

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

**JD Status: DRAFT**

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 14-Jul-2011

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2011-00484-JD1

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

**State :** IL - Illinois  
**County/parish/borough:** Cook  
**City:** South Holland  
**Lat:** 41.59231  
**Long:** -87.61288  
**Universal Transverse Mercator** Folder UTM List  
*UTM list determined by folder location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waters UTM List  
*UTM list determined by waters location*

**Name of nearest waterbody:**  
**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):**  
**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date: 04-Aug-2011  
 Field Determination Date(s): 03-Aug-2011

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

**There** are "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:** Interstate water connected to the Calumet River with barge traffic.

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Wetland 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:****Area:** (m<sup>2</sup>)**Linear:** (m)**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:****based on:****OHWM Elevation:** (if known)**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

**Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:**  
All 4 wetlands totalling 0.857 acres are isolated wetlands with no surface water connection to any flowing water of the U.S. These wetland areas are impoundments between the residential and commercial developments and the RR tracks. Therefore, all 4 wetlands are non-jurisdictional.

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS****A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs****1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):****1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) General Area Conditions:****Watershed size:****Drainage area:****Average annual rainfall:** inches**Average annual snowfall:** inches**(ii) Physical Characteristics****(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [ ] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

**Project waters are** river miles from TNW.**Project waters are** river miles from RPW.**Project Waters are** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.**Project waters are** aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:****Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>****Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:****Tributary is:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**

Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**

Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**

Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**

Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**

**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**

Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**

Not Applicable.

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**

**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**

**Properties:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**

Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**

Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**

**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**

Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**

Not Applicable.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**  
Not Applicable.

**Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:**  
Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

**Significant Nexus: Not Applicable**

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

**1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:**  
Not Applicable.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>**  
Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate/Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
Wetland 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wetland 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wetland 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wetland 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
Wetland 1	-	-
Wetland 2	-	-
Wetland 3	-	-
Wetland 4	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1222.150512
Wetland 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	364.21704
Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	485.62272
Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1719.9138
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3791.904072</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1222.150512
Wetland 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	364.21704
Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	485.62272
Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1719.9138
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3791.904072</b>

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.  
Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-

--Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
---Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas	-	-
---USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	-	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	-
--FEMA/FIRM maps	-	-
--Photographs	-	-
---Aerial	-	-
--Applicable/supporting case law	-	-
--Other information	-	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

**Description**

Site visit on 03 Aug 2011 to walk site.

- <sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
- <sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
- <sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
- <sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
- <sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
- <sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
- <sup>7</sup>-Ibid.
- <sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.
- <sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
- <sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 21-Jul-2011

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2011-00306-JD2

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

**State :** IL - Illinois  
**County/parish/borough:** Cook  
**City:** Palos Hills  
**Lat:** 41.69325  
**Long:** -87.83542  
**Universal Transverse Mercator**  
Folder UTM List  
*UTM list determined by folder location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waters UTM List  
*UTM list determined by waters location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N

**Name of nearest waterbody:** Stony Creek  
**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):** Cal-Sag Channel  
**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):** 071200040702

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date: 20-Jul-2011  
 Field Determination Date(s): 27-Jun-2011

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:**

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
LRC-2011-306 Ditch A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
LRC-2011-306 Ditch B	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
LRC-2011-306 Ditch C	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 5	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 6	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**Area: (m<sup>2</sup>)

Linear: (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction:**

based on:

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS****A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs****1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):****1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) General Area Conditions:****Watershed size:****Drainage area:****Average annual rainfall:** inches**Average annual snowfall:** inches**(ii) Physical Characteristics****(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [ ] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

**Project waters are** river miles from TNW.**Project waters are** river miles from RPW.**Project Waters are** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.**Project waters are** aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:****Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>****Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:****Tributary is:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.



**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**

Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**

Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:****High Tide Line indicated by:**

Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**

Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:****Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**

Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**

Not Applicable.

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) Physical Characteristics:****(a) General Wetland Characteristics:****Properties:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:****Flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**

Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**

Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:****Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**

Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**

Not Applicable.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**  
Not Applicable.

**Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:**  
Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

**1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:**  
Not Applicable.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>**  
Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign	Fish/Shellfish	Industrial	Interstate	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
-------------	--------------------	----------------	------------	------------	---------	---------------	---------

	Travelers	Commerce	Commerce	Isolated			
LRC-2011-306 Ditch A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Ditch B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Ditch C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
LRC-2011-306 Ditch A	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Ditch B	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Ditch C	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 3	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 4	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 5	-	-
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 6	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2011-306 Ditch A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	566.55984
LRC-2011-306 Ditch B	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	647.49696
LRC-2011-306 Ditch C	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	283.27992
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1133.11968
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	323.74848
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 5	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1902.02232
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 6	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	849.83976
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>5706.06696</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Wetland areas 3,4,5 and 6 and Ditches A, B and C were reviewed during a site visit on June 27, 2011. Based on this site visit and the information sent in by the applicant that these wetland areas do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2011-306 Ditch A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	566.55984
LRC-2011-306 Ditch B	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	647.49696
LRC-2011-306 Ditch C	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	283.27992
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1133.11968
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	323.74848
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 5	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1902.02232

LRC-2011-306 Wetland 6	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	849.83976
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>5706.06696</b>

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2011-306 Ditch A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	566.55984
LRC-2011-306 Ditch B	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	647.49696
LRC-2011-306 Ditch C	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	283.27992
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1133.11968
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	323.74848
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 5	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1902.02232
LRC-2011-306 Wetland 6	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	849.83976
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>5706.06696</b>

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Surveyed wetland boundaries	Sent in by applicant
--U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas	USGS hydrology map	sent in by applicant
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	NWI map	printed in this office
--Photographs	-	-
----Other	site photos	taken by USACE during site visit
--Other information	site visit with agent	June 27, 2011

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Not Applicable.

- <sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
- <sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
- <sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
- <sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
- <sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
- <sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
- <sup>7</sup>-Ibid.
- <sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.
- <sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
- <sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 2, 2011**

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, Madison Paper JD, LRC-2009-375

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:** NE corner of South Pulaski and W. 131<sup>st</sup> Street

State: Illinois County/parish/borough: **Cook** City: Alsip

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.656529°N, Long. -87.717268° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83

Name of nearest waterbody: Calumet-Sag Channel

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: **Calumet-Sag Channel**

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): **Chicago (07120003)**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: August 2, 2011

Field Determination. Date(s): September 10, 2009

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: Defined in People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, slip op. at 7 (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979).

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):<sup>1</sup>**

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: acres.

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: **Isolated depressional wetland with no hydrologic connection to a navigable water.**

<sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

##### 1. TNW

Identify TNW: **Pick List**.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: As defined in People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, slip op. at 7 (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979).

##### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

##### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

###### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: **Pick List**  
Drainage area: **Pick List**  
Average annual rainfall: inches  
Average annual snowfall: inches

###### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

###### (a) Relationship with TNW:

- Tributary flows directly into TNW.  
 Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.  
Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.  
Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  
Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>:  
Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

- Tributary is:**  Natural  
 Artificial (man-made). Explain:  
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Average width:            feet  
Average depth:            feet  
Average side slopes: **Pick List.**

**Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):**

- |  |  |                                   |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Silts           | <input type="checkbox"/> Sands                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cobbles         | <input type="checkbox"/> Gravel                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Muck     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock         | <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation. Type/% cover: |                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Explain: |  |                                   |

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:

Tributary geometry: **Pick List**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):            %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Pick List**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**

Describe flow regime:

Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: **Pick List.** Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: ~~Pick List~~. Explain findings:

- Dye (or other) test performed:

**Tributary has (check all that apply):**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bed and banks  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply): |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank            | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil                     | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving   | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of wrack line                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation matted down, bent, or absent              | <input type="checkbox"/> sediment sorting                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> leaf litter disturbed or washed away                 | <input type="checkbox"/> scour                                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> sediment deposition                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> multiple observed or predicted flow events |
| <input type="checkbox"/> water staining                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> abrupt change in plant community           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list):  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:            |   |

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by:              | <input type="checkbox"/> Mean High Water Mark indicated by:            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects      | <input type="checkbox"/> survey to available datum;                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings;                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics         | <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gauges                              |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list):                             |  |

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

<sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size:            acres

Wetland type. Explain:

Wetland quality. Explain:

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:

Ecological connection. Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List**

Approximately (            ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.



