This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 29, 2014
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, LRC-2014-433
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State: Illinois City: Schaumburg
County/parish/borough: Cook Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): 42.062799°N, -88.081207° W.
Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
Name of nearest waterbody: Des Plaines River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Des Plaines (07120004)
□ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
□ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
□ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 30, 2014
□ Field Determination. Date(s): July 31, 2014

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are no “Navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
□ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Wetlands 1 and 2 were investigated in the field. There are no surface, subsurface, or ecological connections to waters of the U.S. These wetlands are shallow depressions in a site that has previously been graded for development. These wetlands were dry at the time of investigation. Water may flow overland to the north or east during rainfall events, but no nexus was found between these wetlands and waters of the U.S. The closest adjacent resource is Wetland 3 (outside of the boundaries for this JD, part of a separate JD request), which was also found to be isolated. Wetland 3 drains south, but no culverts were found that could tie this wetland to other resources.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):2
□ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
□ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
□ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
□ Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
□ Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
□ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
□ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
□ Wetlands: acres.

1 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
2 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.

☒ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

☒ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).

☐ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .

☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).

☐ Lakes/ponds: acres.

☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .

☐ Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).

☐ Lakes/ponds: acres.

☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .

☐ Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply) - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below:

☐ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: USGS topo, NWI wetland.

☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .

☐ Corps navigable waters’ study: .


☐ USGS NHD data.

☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.


☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Pick List.

☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pick List, .

☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Pick List, Pick List, .

☐ FEMA/FIRM maps: .

☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

☐ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): .

☐ or ☐ Other (Name & Date): .

☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .

☐ Applicable/supporting case law: .

☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .

☐ Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

☒ Area(s) are geographically isolated.

☒ Area(s) do not have a hydrologic nexus.

☒ Area(s) do not have an ecological nexus.

☒ Area(s) do not have evidence of a subsurface flow connection to a jurisdictional water.

☒ Area(s) do not have evidence of surface overland sheet flow.

☒ Area(s) are not located within the flood plain.
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 19 SEP 14
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, LaPres Property, LRC-2014-473
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   State: Illinois  County/parish/county: McHenry  City: Woodstock
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. °N, Long. °W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
   Name of nearest waterbody: Kishwaukee River
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Rock River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):  Kishwaukee (07090006)
   \[Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.\]
   \[Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.\]
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   \[Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 21 JUL 14\]
   \[Field Determination. Date(s): 24 JUL 14\]

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There **are no** “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There **are no** “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
   2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):\[1\]
      \[Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: .\]

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):\[2\]
   - which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
   - from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
   - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
   - Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
   - Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Wetlands: acres.

\[1\] Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
\[2\] Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
- Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: .
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pick List, Pick List, Pick List, .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Pick List.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pick List, .
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Pick List, Pick List, .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): .
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Wetland A = 0.05 acres. Wetlands B and C are not being considered as part of this determination.

- Area(s) are geographically isolated. Wetland A is an isolated, excavated depression with no inlets or outlets.
- Area(s) do not have a hydrologic nexus. No inlets or outlets.
- Area(s) do not have an ecological nexus. Not within a floodplain or adjacent to a TNW.
- Area(s) do not have evidence of a subsurface flow connection to a jurisdictional water. Draintiles are said to be present on the subject site but there was no draintile inlet observed during the field visit.
- Area(s) do not have evidence of surface overland sheet flow. Surface flow from Wetland A does not occur.
- Area(s) are not located within the floodplain.
This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 29, 2014

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, LRC-2014-540

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State: Illinois  County/parish/borough: Cook  City: Schaumburg
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.062799° N, Long. -88.081207° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
Name of nearest waterbody: Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Des Plaines River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Des Plaines (07120004)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 30, 2014
Field Determination. Date(s): July 31, 2014

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
   - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
     Explain: Wetland 3 was found to be isolated (Wetlands 1 and 2 are covered under a separate JD request). There are no surface, subsurface, or ecological connections from Wetland 3 to waters of the U.S. Wetland 3 drains south, but no culverts were found that could tie this wetland to other resources.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):2
   - which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
   - from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
   - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
   - Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
   - Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   - Identify type(s) of waters:
   - Wetlands: acres.

1 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
2 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.

☒ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

☒ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).

☐ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .

☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).

☐ Lakes/ponds: acres.

☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .

☐ Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).

☐ Lakes/ponds: acres.

☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .

☐ Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply) - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Delineation report. USGS topo, NWI wetland.

☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .

☐ Corps navigable waters’ study: .

☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: Pick List,

☐ USGS NHD data.

☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.


☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Pick List.

☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pick List,

☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Pick List, Pick List, .

☐ FEMA/FIRM maps: .

☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

☐ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): .

☐ or ☐ Other (Name & Date): .

☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .

☐ Applicable/supporting case law: .

☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .

☐ Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

☒ Area(s) are geographically isolated.

☒ Area(s) do not have a hydrologic nexus.

☒ Area(s) do not have an ecological nexus.

☒ Area(s) do not have evidence of a subsurface flow connection to a jurisdictional water.

☒ Area(s) do not have evidence of surface overland sheet flow.

☒ Area(s) are not located within the flood plain.