

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Ridgway Property located North of Illini Road and West of Schmule Road in New Lenox, Will County, IL (NE 1/4 Sec 35 T35N R11E)

FILE NUMBER: 200400419

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330):

- Preliminary JD - A preliminary JD is not appealable (33 CFR 331.5(b)(9))
Based on available information:
 - There appear to be no waters of the United States on the project site.
 - There appear to be waters of the United States on the project site.
- Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
 - There are no waters on the project site.
 - There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 - There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 - There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 - The site is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes.(33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(i))
 - The site has fish or shellfish that are taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(ii))
 - The site is used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. (33 CFR 328.2 (a)(3)(iii))
 - Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MANHATTAN, 211.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MANHATTAN, 1990.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerials (Name & Date):
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _____.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Isolated wetlands in Jackson Creek watershed, tributary to the Des Plaines River.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 29, 2004, prepared by CBBEL.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Stockbridge Farm Homeowners Assoc. **PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY:** Indian Creek/Long Grove
FILE NUMBER: 200400065 **PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:** Office Field

Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330)

- Preliminary JD - A preliminary JD is not appealable (33 CFR 331.5(b)(9))
Based on available information:
 - There appear to be no waters of the United States on the project site.
 - There appear to be waters of the United States on the project site.
- Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
 - There are no waters on the project site.
 - There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 - There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 - There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 - The site is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes.(33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(i))
 - The site has fish or shellfish that are taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(ii))
 - The site is used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. (33 CFR 328.2 (a)(3)(iii))
 - Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LAKE ZURICH, HA 208.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LAKE ZURICH, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____
- Aerials (Name & Date): Lake County 2000, PhotoMapper 2001 & 2002
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
- Site Visit Conducted on:
- Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Fire pond has no connection to a navigable water and the disposal site is an upland area, therefore the project is not under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. This determination does not include Indian Creek or any waters or wetlands connected to Indian Creek.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris | <input type="checkbox"/> shelving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> wetland boundary | |

Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:

- Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)
 - Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
 - Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
- Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated _____, prepared by _____
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 2-24-04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 2/24/04

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Oakwood Homeowners Association PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Grayslake/Des Plaines River

FILE NUMBER: 200400039

PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [] Field

Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330)

[] Preliminary JD - A preliminary JD is not appealable (33 CFR 331.5(b)(9))

Based on available information:

- [] There appear to be no waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There appear to be waters of the United States on the project site.

[X] Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)

Based on available information:

- [X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [X] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[] The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] The site is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes.(33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(i))
[] The site has fish or shellfish that are taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(ii))
[] The site is used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. (33 CFR 328.2 (a)(3)(iii))
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LIBERTYVILLE, HA 88.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LIBERTYVILLE, 1993.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: LIBERTYVILLE, 1960.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: WAUKEGAN; 1908.
[X] Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, PhotoMapper 2001 & 2002
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
[] Site Visit Conducted on:
[] Other information:

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The seven stormwater ponds in the subdivision do not have a current or demonstrable historic surface water connection to a navigable water of the United States and are therefore not under the Jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- [] Ordinary High Water Mark.
[] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] changes in the character of soil
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] shelving
[] other
[] Wetland Boundary

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
[X] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 2-9-04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 2/9/04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Airhart Construction PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Site located South of Roosevelt, West of Il 59 and East of Joliet, NE of SE S15, T39N, R9E, 3rd P.M.)

FILE NUMBER: 200400293 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field

Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330):

- Preliminary JD - A preliminary JD is not appealable (33 CFR 331.5(b)(9))
Based on available information:
 - There appear to be no waters of the United States on the project site.
 - There appear to be waters of the United States on the project site.
- Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
 - There are no waters on the project site.
 - There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
 - There are waters of the United States on the project site.
 - There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
- The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
- The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
- The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
- The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
- The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 - The site is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes.(33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(i))
 - The site has fish or shellfish that are taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(ii))
 - The site is used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. (33 CFR 328.2 (a)(3)(iii))
 - Other:
- Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WEST CHICAGO.
- U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WEST CHICAGO, HA 202.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WEST CHICAGO, 1993.
- U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____.
- Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Photomapper 2001
- Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY..
- Site Visit Conducted on: January 30, 2004 and DEC September 20, 2001
- Other information: Wetland Boundary marked and verified in field by DEC.

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The 0.1 acre wetland is a closed depression in the approximate center of the tract. The area has been tiled but there are no observable channels or surface outlets.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- Ordinary High Water Mark.
 - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 - the presence of litter and debris
 - shelving
 - changes in the character of soil
 - other
- Wetland Boundary

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated September 2001, prepared by Ehorn Environmental.
- This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: Karon Marjee Date: February 4, 2004
Approved by: Paul A. Beal Date: 4 Feb 2004

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: DuPage Co. DOT PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Intersection of Main Street and Tributary 2 East Branch DuPage River, Glen Ellyn, DuPage County, Illinois (NE 1/4 S2, T39N, R10E, 3rd P.M.)

FILE NUMBER: 200400303 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: [X] Office [X] Field

Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330):

- [] Preliminary JD - A preliminary JD is not appealable (33 CFR 331.5(b)(9))
Based on available information:
[] There appear to be no waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There appear to be waters of the United States on the project site.
[X] Approved JD - An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)
Based on available information:
[X] There are no waters on the project site.
[] There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
[X] There are waters of the United States on the project site.
[] There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.

Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:

- [] There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.
[] The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
[] The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands1). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))
[] The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
[X] The presence of wetlands adjacent2 (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
[] The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
[] The site is used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational purposes.(33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(i))
[] The site has fish or shellfish that are taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(3)(ii))
[] The site is used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. (33 CFR 328.2 (a)(3)(iii))
[] Other:
[] Section 10 waterway.

Information Reviewed

- [X] U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143.
[X] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.
[X] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993.
[] U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[] U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
[X] Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):Photomapper 2001
[X] Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY..
[X] Site Visit Conducted on: 30 January, 2004
[X] Other information: Excerpts of Consultants Report (CTE Sept 2003)

Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The site lies along the R-O-W Main Street close to the intersection with Second Street in Glen Ellyn and crosses over the edge of the floodplain minimally within the 50 foot buffer of the wetland required by the DuPage County Ordinance. Dec Staff (Erin Pande) confirmed the wetland boundary and the buffer on Aug 26 02.

Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):

- [] Ordinary High Water Mark.
[] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
[] destruction of terrestrial vegetation
[] the presence of litter and debris
[] shelving
[] changes in the character of soil
[] other
[X] Wetland Boundary

Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries

- [] This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .
[] This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.

Recommended by: [Signature] Date: 02/04/04

Approved by: [Signature] Date: 2/4/04

1Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

2 Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.