**INFORMATION SHEET**

**DETERMINATION OF NO JURISDICTION FOR NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

- **DISTRICT OFFICE:** Chicago
- **STEL NUMBER:**
- **REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** Paul Lefler
- **Date:** May 27, 2005
- **PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** In the office (VIN) Date: At the project site (VIN) Date: April 29, 2005
- **PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**
  - State: Illinois
  - County: Lake
  - Center coordinate of study points (latitude & longitudinal coordinates): 42° 26' 36.678 "N 87° 44' 48.546 "W
  - Approximate size of tributary (including upstream & in stream): 20
  - Name of waterway or watershed:
  - Fox River

### SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt;50 ac</th>
<th>Linear Site</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian matrix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernal pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow water (shallow types)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of resource, non-navigable, etc., then enter date and site location for use of non-navigational aquatic resources area.**

### Mitigation and State Practice:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Known</th>
<th>% Unknown</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>Not Expected to Benefit</th>
<th>Not Able To Make Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

- Preliminary
- Or Approved

**OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 - regulatory need to determine NJD, including information relevant to other potential jurisdiction on interstate commerce transactions; and paragraph 4 - the justification on waters of the U.S. affecting onsite):**
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Land and Timer Company PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 138th Street west of Indiana Avenue, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois/Little Calumet River

FILE NUMBER: 91243693 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: 11/29/2019

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JID) (For NPS-regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JID is an applicable section (33 CFR 323.1) information.

Based on available information:

There are no waters of the United States on the project site.

There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.

There are waters of the United States off the project site.

There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

The presence of waters which are navigable, or were used to, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the city or town laws of the state (i.e. navigable waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 323.1(b)(1)))

The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands (33 CFR 323.1(a)(7))

The presence of navigable water including adjacent wetlands (33 CFR 323.1(a)(4))

The presence of waters subject to (wading, wading, swimming, or fishing) to interior or other waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 323.1(a)(5))

The presence of multiple jurisdictions (wading, wading, or fishing) to interior or other waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 323.1(a)(5))

The presence of or adjacent to waterfowl, in particular, nesting, feeding, roosting, loafing, wading, swimming, or fishing, or wetlands, swamps, marshes, prairie potholes, wet meadows, sloughs, and slough ponds, salinas, playa lakes, and natural ponds.

Other:

Section 10 waterways

Interim Finding Retained

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory LAKE CALUMET

U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas LAKE CALUMET

U.S. Mantle Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County

U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps LAKE CALUMET 1991

U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quandaries.

Article 5 (Nat & Data) Advancing Construction Wetland Maps

3rd Vist Conducted on December 9, 2004

Other:

Reasonable for Basis (applicable to any issues checked above): The property contains an excavated basin that is completely enclosed and has no connection to the Little Calumet River therefore it is non-jurisdictional.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

33 CFR 323.1 and 323.2

Ordinary High Water Mark Indicated by:

Other, water not expected on the mark

other changes in the character of soil

wetland boundary

Basis for Determining Jurisdiction:

Unable to confirm presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 333.1(b)(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10)(a) or 333.1(a)(4) through 333.1(a)(7)

Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdiction under pre-1972 ECC Inactive Dredge and Fill Rules which Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdiction under pre-1972 ECC Inactive Dredge and Fill Rules

Headquarters decided to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR 323.1(c)(1) and 323.1(c)(4)(1)

Conference of Wetland Boundaries

This office continues with your wetland delineation report date.

This office does not continue your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________

Approved By: __________________________ Date: __________


2Wetlands include those areas of the U.S. by sinuosity, lentic features, natural rivers, marsh edges, and the like which are subject to intermittent and non-jurisdictional waters.
**INFORMATION SHEET**

**DETERMINATIONS ON NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** Chicago  
**FILE NUMBER:** 20884-212  
**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** Debbie Wilis  
**DATE:** February 15, 2005

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** In the office Y (7/95) Date: February 15, 2005  
At the project site Y (7/94) Date: December 9, 2004

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**  
**State:** Illinois  
**County:** Cook  
**Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates:**  
**Approximate size of the property (including uplands & in waters):**  
**Name of waterway or watershed:** Little Calumet River  
**SITE CONDITIONS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-5 ac</th>
<th>5-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt;50 ac</th>
<th>Linear foot</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudflats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernal pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Hypothetical box that would describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and not eligible for use of non-jurisdictional Tagging Resources Act.

**Migratory Bird Rule Pursuant:**

| 2 Bypasses | 5 Bypasses | 7 Bypasses | 15 Bypasses | 20 Bypasses | 25 Bypasses | 50 Bypasses | 100 Bypasses | 500 Bypasses | 1000 Bypasses | 10000 Bypasses | 100000 Bypasses | 1000000 Bypasses | 10000000 Bypasses | 100000000 Bypasses | 1000000000 Bypasses |
|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Yes        | No         | Yes        | No          | Yes         | No          | Yes         | No          | Yes         | No            | Yes           | No              | Yes               | No                | Yes               | No                |

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:** Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☑

**OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NID**  
(e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 - estimates used in determination NID. Including additional information concerning navigability or interstate commerce connections, and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. wetting entity.)
APPLICANT: Paul Loder PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 2114 and 2770 South Meridian Road, Schaumburg, Cook County, Illinois, Salt Creek/Days Plasma River Watershed

FILE NUMBER: 2000499 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Other ___________

Approved Jurisdictional Determination: (Yes) (For site regulated under 33 CFR 320-340. An approved JD is inapplicable action. (33 CFR 331.2))

Based on available information:
[ ] There are no wetlands on the project site.
[ ] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[ ] There are impacts of the United States waters on the project site.
[ ] There are waters of the United States and/or jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
[ ] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[ ] The presence of wetlands which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be expected for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to theebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[ ] The presence of intermittent water (including intermittent wetland) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
[ ] The presence of a tributary to an intermittent or other waters of the US. (33 CFR 321.1(a)(5))
[ ] The presence of wetlands adjacent to (bargained, contiguous, or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
[ ] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), swamps, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).

