

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Residential Land Fund PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kemper Parcel 5/Indian Creek

FILE NUMBER: 200301034 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[X] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LAKE ZURICH, HA 208.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LAKE ZURICH, 1993.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: LAKE ZURICH, 1960.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: BARRINGTON; 1923.
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939 & 2000, PhotoMapper 2003 & 2004
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: March 26, 2003
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 2 is adjacent and tributary to Indian Creek. Indian Creek is tributary to the Des Plaines River which is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore all of its tributary waters and adjacent wetlands are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Wetland 1 does not have a current or demonstrable historic surface connection to a navigable water of the United States and is therefore not under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 14, 2002, prepared by Maxim Technologies.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 3-30-04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 3/30/04

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Nicor Gas PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southeast of I-88 and Connector Road in Naperville, DuPage County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200400227 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NAPERVILLE.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: NAPERVILLE, HA 154.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: NAPERVILLE, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland located on the subject property is isolated and therefore not jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Melissa Cruz Date: 31 March 04
Approved by: [Signature] Date: 31 March 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
FILE NUMBER: 20031034

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Michael Murphy **Date:** 3-30-2004

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: **In the office** (Y/N) **Date:** 3-30-2004
 At the project site (Y/N) **Date:** 3-26-2004

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Illinois
County: Lake
Center coordinates of site by Northing UTM coordinates: 4671867
Center coordinates of site by Easting UTM coordinates: 0414334
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 136
Name of waterway or watershed: Indian Creek

SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
River	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Stream	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Dry Wash	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Mudflat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Sandflat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Wetlands	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>					
Slough	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Prairie pothole	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Wet meadow	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Playa lake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Vernal pool	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Natural pond	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Other water (identify type)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary Or Approved

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs): Wetland 1 does not have a current or demonstrable historic surface connection to a navigable water of the United States and is therefore not under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Residential Land Fund

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kemper Parcel 6/Indian Creek

FILE NUMBER: 200301034

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
There are waters of the United States on the project site.
There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce...
The presence of interstate waters...
The presence of a tributary to an interstate water...
The presence of wetlands adjacent...
The presence of an isolated water...
Other:
Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LAKE ZURICH, HA 208.
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LAKE ZURICH, 1993.
U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: LAKE ZURICH, 1960.
U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: BARRINGTON, 1923.
Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 1939 & 2000, PhotoMapper 2003 & 2004
Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
Site Visit Conducted on: March 26, 2003
Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 is adjacent and tributary to Indian Creek. Indian Creek is tributary to the Des Plaines River which is a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, therefore all of its tributary waters and adjacent wetlands are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Wetland 2 does not have a current or demonstrable historic surface connection to a navigable water of the United States and is therefore not under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
the presence of litter and debris
changes in the character of soil
wetland boundary
destruction of terrestrial vegetation
shelving
other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 14, 2002, prepared by Maxim Technologies.
This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 3/30/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 3/30/04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago
 FILE NUMBER: 20031034

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Michael Murphy Date: 3-30-2004

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Date: 3-30-2004
 At the project site (Y/N) Date: 3-26-2004

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Illinois
 County: Lake
 Center coordinates of site by Northing UTM coordinates: 4672706
 Center coordinates of site by Easting UTM coordinates: 0413592
 Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 94
 Name of waterway or watershed: Indian Creek

SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
River	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Stream	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Dry Wash	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Mudflat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Sandflat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Wetlands	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>				
Slough	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Prairie pothole	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Wet meadow	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Playa lake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Vernal pool	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Natural pond	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Other water (Identify type)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved

OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs): Wetland 2 does not have a current or demonstrable historic surface connection to a navigable water of the United States and is therefore not under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Dan Klaus PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest of Danielle Court in Oak Forest, Cook County, Illinois (SEction 28, T36N, R13E).

