

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: ALPs Development / Jim Paul PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: +/- 239 Acre Clinton Farm Parcel at the SE corner of Joilet Road and West Church Road in Peotone Township, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1229 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PEOTONE.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: PEOTONE, 251.
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: PEOTONE, 1990.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 Aerials (Name & Date): _____
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
 Site Visit Conducted on: _____
 Other information: October 20, 2006 JFNew Wetland Delineation Report

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject creek, Rock Creek, with its associated wetlands, flows into the Kankakee River, a navigable in-fact waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 20, 2006, prepared by JFNew.
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 12-27-06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: CRI Engineering / Mrs. Gallik PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lots 60-63 in Kelsey's Grove Subdivision, Barrington, Lake County, Illinois / Fox River Watershed

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1263 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: BARRINGTON.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: BARRINGTON, HA 150.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: November 21, 2006
[X] Other information: Prior Corps determination for project #200100946.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Subject swale flows directly into Fox River less than 1/4 mile away; the Fox River is a navigable in-fact waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[X] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 12-27-06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Sanitary and Ship Canal Between Pulaski Road and Approximately 600 Feet West of the Chicago and Western Indiana Beltline Railroad in the City of Chicago, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1355 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[X] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[X] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ENGLEWOOD.
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
[] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ENGLEWOOD, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The project site contains the Sanitary and Ship Canal, which is a jurisdictional, Section 10 waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 12-27-06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North Branch of the Chicago River at 1111 North Elston Avenue in the City of Chicago, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1356 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[X] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[X] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHICAGO LOOP.
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CHICAGO LOOP, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

The project site contains jurisdictional waters of the U.S. the North Branch of the Chicago River, which is a section 10 waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 12-26-06

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Lake County Forest Preserve District PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Squaw Creek/Round Lake

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-816 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GRAYSLAKE, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: May 3, 2006
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The pond and wetland areas have a surface water connection to the Fox River, a navigable water of the United States, via Squaw Creek and are therefore waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated May 23, 2006, prepared by the Lake County FPD.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 1/3/07

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Bryn Mawr Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-837 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce...
[X] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹).
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US.
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US...
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams...
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WOODSTOCK.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255.
[X] USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WOODSTOCK, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):1990, 1996, 1998, 2001, 2003, 2004, 2005
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 8/31/2006
[X] Other information: FEMA FIRM Map, McHenry County Natural Inventory Map

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland A includes the Kishwaukee River, a drainage ditch, and tributary wetlands. Wetland A and the Kishwaukee River drain to the Rock River, a navigable waterway, and are therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[X] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] shelving
[X] changes in the character of soil
[] other:
[X] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 5/15/2006, prepared by Phoenix Environmental, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 12-18-06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 12-18-06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Windsor PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Dutch Creek

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-957 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MCHENRY.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
 USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
 Site Visit Conducted on:
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Referencing the Wetland Delineation Report dated July 11, 2001, as prepared by Encap, Inc., Dutch Creek and adjacent on-site wetlands are tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
 clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 the presence of litter and debris
 changes in the character of soil
 wetland boundary
 destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 shelving
 other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 11, 2001, prepared by Encap, Inc.
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 12/15/06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 12/18/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Clancy Green PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Woodstock

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1210 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WOODSTOCK.
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[X] USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WOODSTOCK, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): A wetland associated with Silver Creek is located along the eastern property boundary. Silver Creek is tributary to Nippersink Creek, which flows to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 12/11/06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 12/11/06

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: MagTech Services, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South of 22nd Street and Between the East Branch DuPage River and Valley View Drive in the City of Lombard, DuPage county, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1184 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[X] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WHEATON.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WHEATON, HA 148.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WHEATON, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY..
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The project site contains one wetland complex contiguous with the East Branch DuPage River which goes into the Des Plaines River a section 10 waters of the U.S.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: -5 Date: 11-29-06

Approved by: Date:

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: LK Capital, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1078 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: GENEVA.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: GENEVA, HA 142.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GENEVA, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GENEVA, 1932
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2004
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information: FEMA FIRM map

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soils
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ Date: 12/12/06
Approved by: _____ Date: 12/13/06

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Ms. Barbara Apple PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 18138 Edwards Avenue In Country Club Hills, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1039 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
[] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 11/16/2006
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): This office has determined that there are no waterways, wetlands or other areas considered "waters of the United States" under Corps of Engineers jurisdiction at 18138 Edwards Avenue.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[X] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

12/14/06

Recommended by: _____ Date: _____

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Dr. Jean Waldron-Churan PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 5-Acre Parcel on the west side of LaFox Street, south of Sundown Road in South Elgin, Kane County, Illinois / Fox River watershed

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1254 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELGIN.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELGIN, HA 147.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: November 21, 2006
[X] Other information: EcCAP, Inc. Wetland Report dated November 1, 2006.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): No wetlands or other Waters of the U.S. present on the site.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated November 1, 2006 , prepared by EnCAP, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 12-28-06

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 1-3-07

^1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Zaremba Group, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 24.2 Acre Handorf North Parcel
Southwest of Route 6 and Cedar Road in New Lenox Township, Will County, Illinois / Hickory Creek Watershed
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1383 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MOKENA, 204.
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MOKENA, 1993.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 Aerials (Name & Date): _____
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
 Site Visit Conducted on: _____
 Other information: December 14, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report prepared by EnCAP, Inc.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands or other Waters of the US present on the property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 14, 2006, prepared by EnCAP, Inc.
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 12-29-06

Approved by: _____ Date: 1-3-07

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.