Other:
Section of Waterway:

Information Reviewed:
[ ] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STREAMWOOD.
[ ] UNDA Water Resource Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[ ] U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Geographic Quadrangle: ____________.
[ ] U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Geographic Quadrangle: ___________.
[ ] Airs Photo (Name & Date): ____________.
[ ] Adjusted Identification Wetland Map: ____________.
[ ] Site Visit Conducted on: May 12, 2004.
[ ] Other Information: _____________.

Relevant for Basis (apply to say boxes checked above):
Salt Creek forms through the property and is a Waters of the U.S. The nearby pond is an isolated depression with no hydrologic connection to Salt Creek.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (33 CFR 328 and 395):
[ ] Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[ ] clear, natural line impressed on the bank of the perennial or intermittent stream  _____________.
[ ] changes in the character of Soil _____________.
[ ] other: _____________.

Basis for Declaring Jurisdiction:
[ ] Unable to confirm the presence of water listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[ ] Area under consideration is likely to be non-jurisdictional under non-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[ ] Area under consideration is likely to be non-jurisdictional under non-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[ ] Headquarters declared to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(9) (attach copy of HQ remarks):

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
[ ] This office concurs with the wetland delineation report dated July 26, 2003 and the revised jurisdictional boundaries dated May 12, 2003, prepared by Gearshy, Goldmacher & Loder.
[ ] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1 Wetlands are also defined and delineated using the method described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (5th Edition) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation), hydric soils and wetland hydrology. For an overview of the method used to determine wetland status refer to the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (5th Edition).

2 Wetlands regulated here are waters of the U.S. by main line cities or waters, navigable stream, tidal water, and the like are also included.
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JDE) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JDE is an appealable action (33 CFR 331.2).

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

1. There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
2. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to support interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tides (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) 33 CFR 320.3(a)(1). The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands). (33 CFR 320.3(a)(2)).
3. The presence of a tributary to an interstate or foreign water or of the United States 33 CFR 320.3(2)(X). The presence of wetland adjacent (i.e., contiguous, or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the United States, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. 33 CFR 320.3(a)(2). The presence of an isolated water (i.e., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), lagoons, rafts, pans, pools, ponds, wetlands, bogs, swamps, prairie potholes, meadows, prairies, lakes, or marsh ponds). Other.

Section 10, 320-330.

Information Requested:


Rationale for Basis (Applicable to any boxes checked above): The 1.8-acre wetland is an isolated wetland in the Marley Creek watershed and is not jurisdictional. No PERMIT REQUIRED.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 320 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- erect, natural line impressed on the bank
- presence of macrophytes and detritus
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary

Basis for Declaring Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 320.3(a)(1), 320.3(a)(2), or 320.3(a)(4) through 320.3(a)(7).
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SNACOE Mitigation and Rule criteria.
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SNACOE Mitigation and Rule criteria.

- Modifications declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 320.3(a)(3). (Attach copy of HQ rationale)

Conformance of Wetland Prominence:

This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by

Recommended by: Date:

Approved by: Date:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corp. Wetland Delineation Manual (2 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural land may vary from those described in the Corp. Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by not more than, or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are also

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Santi Bader PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 10 acre property in Homer Glen, Will County, IL, T146 N, R73 E, Sec 18, T146 N, R73 E.

FILE NUMBER: 20090538 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office [Redacted]

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JDE) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JDE is an appealable action (33 CFR 331.2).

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

1. There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
2. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to support interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tides (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) 33 CFR 320.3(a)(1).
3. The presence of intermittent waters (including intermittent wetlands). (33 CFR 320.3(a)(2).) The presence of a tributary to an interstate or foreign water or of the United States 33 CFR 320.3(2)(X). The presence of wetland adjacent (i.e., contiguous, or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the United States, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. 33 CFR 320.3(a)(2). The presence of an isolated water (i.e., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), lagoons, rafts, pans, pools, ponds, wetlands, bogs, swamps, prairie potholes, meadows, prairies, lakes, or marsh ponds). Other.

Section 10, 320-330.

Information Requested:


Rationale for Basis (Applicable to any boxes checked above): The 1.8-acre wetland is an isolated wetland in the Marley Creek watershed and is not jurisdictional. No PERMIT REQUIRED.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 320 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- erect, natural line impressed on the bank
- presence of macrophytes and detritus
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary

Basis for Declaring Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 320.3(a)(1), 320.3(a)(2), or 320.3(a)(4) through 320.3(a)(7).
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SNACOE Mitigation and Rule criteria.
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SNACOE Mitigation and Rule criteria.

- Modifications declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 320.3(a)(3). (Attach copy of HQ rationale)

Conformance of Wetland Prominence:

This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by

Recommended by: Date:

Approved by: Date:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corp. Wetland Delineation Manual (2 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural land may vary from those described in the Corp. Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by not more than, or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are also
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
FILE NUMBER: 2005/0184

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Ron Abraa Date: 24 MAY 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Late: (Y/N) Date: 5 MAY 2005

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:
State: Illinois
County: Will
Center coordinates at site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41°35'39" / 87°05'04"
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 10
Names of waterway or watershed: Marley Creek / Des Plaines

SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Linear feet</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wadi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muddy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saltwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie paradise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet meadow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playa lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernal pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other name (please type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state, water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to non-isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary or Approved

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 3-3 - rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring nearby):
| TYPE OF DETERMINATION | 10-30 MAR | 30 MAR | 10 APR | 30 APR | 10 MAY | 30 MAY | 10 JUN | 30 JUN | 10 JUL | 30 JUL | 10 AUG | 30 AUG | 10 SEP | 30 SEP | 10 OCT | 30 OCT | 10 NOV | 30 NOV | 10 DEC | 30 DEC |
|-----------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Applicant & Approver |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JID) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JID is an repealable action. (33 CFR 331.21)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no wetlands on the project site.

