

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Marth Construction PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 5.5 Acre Property Northeast of the Corner of the Intersection of 167th and Wolf Road in Orland Park, Cook County, Illinois (Section 20, T36N, R12E).

FILE NUMBER: 200500386 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[X] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MOKENA, 204.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MOKENA, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): AirPhoto 2002
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 28 February 2005
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Marley Creek drains into Hickory Creek, which drains into the Des Plaines River, a navigable water, and is under jurisdiction of this office. The detention pond on the property drains into Marley Creek and is also under jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[X] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 30 November 2004, prepared by Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 1 Mar 05

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Finch & Berry Properties, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Property located north of Route 30 and east of Albright Road in Montgomery, Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 32, T38N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500231 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA SOUTH.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA SOUTH, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): no source or date (in delineation report)
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: December 16, 2004 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
- Other information: FEMA FIRM Map

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland that extends on-site (most of the wetland is located off the subject property) is part of a larger wetland that is contiguous with an unnamed tributary of the Fox River and is therefore under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soils
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 6, 2004 , prepared by Phoenix and Associates.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ Date: 3/1/05

Approved by: _____ Date: 3/1/05

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Teresa Krafcisin PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Woodstock, McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200500174 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WOODSTOCK.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WOODSTOCK, 1992.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): A large wetland complex exists on the subject property. This wetland complex drains to a tributary of the Kishwaukee River. The Kishwaukee River drains to the Rock River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 31, 2004, prepared by Applied Ecological Services, Inc.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: _____

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: The Parrish's PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Woodstock, McHenry County, IL

FILE NUMBER: 200500275 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
[] USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WOODSTOCK, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): It appears that wetlands associated with Boone Creek may occur on the subject property. Boone Creek is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Kate M. Belin Date: 3/23/05

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 3/23/05

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Ellingsen PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200500323 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Nippersink Creek is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ **ORIGINAL SIGNED** Date: _____

Approved by: _____ Date: 11 MAR 05

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Jeff Metz PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest of the Intersection of Richton Road and Ronald Road in Crete, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200401032 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: DYER.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: DYER, 301.
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: DYER, 1990.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: DYER, 1930.
 U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
 Aerials (Name & Date): Crete, 1984
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
 Site Visit Conducted on: January 12, 2005
 Other information: Plans entitled, "Lincolnshire East Unit 2 Storm Sewer & Grading Plans, Sheets 12-13 of 14"

dated October 7, 1976 (revised October 11, 1976), prepared by Joseph A. Schudt & Associates. Plan was submitted to this office on January 24, 2005 by the village engineer, Bill Stefek of Tech 3 Consulting Group, Inc.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland A extends off the property and continues northwest draining underneath a concrete wall 3' tall and 6' wide and into a field tile at the back of lot 96. On Sheet 13 of 14 there is a note that states, "Locate existing 10" drain tile and connect to proposed storm sewer". The stormwater plan sheet demonstrates a proposed manhole behind lot 96 and the utility line heads to the east and stops at lot 101. At lot 101 the storm sewer then continues north and daylight at Charles Street between Donovan Drive and Edward Drive, as shown on Sheet 12 of 14. The tributary then continues heading northeast crossing at the intersection of Ronald Road and Patricia Lane. The tributary finally drains across Steger Road into Deer Creek. Wetland B is adjacent to Wetland A and is therefore jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 the presence of litter and debris
 changes in the character of soil
 wetland boundary
 destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 shelving
 other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____

Date: 3 March

Approved by: _____

ORIGINAL SIGNED

Date: 7 March

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Emeritus Ventures, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Along Forked Creek and its Adjacent wetlands located West of Harlem Ave and South of Dralle Rd in Monec, Will County, IL (NE 1/4 Sec 13 T34N R12E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500194 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Forked Creek and its adjacent wetlands are tributary to the Kankakee River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [X] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[X] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[X] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated Dec. 6, 2004, prepared by RTA & Associates.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: 7/1/05

Approved by: Date: 7/1/05

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Dan Tonyan PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 10711 Alden Road, Harvard, McHenry Co, IL

FILE NUMBER: 200500164 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HARVARD.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HARVARD, 1970.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on: December 10, 2004
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject property contains an on-line pond that is tributary to the Nippersink Creek, which is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: _____

ORIGINAL SIGNED

Approved by: _____ Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: MCCD PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Bull Valley, McHenry County, IL

FILE NUMBER: 200500284 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255.
- USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): A wetland complex on site appears to be hydrologically connected to a wetland complex east of Cherry Valley Road. This drainageway drains into a tributary of Boone Creek, which flows to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 - the presence of litter and debris
 - changes in the character of soil
 - wetland boundary
 - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 - shelving
 - other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: _____

Approved by: _____ Date: 3/23/05

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: MCCD PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Bull Valley, McHenry County, IL

FILE NUMBER: 200500284 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255.
 USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
 Site Visit Conducted on:
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): A wetland complex on site appears to be hydrologically connected to a wetland complex east of Cherry Valley Road. This drainageway drains into a tributary of Boone Creek, which flows to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 the presence of litter and debris
 changes in the character of soil
 wetland boundary
 destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 shelving
 other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Kate M. Blinn Date: 3/22/05

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 3/23/05

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Stevenson Development PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Parcel located north of Berner Road and east of Lenschow Road in unincorporated Kane County, Illinois (NE 1/4 of Section 35, T42N R6E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500299 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PINEGREE GROVE.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: PINGREE GROVE, HA 232.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: PINGREE GROVE, 1992.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): AirPhoto USA Nov. 2002
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: January 13, 2005 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
- Other information: Wetland Assessment Report, dated October 13, 2004, prepared by CBBEWL

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are two intermittent tributaries (unnamed) on the subject property. The flow from these tributaries is currently conveyed through a drain tile. Any manipulation of the drain tile would require a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Also, both Wetlands 1 and 2 connect to these tributaries. Wetland 1 is directly online with the southern tributary and Wetland 2 is connected by a drain tile to the northern tributary. All of the waters on-site are tributary to Burlington Creek which flows to Coon Creek, a tributary of the Kishwaukee River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soils
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other: drain tile that carries tributary flow

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: _____ Date: 3/29/05

Approved by: _____ Date: 3/29/05

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Wyndham Deerpoint Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Parcel Located east of LaFox Street and west of the Fox River in South Elgin, Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 26, T41N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500273 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[X] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELGIN.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELGIN, HA 147.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Airphoto USA, Summer 2001
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: February 25, 2005 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
[X] Other information: FEMA FIRM Map, Wetland Assessment Report, dated June 5, 2003 (revised May 13th, 2004), prepared by CBBEWL

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The Fox River (designated as Linear Water Body 2 in the CBBEWL report) and the unnamed tributary of the Fox River (designated as Linear Body 1 in the CBBEWL report) are both under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated June 5, 2003 (revised May 13, 2004) , prepared by CBBEWL.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 3/25/05

Approved by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: ComEd PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Parcel located north of Butterfield Road and east of the East Branch of the DuPage River, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 25, T39N R10E)

FILE NUMBER: 200500047 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WHEATON.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WHEATON, HA 148.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WHEATON, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): no source, Nov 2002
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY..
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[X] Other information: FEMA FIRM Map, Wetland/Waters of the U.S. Assessment Report, prepared by CBBEL, dated September 27, 2004

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The East Branch of the DuPage River and the wetland contiguous with it are tributary to the DuPage River and are therefore under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: 3/28/05

Approved by: Date:

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.