FILE NUMBER: 200400294 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HARVEY.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HARVEY, 90.
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HARVEY, 1993.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: CALUMET, 1902.
 Aerials (Name & Date):Photomapper 2002 and 1999
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
 Site Visit Conducted on: 24 February 2004
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland is hydrologically connected through a series of wetlands, drainage ditches and pipes to Midlothian Reservoir. Midlothian Reservoir drains into Midlothian Creek to the northwest, which flows into the Little Calumet River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 the presence of litter and debris shelving
 changes in the character of soil other:
 wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 2004, prepared by Planning Resources.
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 26 March 2004

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 30 Mar 04

1 Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Pasquinelli Development Group, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Parcel located south of Route 30 and west of Municipal Drive in Sugar Grove, Kane County, Illinois (N 1/2 of Section 20, T38N R7E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400388 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SUGAR GROVE.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SUGAR GROVE, HA 227.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SUGAR GROVE, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GENEVA, 1948
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Spring 2001 DigiAir and Spring 1993 Sidwell
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
- Site Visit Conducted on: March 14, 2003 by Jamee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
- Other information: USGS 15' Historic Topo. (Geneva Quad. 1932)

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The ditches located on the eastern portion of the subject property were excavated in hydric soils (and possibly wetland as there is a wetland directly west of the ditches) and are under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). The pond connected to these ditches is also under USACE jurisdiction. Wetland 1 and NRCS Farmed Wetland 1 are also under the jurisdiction of the USACE. All of the areas under USACE jurisdiction have a surface water tributary system to Welch Creek. Welch Creek flows into Big Rick Creek, which is tributary to the Fox River. The only area on-site that is not under the jurisdiction of the USACE is NRCS Farmed Wetland 1.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soils
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Jamee W. Hammit Date: 3/29/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 3/29/04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Landmark Engineering Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 52-acre parcel at SW
Corm of Margaret Street and Will-Center Road in Monee, Will County, IL
FILE NUMBER: 20400429 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sits regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STEGER.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: STEGER, 209.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: STEGER, 1990.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: 9 MARCH 2004
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): No jurisdictional areas on property. The wetland identified on site is an isolated wetland in the Rock Creek watershed flowing to the Kankakee River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____

Date: _____

Approved by: _____

Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Bob Baker PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 0.7 acre site at Blanchard and Middaugh Roads in Downers Grove, DuPage County, Illinois (Section 18, T38N, R11E).

FILE NUMBER: 200400196 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WHEATON.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WHEATON, 1993.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: WHEATON, 1908.
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Photomapper 2002
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
 Site Visit Conducted on: 10 March 2002
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 the presence of litter and debris
 changes in the character of soil
 wetland boundary
 destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 shelving
 other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 15 March 2004

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 17 Mar 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Harold Nicodem PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Solon Mills, McHenry County

FILE NUMBER: 200400291 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Jurisdictional Determination (JD):

- Preliminary JD - A preliminary JD is not appealable (33 CFR 331.5(b)(9))
Based on available information:
 There appear to be no waters of the United States on the project site.
 There appear to be waters of the United States on the project site.
- Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action.
Based on available information:
 There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 The site is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(i))
 The site has fish or shellfish that are taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(ii))
 The site is used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. (33 CFR 328.2 (a)(3)(iii))
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: RICHMOND.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
 USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: RICHMOND, 1992.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: MCHENRY, 1944.
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): _____
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
 Site Visit Conducted on: February 5, 2004 by Kate Bliss
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Kate M Bliss Date: 2/13/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 2/16/04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Land Trust 1662 NLSB PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest of the Intersection of Marley Road and Route 30 in New Lenox, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200400312 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MOKENA, 204.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MOKENA, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: _____.
- Other information: _____.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 is isolated and therefore not jurisdictional. However, Wetlands 2 and 3 are hydrologically connected to Hickory Creek and therefore jurisdictional. Hickory Creek flows into Des Plaines River, a navigable "Waters of the U.S."