☐ There are no jurisdictional wetlands on the project site.

☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.

☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))

☐ The presence of intermittent or impounded wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))

☐ The presence of tributaries to an intermittent or impounded wetland (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))

☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4))

☒ The presence of an isolated wetland (i.e., impounded lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandbars, and shallow water bodies), wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds.

☐ Other:

☐ Section 10 waterway:

Information Reviewed:

☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA NORTH

☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:

☐ USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.

☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH, 1993

☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:

☐ USDA Photographs (Name & Date):

☐ Illinois Department of Natural Resources-03/03/2012

☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY

☐ See Visits Conducted on: May 5, 2002 by Jaime W. Harasim of the USACE, Chicago District

☐ Other information: FEMA FIRM, ENCAP Wetland Delineation Report, dated April 26, 2005

Reasonable for Basis (apply to any boxes checked above): Wetlands 1 and 2 do not have a surface water tributary system connection and are isolated. Therefore, Wetlands 1 and 2 are not under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Investigated Areas 3 and 4 may meet all these criteria for wetland and should be investigated further. Investigated Areas 3 and 4 would still be isolated though and not under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

☒ clean, natural line impressed in the bank

☐ the presence of litter and debris

☐ changes in the character of soils

☒ destruction of terrestrial vegetation

☒ shoreline

☐ other:

☐ wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)

☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(7) [attach copy of HQ notification]

Confimation of Wetland Boundaries:

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by

☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Date:

Approved by: Date:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (F7 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soil, and wetland hydrology). Process for determining the wetland's boundaries on agricultural fields may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1977).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river banks, beach dams, and the like are also adjacent.
DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago  
FILE NUMBER: 2058067

INFORMATION SHEET  
DETERMINATION OF NO JURISDICTION FOR GDOIATE, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS 
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

MANAGEMENT PROJECT MANAGER:  
Date: 05/09/05

PROJECT REVIEW DETERMINATION COMPLETED:  
In the office  
At the project site:  
(Y/N) Dates: 9/19/05  (Y/N) Dates: 6/25/05

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Center coordinates of site by latitude &amp; longitudinal coordinates</th>
<th>Approximate size of site property (including uplands &amp; in acres)</th>
<th>Name of waterway or watershed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE:  
Inlets:  
Lake:  
River:  
Len: 41,44-25, Lon: 88,17-17  
30 acres  
Fox River

WTR CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-3 ac</th>
<th>3.5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Linear feet</th>
<th>Uninhabited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

MIGRATORY BIRD RARE TRADES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In water</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>If Unfavorable Use Best Professional Judgment</th>
<th>Not Expected to Occur</th>
<th>Not Able To Make Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In or would be used as habitat by birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaty Act?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In or would be used as habitat by affect migratory birds that occur there?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In or would be used as habitat by endangered species?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is a state species of concern?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential applicability of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act to water, non-jurisdictional isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource areas.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary ☐ Or approved ☒

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NID (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NID, including information reviewed to assess potential for navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring nearby):
APPLICANT: IV Manufacturing Co. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Vacnet Property Located At 1197 Wills Avenue In Wheeling, Cook County, Illinois (Section 14, 14TH, R41E)
FILE NUMBER: 90095058
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETION DATE: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JBD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JBD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 321.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including or waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)).
☐ The presence of intermittent wetlands (including intertidal wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to intermittent or other waters of the U.S. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent springs), sloughs, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie pothole, swamps, sloughs, or natural ponds).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
☐ UNDRA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangle:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangle:
☐ Articlals (Name & Date):
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Coordinated on: May 10, 2005
☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (apply to any boxes checked above):
There is a wetland on the northern portion of the property and a drainage swale on the southern portion of the property, however they do not exhibit a traceable hydrologic connection to a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 238 and 239):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark delineated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of riparian vegetation
☐ shelving
☐ offices

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declared to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(5) (technical report of HQ rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office conserves with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
☐ Recommended by:
☐ Approved by:
☐ Date:
☐ 5/14/05

Approved for: ____________________________ Date: ____________________________

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Determination Manual (87 M601) (i.e., vegetation, hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, soils, and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from those described in the Corps Wetland Determination Manual (87 M601).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by non-natural dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are not jurisdictional.
**INFORMATION SHEET**

**DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM US. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** Chicago

**FILE NUMBER:** 2005008

**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** Paul Lesser

**Date:** May 16, 2005

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** In the office

**(Y/N) Date:** Yes May 16, 2005

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**

- **State:** Illinois
- **County:** Cook
- **Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude (including upland & in acres):** 82.9; 31.7086 87.31; 17.761
- **Name of waterway or watershed:** Des Plaines

**SITE CONDITION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of drainage condition</th>
<th>0 ac</th>
<th>0.5 ac</th>
<th>1-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice paddy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (fed by springs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes next to property type as isolated, non-navigable, lake, pool, wetland present and wetlands for use above-ground aquatic ecosystem types.*

**Migratory Bird-Rule Factors:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Expected To Occur</td>
<td>Not Able To Make Determination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:**

- Preliminary [ ]
- On Approval [ ]

**OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NIP (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions, paragraph 2 - regulatory use, determination NIP, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interference consequences; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite).**
APPLICANT: Lenny Jablonski
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 0.08 Acre Pointe at 171 South Green in Ingleside, Cook County, Illinois—Station 20, T 31 N, R 9 E

FILE NUMBER: 06059092 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETE: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (Use these regulated under 33 CFR 328-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.

☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.

☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or are used in the past, or must be susceptible for use, to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide, (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))

☐ The presence of interstate waters (including intrastate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))

☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other waters of the US. (33 CFR 328.1(a)(5))

☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) in intrastate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))

☐ The presence of a tidal coastal area or adjacent tidal coastal areas (i.e., estuaries, tidal flats,克里斯汀组，to include intertidal areas, mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playas, lakes, or tidal prairies).

☑ Other:

Section 10 Waterway

Information Reviewed:

☐ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory—PALATINE

☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrology, Area: ________________

☐ USDI National Aquatic Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey (Cook County)

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps—PALATINE, 1993

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historical Quadrangles

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles

☐ Illinois Natural Areas Inventory—Wetlands

☐ Illinois Department of Natural Resources—Wetland Maps

☐ Illinois Natural Heritage Survey on 30 May 2003

☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any basis checked above):

☐ Need does not appear to be hydrologically connected to navigable waters and is not under jurisdiction of this office.

☐ Other:

☐ Lowered Extents of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

☐ Chief, natural line impressed on the bank

☐ Changes in the character of soil

☐ Wetland boundary

☐ Deformation of terrestrial vegetation

☐ Steepness

☐ Other:

☐ Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)

☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-58ANCC Mitigation Title 6 criteria

☐ Other:

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

☐ Jurisdictional Wetland Boundaries:

☐ This map meets with your wetland delineation report dated ___________, prepared by ___________________________

☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommending by: ___________________________ Date: _________________

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (35 FR 4047) (i.e., identification of hydrophilic vegetation, hydrology, and wetland hydraulics). Presence of jurisdictional wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from maps described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (35 FR 4047).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by non-navigable dikes or barriers, unstocked river banks, bank dunes, and the like are also subject.
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
FILE NUMBER: 29053692

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Steve Gajno Date: 11 May 2005

Y (YN) Date: 10 May 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED

In the office

At the project site

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State Illinois

County Cook

Center coordinates of site by latitudinal and longitudinal coordinates: 42. - 33.3713; W - 87.553164

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres):

1

Name of waterway or watershed:

Flax Plaines

SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>&lt; 1 ac</th>
<th>1-2 ac</th>
<th>3-6 ac</th>
<th>6-10 ac</th>
<th>10-24 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Flax for</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tributary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tidal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-tidal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water resource type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aquatic resource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consider appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-navigable, intra-state water resource area.

Migratory Bird Habitat Factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefers</td>
<td>Not exposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Occur</td>
<td>to Occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Occur</td>
<td>Not exposed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimal Habitat Factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefers</td>
<td>Not exposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Occur</td>
<td>to Occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Occur</td>
<td>Not exposed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possible Habitat Factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefers</td>
<td>Not exposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Occur</td>
<td>to Occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Occur</td>
<td>Not exposed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type of Determination:

Preliminary or Approval

Optimal Additional Information Supporting NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 - rationale used to determine NJD, including information requested to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce competitiveness; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring nearby).
APPLICANT: Mr. & Mrs. Strock

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South off U, Route 126 And 1/2 Q/A Mile East
OF (U, Route 117)

U. S. Geological Survey, Lake County, Illinois (Sections 36, T46N, R30W)

FILE NUMBER: 200054644 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: No Other Project

Approves Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 230-330). An approved JD is an applicable section (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.

☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))

☐ The presence of interstate waters (including associated wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))

☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))

☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to navigable waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))

☐ The presence of an isolated wetland (e.g., lakes, ponds, swamps, bogs, fens, marshes, meadows, marshy areas, prairie potholes, river overflow, playa lakes, or natural ponds)

☐ Other:

☐ Section 1(d) waters

Information Reviewed:

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:

☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:

☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County:

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GRAYSLAKE

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:

☐ U. S. Geological Survey 1:24,000 Quadrangles:

☐ Airphoto (Reese & Idee) 2004

☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:

☐ Site Visit Conducted: Apr 29, 2005

☐ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland areas highlighted in your submittal do not exhibit an identifiable hydrologic connection to a navigable waterway, such as the Des Plaines River

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 330):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

☐ existing natural levee impressed on the bank

☐ the presence of litter and debris

☐ changes in the character of soil

☐ other

☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation

☐ vegetation

☐ wetland boundary

☐ other boundary

☐ Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(7) through 328.3(a)(9)

☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANSCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANSCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(5) (attach copy of HQ rationale)

☐ Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:

☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by

☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

☐ Recommended by:

☐ Approved by:

☐ Date: 7/12/05

☐ Original SIGNED

☐ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (PM Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydro-logic soils, wetland hydrology). Procedures for documenting wetlands in agricultural levees may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987)

☐ Wetlands retained from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river terraces, beach dunes, and the like are non-jurisdictional.