For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Name/ID</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>	<u>Name/ID</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>
----------------	------------------------------	------------------------	----------------	------------------------------	------------------------

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

**Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:**

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**  
 Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:  
 Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

**3. Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>9</sup>**

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>10</sup>**

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:** .

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>9</sup>To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.  
Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: **Wetlands had no identifiable connection to navigable waters.**
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: 18 acres.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: JD Request dated June 25, 2009.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - USGS NHD data.
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: **Pick List.**
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): **Pick List, Pick List,**
- FEMA/FIRM maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date):  
or  Other (Name & Date):
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law: People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979)
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

**JD Status: DRAFT**

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 05-Jul-2011

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2010-00756-JD1

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

**State :** IL - Illinois  
**County/parish/borough:** Will  
**City:**  
**Lat:** 41.71382  
**Long:** -88.14201  
**Universal Transverse Mercator** Folder UTM List  
*UTM list determined by folder location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waters UTM List  
*UTM list determined by waters location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N

**Name of nearest waterbody:**  
**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):**  
**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date:  
 Field Determination Date(s):

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:**

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Area 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Area 2 (ISOLATED) Excavated Pond	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Area: (m<sup>2</sup>)

Linear: (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:**

**based on:**

**OHWM Elevation:** (if known)

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs**

**1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):**

**1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) General Area Conditions:**

**Watershed size:**

**Drainage area:**

**Average annual rainfall:** inches

**Average annual snowfall:** inches

**(ii) Physical Characteristics**

**(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [ ] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

**Project waters are** river miles from TNW.

**Project waters are** river miles from RPW.

**Project Waters are** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

**Project waters are** aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:**

**Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>**

**Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:**

**Tributary is:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**  
Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**  
Not Applicable.

## **2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**  
**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**  
Properties:  
Not Applicable.

### **(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**  
Not Applicable.

## **3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**

Not Applicable.

**Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:**

Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

**Significant Nexus: Not Applicable**

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

**1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:**

Not Applicable.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>**

Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>**

Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
-------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------	---------------	---------

Area 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Area 2 (ISOLATED) Excavated Pond	X	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW	Rationale	TNW Rationale
Area 3	-	-	-
Area 2 (ISOLATED) Excavated Pond	-	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Area 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	40.46856
Area 2 (ISOLATED) Excavated Pond	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	2347.17648
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>2387.64504</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.**

Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
--Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
---Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	-
--Photographs	-	-
---Aerial	-	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Description

Area 2 is an excavated pond that does not have a surface connection to an RPW or TNW



- 
- <sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
  - <sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
  - <sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
  - <sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
  - <sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
  - <sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
  - <sup>7</sup>-Ibid.
  - <sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.
  - <sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
  - <sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

**JD Status: DRAFT**

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 22-Jun-2011

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2011-00408-JD1

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

**State :** IL - Illinois  
**County/parish/borough:** McHenry  
**City:** Lake in the Hills  
**Lat:** 42.2057  
**Long:** -88.28897  
**Universal Transverse Mercator** Folder UTM List  
*UTM list determined by folder location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waters UTM List  
*UTM list determined by waters location*

**Name of nearest waterbody:**  
**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):**  
**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date: 28-Jul-2011  
 Field Determination Date(s): 20-Jul-2011

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:**

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Wetland A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

**Area:** (m<sup>2</sup>)  
**Linear:** (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:****based on:****OHWM Elevation:** (if known)**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>****Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:**

The subject wetland (1.35 ac) is an isolated depression in the corner of a corn field, as well as in the headwaters area of Crystal Creek. The subject wetland has no outlet or any other connection to any flowing water of the U.S.; therefore wetland A is isolated and non-jurisdictional.

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS****A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs****1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):****1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) General Area Conditions:****Watershed size:****Drainage area:****Average annual rainfall:** inches**Average annual snowfall:** inches**(ii) Physical Characteristics****(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [ ] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

**Project waters are** river miles from TNW.**Project waters are** river miles from RPW.**Project Waters are** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.**Project waters are** aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:****Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>****Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:****Tributary is:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**  
Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**  
Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**  
Not Applicable.

