

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Bickerstaff Builders PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Flint Creek watershed/Lake Barrington

FILE NUMBER: 200300520 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[X] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: BARRINGTON, HA 150.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerials (Name & Date):lake county 1939 & 2000, PhotoMapper 2001 & 2002
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 10-31-2002
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands on site all have a surface water connection to Flint Creek. Flint Creek is a tributary to the Fox River, a navigable water of the United States, therefore all the onsite wetlands are under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated March 23, 2003 (revised Sept 19, 2004), prepared by DK Environmental Services, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: 11-22-04

Approved by: Date:

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Bryn Mawr Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: COMED Easement/McHenry Co.

FILE NUMBER: 200400989 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[X] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[X] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WOODSTOCK.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WOODSTOCK, HA 256.
[X] USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WOODSTOCK, 1992.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: MCHENRY, 1944.
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2002
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY..
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: August 3, 2004
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands D and E are associated with the Kishwaukee River, which drains to the Rock River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction. Farmed Wetland I and Wetlands A, B, C, F, G, and H are isolated and therefore not regulated by the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [X] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[X] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 30, 2004, prepared by Phoenix and Associates, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 11/22/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 11/23/04

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Anthony Roemer PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: East Of Magnolia Avenue Between Tyler And Wall Avenue Near Waukegan, Lake County, Illinois (Section 12, T45N, R11E)

FILE NUMBER: 200401119 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[X] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
[] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
[] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WADSWORTH, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] Aerials (Name & Date):
[] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: March 2004
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 and Wetland 2, as referenced in your delineation report, merge and drain north under Blanchard Road and into a tributary to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[X] wetland boundary
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
[] Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
[] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [X] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated September 7, 2004, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc.
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 11/19/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 11/19/04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Shoreline Marine Inc.

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 401 N. Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois/Main Branch of the Chicago River

FILE NUMBER: 200401139

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHICAGO LOOP.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CHICAGO LOOP, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: _____.
- Other information: application submittal dated September 13, 2004

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):

The project site is adjacent to the Main Branch of the Chicago River which is a Section 10 waterway.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 11-19-04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 11/19/04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Peeples Business Development PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 80 South Route 12 In Fox Lake, Lake County, Illinois (Section 9, T45N, R9E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400954 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands^1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
The presence of wetlands adjacent^2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Other:
[X] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps:
U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Aerials (Name & Date):
Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: November 9, 2004
Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The property is located on Pistakee Lake, a navigable water and therefore under the jurisdiction of this office.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- [X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil
[] other
[] wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 11/19/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 11/19/04

^1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87/Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

^2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: J Land Company, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Beecher Property along Trim Creek
in East 1/2 of Sec 21 T33N R14E in Beecher, Will County, IL
FILE NUMBER: 200400635 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
 There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
 The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
 The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
 The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 Other:
 Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: BEECHER EAST.
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: BEECHER EAST, 302.
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: BEECHER EAST, 1990.
 U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
 U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: CRETE, 1949.
 Aerials (Name & Date): _____
 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____
 Site Visit Conducted on: My 13, 2004
 Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Trim Creek tributary to the Kankakke River is a jurisdictional waters of the U.S. The two isolated Farmed Wetlands (less than 0.5 acres) on the property are not jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 the presence of litter and debris shelving
 changes in the character of soil other:
 wetland boundary

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
 This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: **ORIGINAL SIGNED** _____ Date: _____

Approved by: _____ Date: Y NAV 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Architectural Resources Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southeast Corner of U.S. Rt. 30 and Washington Parkway in Frankfort, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200401107 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: TINLEY PARK.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: TINLEY PARK, 152.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: TINLEY PARK, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): Frankfort, 1994
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no jurisdictional areas located on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 5 NOV 04

Approved by: _____ Date: 5 NOV 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: George Sangmeister PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest Corner of Route 30 and 93rd
Avenue in Frankfort, Will County, Illinois

FILE NUMBER: 200400886 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: TINLEY PARK.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: TINLEY PARK, 152.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: TINLEY PARK, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: October 19, 2004
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no jurisdictional areas located on the subject property.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 5 NOV 04

Approved by: _____ Date: 5 NOV 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: John Wade PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Intersection of 159th Street and Farrell Road in
Lockport, Will County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200401033 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: JOLIET.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: JOLIET, 89.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: JOLIET, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): Lockport, 1997
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Fiddyment Creek flows into the Des Plaines River a navigable "waters of the U.S."

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) {attach copy of HQ rationale}

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 16 NOV 04

Approved by: _____ Date: 16 NOV 04

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Peter Zouganelis
County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: 200500019

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 25740 Cottage Road in Wilmington, Will
PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- There are no waters on the project site.
- There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
- There are waters of the United States on the project site.
- There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
- Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WILMINGTON.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WILMINGTON, 306.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: WILMINGTON, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date): _____.
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on: _____.
- Other information: _____.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Kankakee River is a navigable "waters of the U.S." and is therefore not jurisdictional.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- clear, natural line impressed on the bank
- the presence of litter and debris
- changes in the character of soil
- wetland boundary
- destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- shelving
- other:

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: _____ Date: 29 NOV 04

Approved by: ORIGINAL SIGNED _____ Date: _____

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

²Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.