☐ Form Type: A1648.01
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago  
FILE NUMBER:  

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Paul Leffler  
Date: May 11, 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:  
In the office  
(414) Date:  
At the project site  
(414) Date:  

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:  
State: Illinois  
County: Lake  
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 42-20.12.899 87-1-0.1808  
Appropriate size of site/property (including uplands & in waters): 20  
Name of waterway or watershed: Des Plaines

SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>&lt; 1 ac</th>
<th>1-3 ac</th>
<th>3-5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Linear feet</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandbar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie/pasture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playa lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernal pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identify type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-navigable aquatic resource 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration Bird Rule Factor:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Known</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that roosts state flora?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In or would be critical habitat for endangered species?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In or would be critical anti-wetland construction?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule so apply to entire, non-navigable, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource 2008.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:  Preliminary □ Or Approved □

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NFD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NFD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Rachel Ridge
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast of the intersection of Hughey Road and Spencer Road New Lenox, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200050422
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETES: Office of Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (for claim regulated under 33 C.F.R. 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action (33 C.F.R. 331.3).

Based on available information:
- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are no non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are no waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used or will be used in the past, or which may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the air and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 C.F.R. 328.1(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including intrastate wetlands) (33 C.F.R. 328.3(a)(2))
- The presence of navigable waters (i.e., maintainable channels) (33 C.F.R. 328.3(a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent to navigable waters of the U.S. (33 C.F.R. 328.3(a)(6))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent to other wetlands (33 C.F.R. 328.3(a)(7))
- The presence of non-jurisdictional waters (including intrastate streams),ちょっと、sandbars, swales, sloughs, swales, ponds, impoundments, playa lakes, or natural ponds.

Section: 10: waterway.

Information Reviewed:
- USDI National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- Site Visit Conducted on May 3, 2005

Other information:

Rationale for Basis (steps to verify have been checked above):
- The farm is located on the subject property is isolated and therefore not jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction: (as 33 C.F.R. 328 and 330):
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
  - breaks, meander line exposed on the bank
  - the presence of flood debris
  - changes in the character of soil
  - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - barrier
  - other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 C.F.R 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(6) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have jurisdictional water not SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have jurisdictional under non-SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Headquarters utilized to approve jurisdiction in the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of JD rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundary:
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
- This office does not conform your wetland boundary

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

Approved by: __________________________ Date: __________________________

1. Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Identification Manual (47 CFR 320). The presence of wetlands on the proposed project site may vary from what described in the Corps Wetland Identification Manual (47 CFR 320).

2. Wetlands are parts of other waters of the U.S. by: (a) more than 6 feet, or (b) 50% or more area, 6 feet or more deep, or (c) are adjacent.
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
FILE NUMBER: 200500420

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Melina Cruz Date: 10 May 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Date: 
At the project site (Y/N) Date: 30 May 2005

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

| State: | Illinois |
| County: | Will |
| Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: | 41°29.4 989' N & 87°57.00482' |
| Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in areas): | DeSoto Plains |

SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquifer resource</th>
<th>&lt;1 ac</th>
<th>1-3 ac</th>
<th>3-5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>&gt;25 ac</th>
<th>&lt;50 ac</th>
<th>&gt;50 ac</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie potholes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet meadow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identify type):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe the type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquifer resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Formed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migratory Bird Rule Formed</th>
<th>Predicted to Occur</th>
<th>Not Expected to Occur</th>
<th>Not Able to Make Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquifer resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☑

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):
APPLICANT: Planned Development & Construction
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERSHED: Parcel located south of Powers Road in Hamblin, Kane County, Illinois (41°4 of Section 10, 74°23' N W1/2)

FILE NUMBER: 2008094
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Offsite Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (ID) (If sites regulated under 33 CFR 328-338).
An approved ID is an executable action (33 CFR 331.2).

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

Information Reviewed:
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service-National Wetland Inventory: HUNTLEY
USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County,
U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HUNTLEY, 1992
U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Hiologic Quadrangle
U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historical Quadrangles
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kane County
Google Maps
Advanced Identification: Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
Site Visit Conducted on April 19, 2006 by James W. Forrest of the USACE, Chicago District
Other information: FEMA, FIDSA, NRCS Certified Wetland Determination

Estimates for Basis applies to any other checking reports. Wetlands 1-10 (as defined in 1991 as by Black Environmental, Ltd., in the March 2015 Wetland Determination Report) are all protected as they do not have a wetland boundary or connectivity. Therefore, wetlands 1-10 are not under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 339):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
clear, natural line impressed on the bank
distinction of terrestrial vegetation
changes in the character of soils

Wetland boundary

Basis for Declaring Jurisdiction:

Unlikely to concur with the presence of wetlands listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 324.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(3) through 328.3(a)(7)
Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Area under consideration is likely to have jurisdictional wetlands under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

Headquarters declined to exercise jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (track copy of HQ rationale)

Confimration of Wetland Boundaries:
This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated
preparred by
This office does confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by:

Date: 5/5/2015

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District


Wetlands separate other waters of the US by characteristic sites or barries, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are not jurisdictional.
**INFORMATION SHEET**

**DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** Chicago  
**FILE NUMBER:** 200000543

**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** Jordan Hanson  
**Date:** 5/9/05

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** In the office  
**Date:** 5/9/05  
At the project site  
**Date:** 4/19/05

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**  
**State:** Illinois  
**County:** Kane  
**Latitude & Longitudinal coordinates:** Lat: 42°8'-12", Long: 87°22'-45"  
**Acreage:** 68 acres  
**Stream or river name:** Kishwaukee River

**SITE CONDITIONS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-5 ac</th>
<th>1-5 mi</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-15 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Linear feet</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandbar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie/scrub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernal pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identify type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-periodic natural aquatic resource area.*

**Migratory Bird Risk Factors:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
<th>Use Best Professional Judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Predicted to Derive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Is or would be used as Habitat for Birds protected by Maginatory Bird Treaty?
- Is or would be used as habitat for other migratory birds that cross state lines?
- Is or would be used as Habitat for endangered species?
- Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply in water, non-periodic, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.*

