illinois (Section 31, T44N, R11E)

FILE NUMBER: 200501061  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☑ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☒ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 
☐ Aerials (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: 
☒ Site Visit Conducted on: October 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The only wetland identified on the subject property, draining from the northwest corner of the site to the southeast corner, is hydrologically connected to Indian Creek, which drains to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☒ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
☒ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 24, 2005, prepared by Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: ________________________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: 30 Nov 2005

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: James McHugh  PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Long Grove/Indian Creek/Des Plaines River
FILE NUMBER: 200600081  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ❑ Office ❑ Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
❑ There are no waters on the project site.
❑ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
❑ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
❑ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
❑ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
❑ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
❑ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1), (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
❑ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
❑ The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
❑ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
❑ Other:
❑ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed
❑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: __________.
❑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
❑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
❑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: __________.
❑ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004
❑ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
❑ Site Visit Conducted on: __________.
❑ Other information: __________

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Indian Creek is tributary to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, and is therefore also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
❑ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
❑ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
❑ the presence of litter and debris
❑ shoveling
❑ changes in the character of soil
❑ other
❑ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
❑ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
❑ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
❑ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
❑ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries
❑ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated __________, prepared by __________.
❑ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________  Date: __________

Approved by: __________  Date: __________

ORIGINAL SIGNED

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophyte vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.