

Chicago District Great Lakes and Ohio River Division

Waukegan Harbor Breakwater Repairs

P2/Project Number: 484338

Review Plan

PREPARED BY:	H	COOKE.MARK.D.13 94213825 2020.04.28 06:38:35 -05'00'	RECOMMENDED BY:	REISINGER.AARDigitally signed by REISINGER.AARON.WILLIAON.WILLIAM.11M.116167520961675209Date: 2020.04.28 17:33:36 -05'00'				
	Mark Cooke P.E. Civil & Cost Engine USACE, Chicago I	eer District		Colonel Aaron W. Reisin District Engineer USACE, Chicago District	ger t			
ENDORSED BY:	RSED APPELFELLER.FRANK.ALAN Digitally signed by APPELFELLER.FRANK.ALAN.1073376978 .1073376978 Date: 2020.04.27 Frank Appelfeller, P.E. Senior Regional Engineer USACE, Great Lakes and Ohio River Division Review Management Organization (RMO)							
	APPROVED BY:	Joseph M. Savag Regional Busines USACE, Great La	e, P.E., SES s Director ikes and Ohio River	Division				

MSC APPROVAL DATE:

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by USACE. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

REVIEW PLAN ENGINEERING AND DESIGN PRODUCTS WAUKEGAN HARBOR BREAKWATER REPAIRS CHICAGO DISTRICT Version Date: 23 April 2020

1. PURPOSE AND REQUIREMENTS

- a. Purpose. This programmatic review plan defines levels and scopes of review required for the engineering and design (E&D) products for the Lake George Canal Restoration Project.
- b. References. This review plan is prepared per the regional business process QMS 08504 LRD (*QC/QA Procedures for Civil Works Engineering and Design Projects*) and latest versions of the guidance documents listed below.
 - (1) Engineering Regulation (ER) 415-1-11, Biddability, Constructability, Operability, Environmental and Sustainability (BCOES) Reviews
 - (2) ER 1110-1-12, Quality Management
 - (3) Engineering Circular (EC) 1165-2-217, Civil Works Review Policy
- c. Requirements. The design and construction activities and documents for the Waukegan Harbor Breakwater Repairs project are required to be reviewed by independent technical experts in accordance with ER 1110-1-12 and EC 1165-2-217. Review requirements may include district quality control/assurance (DQC), agency technical review (ATR) and independent external peer (IEPR) review as indicated below.
- 2. REVIEW MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION (RMO)

The RMO for this project is the Great Lakes and Ohio River Division. The RMO has provided the District with an email stating concurrence with this review plan.

3. PROJECT SCOPE AND PRODUCTS

a. The proposed project will consist of repairing the existing North Breakwater to restore the structures to original design elevations and slopes in order to maintain safe passage for vessels entering and exiting the harbor. Repair work will consist of concrete repairs and resurfacing of the existing concrete and timber crib breakwater structure. Steel armor plates will be utilized in the concrete repairs. The repair section is approximately 275 LF and will consist of 5,000 SF of concrete removal to be armor plated and filled in with approximately 400 CY of concrete placement. The steel plates will serve as formwork during placement and remain in place for additional protection.

Work will also include the installation of steel access ladders at the North Piers adjacent to the breakwater. 6 ladders, extending approximately 11 feet below the top of the north pier parapet.

Anticipated total construction cost is \$1-2M, although scope may vary depending on receipt of workplan funds.

Additional repairs may involve the placement of new armor stone and resetting of existing armor stone as needed. Repairs would not change the existing footprint of the structure.

Table 1. Project Summary					
Project Type:	Operation and Maintenance				
Locations:	Waukegan, IL				
Purpose/Function:	The proposed project will consist of repairing the existing North Breakwater to restore the structures to original design elevations and slopes in order to maintain safe passage for vessels entering and exiting the harbor. Repair work would consist of concrete repairs and resurfacing of the existing concrete and timber crib breakwater structure.				
Key Physical Components:	Concrete resurfacing, ladder installation				
Estimated Construction Cost:	\$1-2M (FS Estimate)				
E&D Product Method Delivery:	In-House Design				
Construction Delivery Method:	Invitation for Bid (IFB)				

- b. Engineering and Design Products. The engineering and design products to be prepared and reviewed include the following:
 - (1) Plans and Specifications (P&S)
 - (2) Design Documentation Report / Design Analysis
 - (3) Engineering Considerations and Instructions for Field Personnel
- c. Required Quality Reviews.
 - (1) District Quality Control (DQC): DQC procedures will be performed for all E&D products following local business processes.
 - (2) Agency Technical Review (ATR): The District Chief of Engineering has determined based on Tables 3 and 4 of QMS 08504 LRD that ATR *is* required.
 - (3) Type II Independent External Peer Review (IEPR), Safety Assurance Review (SAR): The District Chief of Engineering has determined that the project *does not* pose significant life safety risks and a Type II IEPR (SAR) *is not* required.
- d. Technical Risk Analysis and Review Charge: ATR *is* required and a review charge will be prepared and issued to each review team. According to paragraph 7.4 d and Table 4 of QMS 08504 LRD, the reviews will focus on the following primary project complexities and risks:
 - (1) The ATR team will focus on the concrete resurfacing and armored steel plate design to ensure technical adequacy, stability, and practicality. Design will consider durability and constructability of concrete placed. Design review will ensure no spillage of concrete during construction, proper bond, and long term durability. Steel plate concrete resurfacing has been performed at Waukegan North and South Pier and it planned at Michigan City Harbor East Pier. The design has been previously reviewed. This cross section of breakwater is slightly different and will need to be examined for any additional design considerations.