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Melissa Cruz Date: 15 Mar 04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 15 Mar 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Wyndham Deerpoint Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Parcel located south of Plank Road and East of Russell Road in Udina, Kane County, Illinois (E 1/2 of Section 13, T41N R7E and W 1/2 of Section 18, T41N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400328 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[X] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[X] Other: There are 5 isolated wetlands and 1 isolated pond.
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELGIN.
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Sidwell, April 2001 & DigiAir 2002
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: March 4, 2004 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
[X] Other information: Wetland Delineation Report, dated September 2003, prepared by Ehorn Environmental

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): None of the wetlands identified on site (Wetlands 1 and 2, Farmed Wetlands 1, 2 and 3 and the pond) appear to have a present or identifiable historic surface water tributary system connection to Fitchie Creek, which is located south of the subject property. Therefore, all of the wetlands and the pond are isolated and are not under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Jaimee W. Hammit Date: 3/15/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 15 Mar 04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Faith Evangelical Lutheran Church PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Jurisdictional Determination For Property Located Northeast Of Illinois Route 59 And Grasslake Road Near Antioch, Lake County, Illinois (Section 19, T46N, R10E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400395 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
- Site Visit Conducted on: March 9, 2004
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no waters on the project site.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated February 5, 2004, prepared by Ehom Environmental.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 3/11/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 15 Mar 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Gladstone Acquisitions, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Windstone Place Parcel Located South of Oak Street, East of Orchard Road and West of Randall Road in Unincorporated Aurora, Kane County, Illinois (NE 1/4 of Section 6, T38N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400321 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other: There are 2 isolated wetlands located on the project site.
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA NORTH.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: AURORA NORTH, HA 70.
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH, 1993.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Terraserver 1999
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
 Site Visit Conducted on: March 4, 2004 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 and Farmed Wetland 1 do not appear to have a surface water tributary system connection to the unnamed tributary south of the subject property. Therefore, both wetlands are isolated and are not under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soils | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Jaimee W. Hammit Date: 3/12/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 15 Mar 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: EMCON/OWG, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Spaulding Road, East of Gifford Street in Elgin, Cook County, Illinois, Fox River Watershed.

FILE NUMBER: 200400130 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STREAMWOOD.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: STREAMWOOD, HA 203.
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: STREAMWOOD, 1993.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
 Aerials (Name & Date):
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
 Site Visit Conducted on:
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Wetlands at the site are isolated depressions and not under the jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 the presence of litter and debris
 changes in the character of soil
 wetland boundary
 destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 shelving
 other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 3/12/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 15 Mar 04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Steger Properties // Venture One Properties PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of Intersection of Steger Road and Central Avenue in University Park, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): University Park, 1999
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: _____.
- Other information: _____.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands 1-3 are isolated and therefore not jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
- Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Melissa Cruz Date: 11 Mar 07

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 12 Mar 07

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Rick Monico PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 2756 Bellwood Avenue in Melrose Park, Cook County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200400334 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: RIVER FOREST.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: RIVER FOREST, HA 206.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: RIVER FOREST, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: _____.
- Other information: _____.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

There are no jurisdictional areas located on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Melissa Cey Date: 5 Mar 04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 5 Mar 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mary Hill Cemetery PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Located South of Dempster Street and West of Milwaukee Avenue in Niles, Cook County, Illinois, Des Plaines River Watershed

FILE NUMBER: 200400199 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[X] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands), (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PARK RIDGE.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: PARK RIDGE, HA 85.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: PARK RIDGE, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Wetlands at the site are isolated depressional wetlands and not under the jurisdiction of this office

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[X] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 3/13/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 3/3/04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Orland Park PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Four wetlands along two mile power line right of way located east of LaGrange Road and north of 143rd Street between the Norfolk and Western Railroad tracks and Tinley Creek Forest Preserve in Orland Park, Cook County, Illinois (Sections 1,2 and 3, T36N, R12E).

FILE NUMBER: 200400372 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands'). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PALOS PARK.
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: PALOS PARK, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: DES PLAINES, 1902.
[X] Aerials (Name & Date): 6in Photomapper 2000
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 24 February 2004
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 9 Mar 2004

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 2 Mar 2004

^Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^ Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Morningside Group, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 152nd and State Streets, in South Holland, Cook County, Illinois (Section 9, T36N, R14E).

FILE NUMBER: 200400380 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CALUMET CITY.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: CHICAGO HEIGHTS, 39 (CALUMET CITY).
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CALUMET CITY, 1991.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: CALUMET, 1902.
 Aerials (Name & Date): Photomapper 2 ft 2002
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
 Site Visit Conducted on: 24 Feb 2004
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There was no apparent hydrologic surface connection from the wetlands onsite to a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 the presence of litter and debris
 shelving
 changes in the character of soil
 other:
 wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated, prepared by.
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 2 Mar 2004

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 2 Mar 04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Morningside Group, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 11 acre site southwest of 170th Street and Torrence Avenue, in Lansing, Cook County, IL (Section 25, T36N, R14E).