## **2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**  
**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**  
**Properties:**  
Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**  
Not Applicable.

## **3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**  
Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:  
 Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:  
 Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
 Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>  
 Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  
 Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
 Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
 Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>  
 Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate/Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
Wetland A							

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
Wetland A	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	5463.2556
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>5463.2556</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	5463.2556
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>5463.2556</b>

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.**  
Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
--Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
---Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-
--Corps navigable waters study	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas	-	-
---USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	-	-
--USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	-	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	-
--FEMA/FIRM maps	-	-
--Photographs	-	-
---Aerial	-	-
---Other	-	-
--Applicable/supporting case law	-	-
--Other information	-	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

**Description**

Site visit with consultant on 20 July 2011 to walk perimeter of wetland.

<sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>-Ibid.

<sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.

<sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 01-Aug-2011

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2011-00256-JD1

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

**State :** IL - Illinois  
**County/parish/borough:** McHenry  
**City:** Crystal Lake  
**Lat:** 42.25495  
**Long:** -88.35253  
**Universal Transverse Mercator** Folder UTM List  
*UTM list determined by folder location*  

- NAD83 / UTM zone 16N

Waters UTM List  
*UTM list determined by waters location*  

- NAD83 / UTM zone 16N

**Name of nearest waterbody:** Fox River  
**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):**  
**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):** Fox River

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date: 01-Jul-2011

Field Determination Date(s): 28-Jul-2011

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS****A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:**

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.****a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
LRC-2011-256 Site 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
LRC-2011-256 Site 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

**Area:** (m<sup>2</sup>)

**Linear:** (m)



**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:****based on:****OHWM Elevation:** (if known)**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

**Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:**  
 There are two wetlands in question (see exhibit). These wetlands collectively drain to the south through a mapped intermittent tributary (USGS Topographic Map). This flow route was verified in the field. Water drains to a wetland areas identified on the USGS map and as ADID L37. The 2 foot contour map shows a closed depression at elevation 912. No pipe was observed from this wetland off-site. A clogged culvert under Route 14 at Andrea was observed, but this appears to take water from overland flow to the east and not from the wetland. It is also 4 feet above the 912 contour. As such, this wetland appears isolated.

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS****A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs****1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):****1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) General Area Conditions:****Watershed size:****Drainage area:****Average annual rainfall:** inches**Average annual snowfall:** inches**(ii) Physical Characteristics****(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [ ] tributaries before entering TNW.

: Number of tributaries

**Project waters are** river miles from TNW.**Project waters are** river miles from RPW.**Project Waters are** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.**Project waters are** aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:****Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>6</sup>****Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:****Tributary is:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**  
Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**  
Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**  
**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**  
Properties:  
Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**

Not Applicable.

**Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:**

Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

**1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:**

Not Applicable.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>**

Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>**

Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign	Fish/Shellfish	Industrial	Interstate	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
-------------	--------------------	----------------	------------	------------	---------	---------------	---------

	Travelers	Commerce	Commerce	Isolated			
LRC-2011-256 Site 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LRC-2011-256 Site 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
LRC-2011-256 Site 1	-	-
LRC-2011-256 Site 2	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2011-256 Site 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	2023.428
LRC-2011-256 Site 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	2023.428
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>4046.856</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2011-256 Site 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	2023.428
LRC-2011-256 Site 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	2023.428
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>4046.856</b>

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.  
Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Wetland Delineation	-
--U.S. Geological Survey map(s)	10 Foot Topo Map	-
--State/Local wetland inventory map(s):	ADID Map	-
--Other information	2-Foot Contours	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Not Applicable.

- 
- <sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
  - <sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
  - <sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
  - <sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
  - <sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
  - <sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
  - <sup>7</sup>-Ibid.
  - <sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.
  - <sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
  - <sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

JD Status: DRAFT

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 25-Jul-2011

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2011-00390-JD2

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State :

County/parish/borough:

City:

Lat:

Long:

Universal Transverse Mercator

Folder UTM List

*UTM list determined by folder location*

Enter LRC-2011-00390 location information to display the UTM list.