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:** Preliminary □ Or Approved □

**OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraphs 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 - rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):**
APPLICANT: Charit Refis /tage PROJECT LOCATION /WATERWAY: 78.2-Acre Parcel at Centerline and Stiegel Roads in Franklin, Will County, Ill. (SW 1/4 SE 1/4 T34N R12E)
FILE NUMBER: 200805093 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office sidew

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJ) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 328.220). An approved JD is not appealable action. (33 C.F.R. 328.2)

Base on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport, store, or dispose of petroleum or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the flow and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 C.F.R. 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstitial waters (including standing water) (33 C.F.R. 328.3(c)(2)(i))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 C.F.R. 328.3(c)(3)(i))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to (bordering, contiguous or neighboring) or adjacent or other waters of the U.S. (except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands). (33 C.F.R. 328.3(c)(3)(ii))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., prairie lakes, rice fields, marshes (including intertidal marshes), mudflats, sandbars, wetlands, sloughs, marsh pools, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds.)
☐ Other __________________________
Section 10 Waterway.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory: FRANKFORT
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, IND.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 5-Minute Historic Quadrangles
☐ AirData Image & Data
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland apps
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: __________________________
☐ Other information: 200805074 - Letter dated 25 AUG 2008

Rationale for Basis (apply to any boxes checked above): Wetland & (approximately 2.93 acres) is hydrologically connected to the west bank of the Wetlands north of the Dan River, a navigable water of the United States. Wetland is approximately 0.3 miles inland and therefore not jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328.22 and 328.3): Ordinary High Water bank includes:
☐ coterminous with the bank changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ other __________________________
☐ Exception of terrestrial vegetation
☐ other __________________________

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1). 328.3(a)(2) or 328.3(a)(3) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-500000 Mig. Rule criteria.
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-500000 Mig. Rule criteria.
☐ Other __________________________

Recommendation of Jurisdiction:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by __________________________
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary __________________________

Recommended by: __________________________ Date: __________

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (7th Edition) (i.e., presence of hydrophyte vegetation, flood plain and wetland hydrology). Procedures for addressing wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (7th Edition).
2 Wetlands reported from other waters of the U.S. by means of title or habitat, natural river banks, beach dunes, and the like are also apparent.
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chico
PILE NUMBER: 200500093

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Ron Abazan
Date: 5 MAY 2003

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: [Y/N] Date: 6 MAY 2003

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:
State:
Country:
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates:
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in-accres)
Name of waterway or watershed:

SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-2 ac</th>
<th>3-5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Linear ft</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallows</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie-potholes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet meadow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural canal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identify type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for site of non-jurisdiction aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m or would be used as habitat for birds affected by Migratory Bird Treaty?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is m or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that come into state?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is m or would be used as habitat for endangered species?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is m or would be used as habitat for migratory birds and is interstate commerce?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to site, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, non-water, aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

- Preliminary
- Or Approved

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 - site condition; paragraphs 2-3 - rationale used to determine NJD; including information reviewed to assess potential navigation of interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waives of the U.S. occurring utility):
APPLICANT: Ryland Homes
PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 189-acre Waith Young Property (Formerly Morcomlawas 2B03-3880) using Career Run Creek in Lucas, Will County, Ill (North 42 34 34; East 89 03 06)
FILE NUMBER: 20140860
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (BD) (For SRS regulated under 33 CFR 328-330). An approved BD is in a separable piece. (22 C.F. 321.24)

Based on available information:
- There are no wetlands on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- There are no waters of the United States in the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States in the project site.
- There are no waters of the United States on the project site.
- All waters on the project site are non-jurisdictional in nature.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.

Information Review:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOILET.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: JOILET, JOILET.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: JOILET, JOILET.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historical Quadrangle.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOILET.
- U.S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historical Quadrangle:
- Advanced Identification, Wetland Maps:
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other Information:

Rationale for Basis (Applies to bases checked above): Wetlands 1 and 2 are adjacent to Prasoon Run Creek, flowing through the property. Project Run Creek is a tributary to the J-3-M Canal, a navigable water of the U.S. The recently identified 1.5 acre formed wetland in the southeastern portion of the site in isolated from Prasoon Run Creek and is non-jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 C.F.R. 328.2)
- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetted soil
- vegetation destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shoreline
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 C.F.R. 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(3) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Areas under consideration are likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANEC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.

Confidence of Wetland Boundaries
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated
- Prepared by
- This office does not confirm your project boundary

Recommended by:

Approved by:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria enumerated in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (17 March) i.e., presence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic characteristics, and wetland indicators. Presence of determining wetlands on agricultural land may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1997).
2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by non-tree line, make, vegetative barriers, rock walls, and the like are also subject
**INFORMATION SHEET**

**DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** Chicago

**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** Ron Ahrens

**FILE NUMBER:** 2006050010

**DATE:** 24 May 2005

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** In the office (17 May) Date: 24 May 2005

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center coordinates of site by latitude &amp; longitudinal coordinates</td>
<td>41° 33’ 58” / 84° 02’ 09”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate size of site/property (including uplands &amp; in acres)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of waterway or watershed</td>
<td>Forest Run Creek / I &amp; M Canal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SITE CONDITIONS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-3 ac</th>
<th>3-5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Linear feet</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudflat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandflat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie pothole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet meadow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flats lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernal pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identify 0.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of inter-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.*

**Migratory Bird Rule Factors:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>Use Best Professional Judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Predicted Not Expected to Occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to isolated, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.*

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:**

**Preliminary** ☐  **Or Approved** ☑

**OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-5 - rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigability or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring upstream):**
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Georgia Partnership PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 191st Street and 88th Avenue along Union Drainage DN03 in McHenry, Will County, IL US 1/2 Sec 2 T33N R15E
FILE NUMBER: 200400799 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Fax

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use for storage, transportation, or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent to a tributary, contiguous, or neighboring to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intermittent lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, ponds, lakes, or natural pools).
☐ Other:
☐ Section 10 way.

