



®

Regulatory Program



®

INTERIM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in the Interim Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form User Manual.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (AJD): May 17, 2019

B. ORM NUMBER IN APPROPRIATE FORMAT (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ): LRC-2006-14112

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Illinois

County/parish/borough: Cook

City: Tinley Park

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.562924, Long. -87.839672.

Map(s)/diagram(s) of review area (including map identifying single point of entry (SPOE) watershed and/or potential jurisdictional areas where applicable) is/are: attached in report/map titled

Other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different jurisdictional determination (JD) form. List JD form ID numbers (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ-1):

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:

Office (Desk) Determination Only. Date:

Office (Desk) and Field Determination. Office/Desk Dates: April 14, 2019 Field Date(s): March 24, 2010.

SECTION II: DATA SOURCES

Check all that were used to aid in the determination and attach data/maps to this AJD form and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Title/Date: Wetland Delineation Report dated January 6, 2006 prepared by JF New.

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

Data sheets/delineation report are sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Title/Date: Wetland Delineation Report dated January 6, 2006 prepared by JF New.

Data sheets/delineation report are not sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Summarize rationale and include information on revised data sheets/delineation report that this AJD form has relied upon:

Revised Title/Date:

Data sheets prepared by the Corps. Title/Date:

Corps navigable waters study. Title/Date:

CorpsMap ORM map layers. Title/Date: ORM JD Viewer, aerial imagery, USGS topo map, and SPOE Map accessed April 14, 2019.

USGS Hydrologic Atlas. Title/Date:

USGS, NHD, or WBD data/maps. Title/Date:

USGS 8, 10 and/or 12 digit HUC maps. HUC number: 07120003 Chicago.

USGS maps. Scale & quad name and date: Tinley Park 1983.

USDA NRCS Soil Survey. Citation:

USFWS National Wetlands Inventory maps. Citation:

State/Local wetland inventory maps. Citation:

FEMA/FIRM maps. Citation: Tinley Park 1983.

Photographs: Aerial. Citation: Google Earth 2007, 2012, and 2015. or Other. Citation:

LiDAR data/maps. Citation:

Previous JDs. File no. and date of JD letter:

Applicable/supporting case law:

- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify):

SECTION III: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Complete ORM “Aquatic Resource Upload Sheet” or Export and Print the Aquatic Resource Screen from ORM for All Waters and Features, Regardless of Jurisdictional Status – Required

A. RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT (RHA) SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION:

- “navigable waters of the U.S.” within RHA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

- **Complete Table 1 - Required**

NOTE: If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District’s list of Section 10 navigable waters list, DO NOT USE THIS FORM TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Section 10 RHA navigability determination.

B. CLEAN WATER ACT (CWA) SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION: “waters of the U.S.” within CWA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328.3) in the review area. Check all that apply.

- (a)(1): All waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. (Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs))

- **Complete Table 1 - Required**

- This AJD includes a case-specific (a)(1) TNW (Section 404 navigable-in-fact) determination on a water that has not previously been designated as such. Documentation required for this case-specific (a)(1) TNW determination is attached.

- (a)(2): All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands.

- **Complete Table 2 - Required**

- (a)(3): The territorial seas.

- **Complete Table 3 - Required**

- (a)(4): All impoundments of waters otherwise identified as waters of the U.S. under 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 4 - Required**

- (a)(5): All tributaries, as defined in 33 CFR part 328.3, of waters identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 5 - Required**

- (a)(6): All waters adjacent to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3, including wetlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters.

- **Complete Table 6 - Required**

- Bordering/Contiguous.
 - Neighboring:

- (c)(2)(i): All waters located within 100 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- (c)(2)(ii): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 and not more than 1,500 feet of the OHWM of such water.

- (c)(2)(iii): All waters located within 1,500 feet of the high tide line of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1) or (a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3, and all waters within 1,500 feet of the OHWM of the Great Lakes.