Waters UTM List

*UTM list determined by waters location*

**Name of nearest waterbody:**

**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):**

**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date: 27-Jul-2011

Field Determination Date(s): 20-Jul-2011

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:**

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
WOTUS 1	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Wetland 1C	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Wetland 1D	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Area: (m<sup>2</sup>)  
 Linear: (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:**

based on:  
 OHWM Elevation: (if known)

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs**

**1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):**

**1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) General Area Conditions:**

Watershed size:  
 Drainage area:  
 Average annual rainfall: inches  
 Average annual snowfall: inches

**(ii) Physical Characteristics**

**(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.  
 Tributary flows through [ ] tributaries before entering TNW.  
 :Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.  
 Project waters are river miles from RPW.  
 Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  
 Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:**

Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>

**Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Order	Tributary Name
3	WOTUS 1

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:**

**Tributary is:**

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
WOTUS 1	-	-	-	X	Portions straightened for road approach.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes

WOTUS 1	20	5	3:1
---------	----	---	-----

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
WOTUS 1	X	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	-

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
WOTUS 1	Kress Creek is fairly stable.	Man-made structures create pooling.	Relatively straight	1

**(c) Flow:**

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
WOTUS 1	Perennial flow	20 (or greater)	Year-round.	-

**Surface Flow is:**

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics
WOTUS 1	Discrete and confined	Defined bed and banks.

**Subsurface Flow:**

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
WOTUS 1	Unknown	-	-

**Tributary has:**

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	OHWM	Discontinuous OHWM <sup>7</sup>	Explain
WOTUS 1	X	-	-	-

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**

**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
WOTUS 1	Water color is cloudy.	Sediment, erosion, road salt and grease/oil.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat
WOTUS 1	X	50-100+ feet based on surrounding landscape of both wetland and woods.	-	-	-

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**

**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**

**Properties:**

--	--	--	--	--	--



Wetland Name	Size (Acres)	Wetland Type	Wetland Quality	Cross or Serve as State Boundaries. Explain
Wetland 1C	4.4	Riparian	Low	-
Wetland 1D	24.71	Riparian	Low	-

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
Wetland 1C	Perennial flow.	-
Wetland 1D	Perennial flow.	-

**Surface flow is:**

Wetland Name	Flow	Characteristics
Wetland 1C	Overland sheetflow	Wetland hydrates when creek floods.
Wetland 1D	Overland sheetflow	Wetland takes on water during flood events.

**Subsurface flow:**

Wetland Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
Wetland 1C	Unknown	-	-
Wetland 1D	Unknown	-	-

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**

Wetland Name	Directly Abutting	Discrete Wetland Hydrologic Connection	Ecological Connection	Separated by Berm/Barrier
Wetland 1C	Yes	-	-	-
Wetland 1D	Yes	-	-	-

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**

Wetland Name	River Miles From TNW	Aerial Miles From TNW	Flow Direction	Within Floodplain
Wetland 1C	30 (or more)	30 (or more)	Wetland to navigable waters	5 - 10-year
Wetland 1D	30 (or more)	30 (or more)	Wetland to navigable waters	2-year or less

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Wetland Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
Wetland 1C	-	Sediment, erosion, road salt and grease/oil.
Wetland 1D	-	Sediment, erosion, road salt and grease/oil.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**

Wetland Name	Riparian Buffer	Characteristics	Vegetation	Explain
Wetland 1C	X	50-100 feet.	-	-
Wetland 1D	X	100+ feet.	-	-

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**

Not Applicable.

**Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:**

Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

**1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:**

Not Applicable.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
WOTUS 1	PERENNIAL	Creek flows year-round.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Wetland Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
WOTUS 1	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	304.8	-
<b>Total:</b>		<b>304.8</b>	<b>0</b>

**3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>**

Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
Wetland 1C	PERENNIAL	Wetland abuts Kress Creek, so has constant water present.
Wetland 1D	PERENNIAL	Wetland has water from creek year-round.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Wetland Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland 1C	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	17806.1664
Wetland 1D	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	99997.81176
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>117803.97816</b>

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**

Not Applicable.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:**<sup>9</sup>  
Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:**<sup>10</sup>  
Not Applicable.

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**  
Not Applicable.