FILE NUMBER: 200400363 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CALUMET CITY.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: CHICAGO HEIGHTS, 39 (CALUMET CITY).
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CALUMET CITY, 1991.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: CALUMET, 1902.
 Aerials (Name & Date): Photomapper 2 ft 2002
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
 Site Visit Conducted on: 24 Feb 2004
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There was no apparent hydrologic surface connection from the wetlands onsite to a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 the presence of litter and debris shelving
 changes in the character of soil other:
 wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Steve [Signature] Date: 2 March 2004

Approved by: Greg [Signature] Date: 2 Mar 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Aurora Christian School PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North of Sullivan Road and East of Bowman Drive in Aurora, Kane County, Illinois (N 1/2 of Section 7, T38N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400313 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[X] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[X] Other: There are two isolated wetlands on the subject property.
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA NORTH.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: AURORA NORTH, HA 70.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): AirPhotoUSA October 22, 2002
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: February 26, 2004 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
[X] Other information: Wetland Determination Report, dated January 16, 2004, prepared by ENCAP, Inc.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The two on-site wetlands (designated as Investigated Areas 1 and 2 in the ENCAP, Inc. report) are surrounded by development and there does not appear to be a surface water tributary system connection to any nearby tributaries. Therefore, the on-site wetlands are both isolated and are not under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated, prepared by
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Jaimee W. Hammit Date: 3/2/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 3/2/04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Compass Consulting Group, Ltd. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Hannaford Property located north of Merrill Road and west of Bliss Road in unincorporated Sugar Grove, Kane County, Illinois (SE 1/4 of Section 4 and NE 1/4 of Section 9, T38N R7E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400310 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[X] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[X] Other: There is one isolated farmed wetland on the subject property.
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SUGAR GROVE.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SUGAR GROVE, HA 227.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SUGAR GROVE, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Terraserver April 5, 1998 & DigiAir 2002
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: February 26, 2004 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District
[X] Other information: Routine Wetland Delineation Report, dated January 16, 2004, prepared by ENCAP, Inc.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The on-site farmed wetland (designated as Farmed Wetland 1 in the ENCAP, Inc. report) is a depressional isolated wetland that does not have a surface water tributary system connection to Blackberry Creek, which is located to the south and west. Therefore, the wetland is not under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soils
[] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 3/2/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 3/2/04

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Wallace Ridgway PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Ridgway Property located North of Illini Road and West of Schmule Road in New Lenox, Will County, IL (NE 1/4 Sec 35 T35N R11E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400419 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330):

- [] Preliminary JD - A preliminary JD is not appealable (33 CFR 331.5(b)(9))
Based on available information:
[] There appear to be no waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There appear to be waters of the United States on the project site.
[X] Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
[] There are no waters on the project site.
[X] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] The site is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes.(33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(i))
[] The site has fish or shellfish that are taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(ii))
[] The site is used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. (33 CFR 328.2 (a)(3)(iii))
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MANHATTAN, 211.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MANHATTAN, 1990.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Isolated wetlands in Jackson Creek watershed, tributary to the Des Plaines River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:
[] clear, natural line impressed on the bank [] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris [] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil [] other:
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 29, 2004, prepared by CBBEL.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by:

DABRANT

Date:

25 Feb 2004

Approved by:

[Signature]

Date:

25 Feb 2004

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: JFS Construction Consultants, LTD PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 5 Acre Parcel Located On West Of Telsor Road And South Of Rose Road In Lake Zurich, Lake County, Illinois (Section 15, 16, 21; T43N, R10E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400362 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: LAKE ZURICH, 1960.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
- Site Visit Conducted on: _____
- Other information: _____

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The 1.5 acre wetland onsite, referred to as Wetland 1 in your delineation report, drains south into a detention basin and has no hydrologic surface connection to a navigable waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: Paul Zipp Date: 3/1/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 2 Mar 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.