- (a)(7): All waters identified in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)(i)-(v) where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 7 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

- Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

- (a)(8): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3 not covered by (c)(2)(ii) above and all waters located within 4,000 feet of the high tide line or OHWM of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 where they are determined on a case-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 8 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

C. NON-WATERS OF THE U.S. FINDINGS:

Check all that apply.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land.
- Potential-(a)(7) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
- **Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**
- Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.
- Potential-(a)(8) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
- **Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**
- Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.
- Excluded Waters (Non-Waters of U.S.), even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(4)-(a)(8):
- **Complete Table 10 - Required**
- (b)(1): Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of the CWA.
- (b)(2): Prior converted cropland.
- (b)(3)(i): Ditches with ephemeral flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary.
- (b)(3)(ii): Ditches with intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary, excavated in a tributary, or drain wetlands.
- (b)(3)(iii): Ditches that do not flow, either directly or through another water, into a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3).
- (b)(4)(i): Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land should application of water to that area cease.
- (b)(4)(ii): Artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds, irrigation ponds, settling basins, fields flooded for rice growing, log cleaning ponds, or cooling ponds.
- (b)(4)(iii): Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created in dry land.¹
- (b)(4)(iv): Small ornamental waters created in dry land.¹
- (b)(4)(v): Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to mining or construction activity, including pits excavated for obtaining fill, sand, or gravel that fill with water.
- (b)(4)(vi): Erosional features, including gullies, rills, and other ephemeral features that do not meet the definition of tributary, non-wetland swales, and lawfully constructed grassed waterways.¹
- (b)(4)(vii): Puddles.¹
- (b)(5): Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems.¹
- (b)(6): Stormwater control features constructed to convey, treat, or store stormwater that are created in dry land.¹
- (b)(7): Wastewater recycling structures created in dry land; detention and retention basins built for wastewater recycling; groundwater recharge basins; percolation ponds built for wastewater recycling; and water distributary structures built for wastewater recycling.
- Other non-jurisdictional waters/features within review area that do not meet the definitions in 33 CFR 328.3 of (a)(1)-(a)(8) waters and are not excluded waters identified in (b)(1)-(b)(7).
- **Complete Table 11 - Required.**

D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT AJD: The approved JD was conducted to determine the presence or absence of waters of the United States in the review area. We determined there are no waters of the United States in the review area. This approved JD does not confirm or verify the wetland delineation data sheets or the boundaries of wetlands in the Wetland Delineation Report dated January 6, 2006.

¹ In many cases these excluded features will not be specifically identified on the AJD form, unless specifically requested. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these features within the review area.

Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.

Default field entry is "N/A". Delete "N/A" and fill out all fields in the table where applicable for waters/features present in the review area.

Table 1. (a)(1) Traditional Navigable Waters

(a)(1) Waters Name	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale to Support (a)(1) Designation Include High Tide Line or Ordinary High Water Mark indicators, when applicable.
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A

Table 2. (a)(2) Interstate Waters

(a)(2) Waters Name	Rationale to Support (a)(2) Designation
N/A	N/A

Table 3. (a)(3) Territorial Seas

(a)(3) Waters Name	Rationale to Support (a)(3) Designation
N/A	N/A

Table 4. (a)(4) Impoundments

(a)(4) Waters Name	Rationale to Support (a)(4) Designation
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A

Table 5. (a)(5) Tributaries

(a)(5) Waters Name	Flow Regime	(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this (a)(5) Tributary Flows	Tributary Breaks	Rationale for (a)(5) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify flowpath to (a)(1)-(a)(3) water or attach map identifying the flowpath; explain any breaks or flow through excluded/non-jurisdictional features, etc.
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A

Table 6. (a)(6) Adjacent Waters

(a)(6) Waters Name	(a)(1)-(a)(5) Water Name to which this Water is Adjacent	Rationale for (a)(6) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify the type of water and how the limits of jurisdiction were established (e.g., wetland, 87 Manual/Regional Supplement); explain how the 100-year floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; whether this water extends beyond a threshold; explain if the water is part of a mosaic, etc.
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 7. (a)(7) Waters

SPOE Name	(a)(7) Waters Name	(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus	Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; discuss whether any similarly situated waters were present and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 8. (a)(8) Waters

SPOE Name	(a)(8) Waters Name	(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus	Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to subject water and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and then summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect the on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Non-Jurisdictional Waters

Default field entry is "N/A". Delete "N/A" and fill out all fields in the table where applicable for waters/features present in the review area.