- (2) The ATR team will also consider constructability issues related to accessing the breakwater if shoaling limited protected mooring along the breakwater.
- (3) The ATR tem will also consider the type of safety ladders to be installed and method of installation, as well as any safety issues associated with the ladder design.

4. PROJECT DELIVERY TEAM (PDT)

The project delivery team members are listed in Attachment A.

5. REVIEW EXECUTION

District quality control (DQC) will be performed per Chapter 3 of ER 1110-1-12 and Section 8 of EC 1165-2-217. ATR shall be performed in accordance with Section 9 of EC 1165-2-217. Based on the review charge in paragraph 3.d, the technical discipline(s), Structural Engineering expertise is required for the ATR. ATR reviewers are listed Attachment A. Type II IEPR (SAR), if required, will be executed in accordance with procedures in Appendix E of EC 1165-2-217 and as directed by the RMO.

6. REVIEW SCHEDULE AND BUDGET

The schedule and budgets for reviews are shown in Table 2. Note that review dates are tentative, and dependent on other phases of work which are being conducted by other entities. Review dates must be updated if the work by others slips, or if the scope changes.

Table 2. Review Schedule and Budgets*						
Review	Start Date	Finish Date	Budget (\$)			
50% DQC	Feb 17, 2020	2 weeks after start	\$5,000/occurrence			
50% BCOES / ATR	Mar 9, 2020	2 weeks after start	\$2,500/occurrence			
100% DQC	June 15, 2020	2 weeks after start	\$5,000/occurrence			
100% BCOES / ATR	June 29, 2020	2 weeks after start	\$2,500/occurrence			

*Costs and dates include backcheck

7. REVIEW PLAN POINTS OF CONTACT

Questions and comments relating to this review plan can be directed to the following points of contact:

- a. District Project Leaders.
 - (1) Project Manager: Mike Nguyen, CELRC-PMD, (312) 846-5555, <u>Mike.Nguyen@usace.army.mil</u>
 - (2) Chief of Design Branch: John Groboski, CELRC-TSD-DC, (312) 846-5417, John.A.Groboski@usace.army.mil
- b. Review Management Organization (RMO) Representative: Frank Appelfeller, RMO, 513-684-6200, <u>Frank.A.Appelfeller@usace.army.mil</u>

8. DISTRICT

Technical Risk Analysis has been completed for this project and the required quality reviews have been determined.

GROBOSKI.JOHN.A.JR.1249 715510

RECOMMEND FOR APPROVAL:

John A. Groboski, P.E. Chief, Design Branch

SORN.LINDA.M.1 Digitally signed by SORN.LINDA.M.1230357869 Date: 2020.04.26 13:40:28 -05'00'

Linda M. Sorn, P.E. Chief, Engineering and Construction Division

DISTRICT APPROVAL:

PROJECT DELIVERY TEAM						
Function/Discipline	Name (Last, First)	Office				
Customer	Seidelmann, Joe	Waukegan Port Dist				
Project Manager	Nguyen, Mike	CELRC-PMD-PM				
Technical Lead	Cooke, Mark	CELRC-TSD-DH				
Cost Engineer	Cooke, Mark	CELRC-TSD-DC				
Value Engineer	Goodpaster, Steven	CELRC-TSD-DC				
CAD	Karr, Adam	CELRC-TSD-DC				
Geotechnical Engineer	Pickering, Chris	CELRC-TSD-DG				
Environmental Engineer	Saichek, Richard	CELRC-TSD-DH				
Civil Engineer	Cooke, Mark	CELRC-TSD-DC				
Structural Engineer	Harris, Jeremy	CELRC-TSD-DT				
Navigation Structures Mgr	Griffeth, Justin	CELRC-C-O				
D	QC REVIEWERS					
Function/Discipline	Name (Last, First)	Office				
Environmental	Miller, Jennifer	CELRC-TSD-DH				
Civil	Mishra, Rana	CELRC-TSD-DC				
Cost	Druzbicki, David	CELRC-TSD-DC				
Geotechnical	Rochford, Bill	CELRC-TSD-DG				
Structural	Leffler, Faye / Dave Force	CELRC-TSD-DT				
Operations	Borreli, Adam	TS-C-O				
BCOES REVIEWERS						
Function/Discipline	Name (Last, First)	Office				
Legal	Kim Sabo	GOC				
Construction	Phil Stavrides	TS-C				
Contracting Officers Rep	Lynn Ewing	TS-C-N				
Operations	Jeff MacDonald	TS-C-O				
Safety Officer	Pete Flanagan	GSO				
Contracting	Regina Blair	PMD-CT				
ATR REVIEWERS						
Function	Name	Office				
Lead, Structural	Dustin Tellinghuisen	LRB				

Attachment A – TEAM MEMBERS