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**  
Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.**  
Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
--Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
---Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-
--Corps navigable waters study	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas	-	-
---USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	-	-
--USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	-	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	-
--State/Local wetland inventory map(s):	-	-
--FEMA/FIRM maps	-	-
--Photographs	-	-
---Aerial	-	-
---Other	-	-
--Applicable/supporting case law	-	-
--Other information	-	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

**Description**

Site visit to walk connections on July 20, 2011.

<sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>-Ibid.

<sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.

<sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

JD Status: DRAFT

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 20-May-2011

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2011-00326-JD1

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State : IL - Illinois  
 County/parish/borough: Cook  
 City: Elgin  
 Lat: 42.04785  
 Long: -88.24322  
 Universal Transverse Mercator Folder UTM List  
*UTM list determined by folder location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waters UTM List  
*UTM list determined by waters location*

**Name of nearest waterbody:**  
**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):**  
**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date: 28-Jul-2011  
 Field Determination Date(s): 20-Jul-2011

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:**

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Wetland A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Area: (m<sup>2</sup>)  
 Linear: (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:****based on:****OHWM Elevation:** (if known)**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

**Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:**  
Wetland A is a small (0.06 ac) shallow depression near the road with no inlet or outlet, or any other connection to a flowing water of the U.S., and therefore is isolated and non-jurisdictional.

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS****A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs****1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):****1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) General Area Conditions:****Watershed size:****Drainage area:****Average annual rainfall:** inches**Average annual snowfall:** inches**(ii) Physical Characteristics****(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [ ] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

**Project waters are** river miles from TNW.**Project waters are** river miles from RPW.**Project Waters are** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.**Project waters are** aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:****Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>****Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:****Tributary is:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**  
Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**  
**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**  
**Properties:**  
Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**  
Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:  
 Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:  
 Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
 Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>  
 Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  
 Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
 Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
 Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
 Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>  
 Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
Wetland A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
Wetland A	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	242.81136
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>242.81136</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland A	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	242.81136
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>242.81136</b>

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.**  
Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
--Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
---Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-
--Corps navigable waters study	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas	-	-
---USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	-	-
--USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	-	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	-
--State/Local wetland inventory map(s):	-	-
--FEMA/FIRM maps	-	-
--Photographs	-	-
---Aerial	-	-
---Other	-	-
--Applicable/supporting case law	-	-
--Other information	-	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Description
Site visit on 20 July 2011 to confirm isolated status.

<sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>-Ibid.

<sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.

<sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** June 9, 2011

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, Bartlett Aquatic Center **LRC-2011-419**

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Illinois County/parish/borough: **DuPage** City: Bartlett

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.976326°N, Long. -88.188596° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83

Name of nearest waterbody: West Branch of the DuPage River

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: **Des Plaines River**

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): **Des Plaines (07120004)**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 10, 2011

Field Determination. Date(s): June 2, 2011

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: Defined in People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, slip op. at 7 (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979).

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):<sup>1</sup>**

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: acres.

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: **The isolated wetlands on-site total 1.35 acres, and the isolated waters total 3.55 acres. These aquatic resources were determined to be under the jurisdiction of DuPage County because they are isolated.**

<sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs**

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

**1. TNW**

Identify TNW: **Pick List**.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: As defined in People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, slip op. at 7 (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979).

**2. Wetland adjacent to TNW**

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": .

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):**

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

**1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) General Area Conditions:**

Watershed size: **Pick List**

Drainage area: **Pick List**

Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: inches

**(ii) Physical Characteristics:**

**(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: .

Tributary stream order, if known: .

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

**Tributary is:**  Natural  
 Artificial (man-made). Explain:  
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Average width:        feet  
Average depth:        feet  
Average side slopes: **Pick List.**

**Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):**

<input type="checkbox"/> Silts	<input type="checkbox"/> Sands	<input type="checkbox"/> Concrete
<input type="checkbox"/> Cobbles	<input type="checkbox"/> Gravel	<input type="checkbox"/> Muck
<input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock	<input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation. Type/% cover:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other. Explain:		

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:

Tributary geometry: **Pick List**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):        %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Pick List**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**

Describe flow regime:

Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: **Pick List.** Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List.** Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):