Table 9. Non-Waters/No Significant Nexus

SPOE Name	Non-(a)(7)/(a)(8) Waters Name	(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water DOES NOT have a Significant Nexus	Basis for Determination that the Functions DO NOT Contribute Significantly to the Chemical, Physical, or Biological Integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) Water. Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to the subject water; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters did not have more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water.
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 10. Non-Waters/Excluded Waters and Features

Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water Name	Rationale for Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A

Table 11. Non-Waters/Other

Other Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water Name	Rationale for Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.
Wetland A	Wetland A is approximately 0.63 acres, Wetland B is approximately 12.24 acres, and the unnamed wetland is approximately 0.10 acres. The wetlands are not waters of the United States because they do not meet the definitions in 33 CFR 328.3 of (a)(1)-(a)(8) waters as defined by the Clean Water Rule (Vol. 80 Federal Register page 37054, dated June 29, 2015).
Wetland B	
Unnamed Wetland	

(a)(1) – The subject waters have not been used for interstate or foreign commerce and are not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
(a)(2) – The subject waters are wholly in Illinois and are not interstate waters.
(a)(3) – The subject waters are not considered a territorial sea.
(a)(4) – The subject waters are not an impoundment of any water.
(a)(5) – The subject waters do not meet the definition of tributary per (a)(5). There are no waters on the site that meet the definition of tributary.

(a)(6) – The subject waters are not bordering or contiguous with an (a)(1)-(a)(5) water. The waters are not located within 100 feet or 1,500 feet of the nearest ordinary high water mark of an (a)(1)-(a)(5) water and are not located in the 100 year floodplain of any (a)(1)-(a)(5) water. The nearest (a)(1)-(a)(5) water in the single point of entry watershed (SPOE) is Midlothian Creek, an (a)(5) water that is located 4,523 feet from the waters. At the point identified in Figure 3, Midlothian Creek begins with the presence of an ordinary high water mark and a defined bed and bank on the north side of 175th Street. Midlothian Creek flows north east and contributes flows directly to the single point of entry to the Little Calumet River, a traditional navigable water. The presence of the ordinary high water mark and the defined bed and bank were observed to begin at this location based on the March 24, 2010 field visit.

Waters in the SPOE that were within 1,500 feet from the subject waters were determined to not be waters of the United States.

1. The water approximately 300 feet south from the subject waters is a stormwater retention pond and is excluded pursuant to 33 CFR 328.3(b)(6). It was constructed in an actively farmed area from dry land. The area was determined to be dry land because prior to construction it was actively farmed with no wetland signatures present on the aerial photographs. In addition the NRCS reviewed the area in 1993 and revisited the issue in 2009 and determined that there were no farmed wetlands there prior to the construction of the retention basin.

2. The water approximately 1200 feet south east from the subject waters is also a stormwater retention pond and is excluded pursuant to 33 CFR 328.3(b)(6). It was also constructed in an actively farmed area from dry land in an upland soil, Bryce Silty Clay Loam.

(a)(7) – The subject waters are not (a)(7)(i) Prairie potholes, (a)(7)(ii) Carolina bays and Delmarva bays, (a)(7)(iii) Pocosins, (a)(7)(iv) Western vernal pools, or (a)(7)(v) Texas coastal prairie wetlands.

(a)(8) – The subject waters are located 4,523 feet from the nearest ordinary high water mark of an (a)(1)-(a)(5) water. The nearest (a)(1)-(a)(5) water is Midlothian Creek, an (a)(5) water. At the point identified in Figure 2, Midlothian Creek begins with the presence of an ordinary high water mark and a defined bed and bank. Midlothian Creek contributes flows directly to the Little Calumet River, a traditional navigable water. The subject waters are not located in the 100-year floodplain of any (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. As a result of not meeting the distance or floodplain requirements of an (a)(8) water, no case-specific significant nexus analysis is required.

Waters in the SPOE that were within 4,000 feet from the subject waters were determined to not be waters of the United States.

1. The water at 175th Street and Humber Lane is a stormwater retention pond and is excluded pursuant to 33 CFR 328.3(b)(6). It was constructed in an actively farmed area from dry land. The area was determined to be dry land because aerial photographs show no wetland signatures prior to construction and the pond appears to be dug in upland soils, Bryce Silty Clay and Nappanee Silty Clay Loam.

2. The water at 175th Street and 88th is a stormwater retention pond and is excluded pursuant to 33 CFR 328.3(b)(6). It was constructed in an actively farmed area from dry land. The area was determined to be dry land because aerial photographs show no wetland signatures prior to construction and the pond appears to be dug in upland soils, Nappanee Silty Clay Loam and Milford Silty Clay Loam.