Information Reviewed:
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory. TINLEY PARK.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas. TINLEY PARK.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey, 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps TINLEY PARK.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey. 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles.
☐ Aerial (Name & Date).
☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps.
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: 13 MAY 2004
☐ Other information:

Nationale for Basis (apply to any boxes checked above): The tributary of Union Drainage Ditch flowing through the site is tributary to the Illinois River, and is a jurisdictional water of the U.S. Wetlands 1,2,3, and 4 are included wetlands in the Union Drainage Ditch watershed.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and debris
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ fishing
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328 (a)(1), 328.1(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(7) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rake criteria
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rake criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) (attach copy of IJ rationale)

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated June 1, 2004, prepared by CBIEB.
☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: 
☐ Date: 25/7/05

Approved by:
☐ Date: 2/5/05

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Determination Manual (11th Edition) (i.e., existence of hydric vegetation, history with and wetland hydrology). Procedures for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Determination Manual (1987).

Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river banks, beach dunes, and the like are not included.
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NON-JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
FILE NUMBER: 200409799

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Ron Arnold
Date: 25 MAY 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Date: (Y/N) Date: 13 MAY 2004

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:
State: Illinois
County: Will
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41°22'49" / 87°49'27"
Approximate size of site (property including uplands & in acres): 160
Name of waterway or watershed: Union Drainage Ditch / ID

SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource:
- Lake
- River
- Stream
- Bay Wash
- Sandflat
- Wooded
- Wetlands
- Slough
- Prairie
- Natural pond
- Other water (identify type)

Linear feet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-3 ac</th>
<th>3-5 ac</th>
<th>5-15 ac</th>
<th>15-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Linear feet</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandflat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wooded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identify type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdiction aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors:

If Known
- If or would be used as a habitat for birds present before Migratory Bird Treaty
- If or would be used as a habitat by other migratory birds that make main fliers
- If or would be used by other migratory birds that make short fliers
- If or would be used as a habitat for endangered species

If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment
- Not Expected to Occur
- Not Able To Make Determining

Check appropriate boxes to best describe the potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to water, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:
- Preliminary
- Or Approved

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 - rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):
APPLICANT: TWO Associates  | PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast Corner of Exchange Street and Kings Road in Crest, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200641029  | PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  | Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an unappealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
☐ The presence of jurisdictional waters (including intermittent wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2))
☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate or other waters of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(3))
☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(4))
☐ The presence of an isolated wetland (i.e., intermittent lakes, rock, stream, including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, shoals, prairie potholes, wet meadows, peat lands, or natural prairie.
☐ Other:

Section 10 Waterway:

Information Reviewed:
☐ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STEGER.
☐ USGS National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 1:5-Minute Hydrologic Quadrangle:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey 1:24,000 Topographic Quadrangle:
☐ Aerials (Name & Date): Crest, 2001
☐ Advanced Geospatial Wetland Maps:
☐ Site Visit Conducted on: May 14, 2005
☐ Other:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Interiment tributaries 1-3 and Wetland C are tributaries to Deer Creek. Wetland A and Wetland B drain into Deer Creek. Wetland A and Wetland C are tributaries to Deer Creek and are therefore hydrologically connected. Wetland B is adjacent to Wetland C and is therefore jurisdictional. Wetland D is isolated and therefore not jurisdictional. Deer Creek empties into Deer Creek. Deer Creek empties into the Little Calumet River where their flows into the Calumet River a navigable river of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):
☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under post-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(7) [check copy of HQ rationale]

Confimation of Wetland Boundaries:
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated May 15, 2005 prepared by Planning Resources.
☐ This office does not concur with your wetland boundary

Reconciled by: ——— 5 ——— Date: 31 May 05 ———

Approved by: ——— 7 ——— Date: 21 ———

*Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (RT Merrit) (i.e., identification of Hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on an asperage basis may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF ND JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
DATE: 31 May 2005

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Melosia Cruz
FILE NUMBER: 200401025

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office
(Y/N) Date: 11 May 2005

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:
State: Illinois
County: Will
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude coordinates: 41.26.42.03 & 87.38.55.45
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in areas): Lake Michigan
Name of waterway or watershed: Lake Michigan

SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-2 ac</th>
<th>3-5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt;50 ac</th>
<th>Linear foot</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reedy slough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet marsh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie habitat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identify type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
<th>Use Best Professional Judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Predicted to Occur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. or would be used as habitat for birds protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty?

2. or would be used as habitat for other migratory birds that cross state lines?

3. or would be used as habitat for endangered species?

4. or would migrate over or through municipal water body?

Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule is apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

PRELIMINARY ☐ Or APPROVED ☐

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING ND (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 - rationale used to determine ND, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District
APPLICANT: Premier Property Group PROJECT #: OCCATION/WATERWAY: Woodstock, McLemore Co.
FILE NUMBER: 201900424 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JDA) [for sites regulated under 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)] An approved JDA is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) An approved ID is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:
- There are no wetlands on the project site.
- There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are no waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are no non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of water, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be reasonably susceptible to use for transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to theebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of intermittent water (including intermittent wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of a tributary to an intermittent water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent to bordering, contiguous, or neighboring to intermittent or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of an isolated wetland (e.g., instream lakes, ponds, sloughs, prairie potholes, swi meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed:
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory- WOODSTOCK.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McLemore County.
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WOODSTOCK, 1992
- U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
- U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date) [06] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
- Site Visit Conducted:
- Other:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 is tributary to the Kishwaukee River, which is tributary to the Rock River, a navigable waterway. Wetlands 2, 3, 4, 5 and Farmed Wetland 6 are isolated and therefore not regulated by the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 332):

- Intermittent High Water Mark indicated by:
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - the presence of litter and debris
  - changes in the character of soil
  - wetland boundary

- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - flooding
  - other...

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
- Unable to confirm the presence of water listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(1) attach copy of HQ rationale

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries:
- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 1, 2004, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ___________________________ Date: 12/02/2004

Approved by: ___________________________ Date: 12/02/2004

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the techniques and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (F7 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydric vegetation, hydrologic data, and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (F7 Manual).

2 Wetlands regulated under the jurisdiction of the U.S. by non-federal public, public, or private bodies, beaches, shore zone, and the like are not adjacent.
**INFORMATION SHEET**

**DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRASTATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago**
**FILE NUMBER: 200500124**

**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** Keri Bluus  **Date:** 5/26/05

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** In the office  (Y/N) Date: 3/24/05

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Approximate size of site/property (including uplands &amp; in acres)</th>
<th>Notes of waterway or watershed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>McHenry</td>
<td>42.1732218</td>
<td>-88.2678611</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Kilbourn Creek</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SITE CONDITIONS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-5 ac</th>
<th>5-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Litter feet</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudflat</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandflat</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swamp</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention pond</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identify type)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intrastate water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

**Migratory Bird Rule Factors:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
<th>Use Best Professional Judgment</th>
<th>Not Able to Make Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Exploited in/Over</td>
<td>Not Expected to Occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:**

Preliminary ☐  Or Approved ☑

**OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions, paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):**

[Space for additional information]
APPLICANT: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 300-acre property as part of former hotel
Annual in unincorporated Wilmington, Will County. "See 104 Sec 16 33T 3N 41E"

FILE NUMBER: 02050234

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD): (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 328-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 332.2) Based on available information:

☐ There are no waters on the project site.
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site.
☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

☒ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
☒ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(2)).
☒ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands) (33 CFR 328.3(b)(2))
☒ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(5))
☒ The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US except those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3(a)(7))
☐ The presence of isolated water (i.e., intrastate lakes, rivers, steams including intermittent streams), sandflats, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, pools, sloughs, or natural ponds
☐ Other:

☒ Section 10 wetway.

Information Reviewed:

☒ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SYMERTON.
☒ UNDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
☒ U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Geographic Quadrangles:
☒ Artifacts (Name & Date):
☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Map:
☒ Site Visit Conducted on:
☒ Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The three wetlands identified above are isolated wetlands in the watershed of the Indian Creek tributary to the Kankakee River. NO JURISDICTION. NO PERMIT REQUIRED.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

☐ Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank
☐ the presence of litter and detritus
☐ changes in the character of soil
☐ wetland boundary
☐ degradation of terrestrial vegetation
☐ vegetation
to:

☐ other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

☒ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
☒ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☒ Areas under consideration is unlikely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria

☐ Declaration of wetland boundaries:
☒ Declared to be non-jurisdictional
☒ Declared to be jurisdictional

☐ Confirmed Wetland Boundaries:
☒ This office does not confirm your wetland boundaries
☒ This office verifies with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by

Recommended JD:

☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
☐ This office verifies with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by

Approved by:

☐ Date:

☐ Date:

☐ Date:

Notes:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (137 Manual) (i.e., presence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydrologic soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river banks, bench breaks, and the like are not adjacent.
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago  
FILL NUMBER: 2000002254

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Run A. Burns  
Date: 23 May 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:  
In the office (Y/N): Date:  
At the project site (Y/N): Date: 19 May 2005

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Illinois  
County:  
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41°20'37"/87°44'41"
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 308
Name of waterway or watershed: Jordan Creek tributary to Kankakee

SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-3 ac</th>
<th>3.5 ac</th>
<th>5-19 ac</th>
<th>20-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt; 50 ac</th>
<th>Linear feet</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie habitat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identity type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H/Known</th>
<th>H/Unknown</th>
<th>Use Best Professional Judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Predicted in Occurrence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty?  
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?  
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?  
Is used to irrigate crop sold in interstate commerce?  

Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to water, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource areas.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:  
Preliminary  
Or Approved

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigable or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite).
INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
FILE NUMBER: 200300128

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Ron Ahern
Date: 13 MAY 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Date: (Y/N) Date: 10 MAY 2005

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:
State: Illinois
County: Will
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41-55-58 / 88-00-1
Approximate size of site property (including uplands & in acres): 55
Name of waterway or watershed: Prattsburg Run to Del Plaine River

SITE CONDITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aquatic resource</th>
<th>0-1 ac</th>
<th>1-3 ac</th>
<th>4-5 ac</th>
<th>6-10 ac</th>
<th>10-25 ac</th>
<th>25-50 ac</th>
<th>&gt;50 ac</th>
<th>Linear feet</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Wash</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudflat</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandflat</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie pothole</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet marsh</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playa lake</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural pond</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other water (identiy type)</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe the type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Known</th>
<th>If Unknown</th>
<th>Use Best Professional Judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Predicted to Occur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is or would be used as foraging for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?

Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?

Is or would be used as roosting for endangered/Species?

Is used to irrigate crops and is interstate commerce?

*Check appropriate boxes that best describe the potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☑

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):