<input type="checkbox"/> Bed and banks	
<input type="checkbox"/> OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):	
<input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank	<input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris
<input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil	<input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation
<input type="checkbox"/> shelving	<input type="checkbox"/> the presence of wrack line
<input type="checkbox"/> vegetation matted down, bent, or absent	<input type="checkbox"/> sediment sorting
<input type="checkbox"/> leaf litter disturbed or washed away	<input type="checkbox"/> scour
<input type="checkbox"/> sediment deposition	<input type="checkbox"/> multiple observed or predicted flow events
<input type="checkbox"/> water staining	<input type="checkbox"/> abrupt change in plant community
<input type="checkbox"/> other (list):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:	

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

<input type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by:	<input type="checkbox"/> Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
<input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects	<input type="checkbox"/> survey to available datum;
<input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)	<input type="checkbox"/> physical markings;
<input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics	<input type="checkbox"/> vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
<input type="checkbox"/> tidal gauges	
<input type="checkbox"/> other (list):	

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

<sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: \_\_\_\_\_ acres

Wetland type. Explain:

Wetland quality. Explain:

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:

Ecological connection. Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List**

Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Name/ID</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>	<u>Name/ID</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>
----------------	------------------------------	------------------------	----------------	------------------------------	------------------------

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

**Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:**

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**  
 Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:  
 Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
  - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

**3. Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
  - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
  - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
  - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>9</sup>**

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>10</sup>**

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:** .

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>9</sup>To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.



Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: 3.55 acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: 1.35 acres.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: .
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: West Chicago Quadrangle, Exhibit 1.
  - USGS NHD data.
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: West Chicago Quadrangle, Exhibit 5.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: **Soil Survey of DuPage County, Illinois (1999)**.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS West Chicago Quadrangle, Exhibit 2A.
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): **Pick List, Pick List**, DuPage County NWI Exhibit 2B.
- FEMA/FIRM maps: 17043C0102H, Exhibit 6A.
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date): Exhibit 7, 2009.
  - or  Other (Name & Date): Exhibits 8A, 8B, and 8C.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: People of State of Ill. ex rel. Scott v. Hoffman, No. P-CIV-76-45, (S.D.Ill. Jan. 20, 1979)
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

*USACE site visit on 20 JULY 11 to confirm all areas isolated.*

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

JD Status: DRAFT

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 12-Jul-2011

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, LRC-2011-00380-JD1

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State : IL - Illinois
County/parish/borough: Cook
City: Chicago Heights
Lat: 41.52551
Long: -87.61279
Universal Transverse Mercator Folder UTM List
UTM list determined by folder location
• NAD83 / UTM zone 16N
Waters UTM List
UTM list determined by waters location

Name of nearest waterbody:
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:

Office Determination Date: 18-Jul-2011
Field Determination Date(s): 13-Jul-2011

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:1

Table with 2 columns: Water Name, Water Type(s) Present. Row 1: Wetland B, Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Area: (m²)
Linear: (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:****based on:****OHWM Elevation:** (if known)**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

**Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:** Wetland B (0.04 acres) is a small isolated depression in the middle of a field and woodlands, and does not exhibit a surface water connection to any flowing water of the U.S.; therefore, the subject wetland is isolated and non-jurisdictional.

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS****A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs****1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):****1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) General Area Conditions:****Watershed size:****Drainage area:****Average annual rainfall:** inches**Average annual snowfall:** inches**(ii) Physical Characteristics****(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [ ] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

**Project waters are** river miles from TNW.**Project waters are** river miles from RPW.**Project Waters are** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.**Project waters are** aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:****Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>****Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:****Tributary is:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**  
Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**  
Not Applicable.

## **2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**  
**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**  
**Properties:**  
Not Applicable.

### **(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**  
Not Applicable.

## **3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**  
Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:  
Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:  
Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>  
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  
Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>  
Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate/Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
Wetland B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
Wetland B	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland B	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	161.87424
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>161.87424</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland B	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	161.87424
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>161.87424</b>

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.**  
Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
--Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
---Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas	-	-
---USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	-	-
--USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	-	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	-
--Photographs	-	-
---Aerial	-	-
---Other	-	-
--Applicable/supporting case law	-	-
--Other information	-	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Description

July 13, 2011 on-site walk through with wetland consultant.

<sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>-Ibid.

<sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.

<sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.