

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

JD Status: DRAFT

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 09-Aug-2010

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2010-00514-JD1

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

**State :** IL - Illinois  
**County/parish/borough:** Cook  
**City:**  
**Lat:** 41.75736  
**Long:** -87.76832  
**Universal Transverse Mercator** Folder UTM List  
*UTM list determined by folder location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waters UTM List  
*UTM list determined by waters location*

**Name of nearest waterbody:**  
**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):**  
**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date: 22-Sep-2010  
 Field Determination Date(s): 10-Sep-2010

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

There  "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:**

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There  "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Wetland 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

**Area:** (m<sup>2</sup>)  
**Linear:** (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:**based on: 

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

**Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:**  
The subject parcel has 4 small isolated wetlands totalling approximately 0.80 acres. The subject parcel is flat, and surrounded by parking lots, industrial buildings and a RR track. There is no surface water flow or connection to any flowing water of the U.S.; therefore the subject wetlands are isolated and non-jurisdictional.

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS****A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs****1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):****1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) General Area Conditions:**Watershed size: Drainage area: 

Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: inches

**(ii) Physical Characteristics****(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through  tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are  river miles from TNW.Project waters are  river miles from RPW.Project Waters are  aerial (straight) miles from TNW.Project waters are  aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:****Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>****Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:****Tributary is:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**

Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**

Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**

Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**

Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**

**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**

Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**

Not Applicable.

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**

**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**

**Properties:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**

Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**

Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**

Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**

**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**

Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**

Not Applicable.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**

Not Applicable.

**Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:**

Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

**1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:**

Not Applicable.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

**3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>**

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>**

Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
Wetland 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wetland 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wetland 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wetland 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale

Wetland 1	-	-
Wetland 2	-	-
Wetland 3	-	-
Wetland 4	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	121.40568
Wetland 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	2711.39352
Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	202.3428
Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	202.3428
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3237.4848</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland 1	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	121.40568
Wetland 2	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	2711.39352
Wetland 3	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	202.3428
Wetland 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	202.3428
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3237.4848</b>

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.**  
Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
--Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
----Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-
--Corps navigable waters study	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas	-	-
----USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	-	-
--U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	-	-
--USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	-	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	-	-
--FEMA/FIRM maps	-	-
--Photographs	-	-
----Aerial	-	-
--Applicable/supporting case law	-	-

--Other information

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:****Description**

Site visit on September 10, 2010 confirms isolated status of wellands.

- <sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
- <sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
- <sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
- <sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
- <sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
- <sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
- <sup>7</sup>-Ibid.
- <sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.
- <sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
- <sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 28-Sep-2010

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, LRC-2010-00387-JD2

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State : IL - Illinois  
County/parish/borough: DuPage  
City: Naperville  
Lat: 41.79629  
Long: -88.23053

Universal Transverse Mercator

Folder UTM List  
UTM list determined by folder location

- NAD83 / UTM zone 16N

Waters UTM List  
UTM list determined by waters location

- NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waubensee Creek

Name of nearest waterbody:

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Fox River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 071200070107

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:

- Office Determination Date: 24-Sep-2010
- Field Determination Date(s): 11-Aug-2010

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There [ ] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain:

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There  "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
LRC-2010-387 Wetland 8	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Area: (m<sup>2</sup>)  
Linear: (m)

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on:   
OHWM Elevation: (if known)

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:  
Wetland area 8 was assessed during a site visit and determined to not be hydrologically connected

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs**

1. TNW  
Not Applicable.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW  
Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):**

**1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) General Area Conditions:**

Watershed size:  [ ]

Drainage area:  [ ]

Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: inches

**(ii) Physical Characteristics**

**(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through  tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are  river miles from TNW.

Project waters are  river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are  aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are  aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:**

**Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>**

**Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:**

Tributary is:

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**  
Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics, Channel supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**  
**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**  
Properties:  
Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:  
Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  
Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:  
Not Applicable.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:  
Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:  
Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:  
Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:  
Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>  
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  
Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>  
Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED (INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE) WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate/Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
LRC-2010-387 Wetland 8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
LRC-2010-387 Wetland 8	-	-

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2010-387 Wetland 8	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1254.52536
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1254.52536</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2010-387 Wetland 8	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1254.52536
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1254.52536</b>

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2010-387 Wetland 8	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	1254.52536
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1254.52536</b>

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed		Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant		location map	-
--USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.		soil map	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).		NWI map	-
--State/Local wetland inventory map(s):		dupage county wetland map	-
--Photographs		-	-
--Aerial		aerial photos	-
--Other information		DuPage County Floodplain Map	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Not Applicable.

- 1-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
- 2-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
- 3-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
- 4-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the and West.
- 5-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
- 6-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
- 7-Ibid.
- 8-See Footnote #3.
- 9 -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
- 10 -Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 23-Sep-2010

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Chicago District, LRC-2010-00569-JD1

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State : IL - Illinois  
County/parish/borough: DuPage  
City: Unincorporated DuPage County  
Lat: 41.83002  
Long: -88.18015  
Universal Transverse Mercator  
Folder UTM List  
UTM list determined by folder location  
• NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waters UTM List  
UTM list determined by waters location  
• NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
West Branch DuPage River

Name of nearest waterbody:  
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Des Plaines River  
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 071200040805

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:

Office Determination Date: 23-Sep-2010  
 Field Determination Date(s): 16-Sep-2010

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There [ ] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  
Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain:

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There  "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
LR-2010-569 Sand Pond	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Area: (m<sup>2</sup>)  
Linear: (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:**

based on:

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Sand Pond is a 3.5 acre pond with fringe palustrine wetlands along the banks. The pond is not hydrologically connected to the pond directly south, nor is it connected to the Springbrook Tributary or the West Branch of the DuPage River

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs**

**1. TNW**  
Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**  
Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):**

**1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) General Area Conditions:**

Watershed size:    
Drainage area:    
Average annual rainfall: inches   
Average annual snowfall: inches

**(ii) Physical Characteristics**

**(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.   
 Tributary flows through  tributaries before entering TNW.   
: Number of tributaries

Project waters are  river miles from TNW.   
Project waters are  river miles from RPW.   
Project Waters are  aerial (straight) miles from TNW.   
Project waters are  aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

**Explain:**

**Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>**

**Tributary Stream Order, if known:**   
Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:**

**Tributary is:**   
Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**   
Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**   
Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**   
Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**  
Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**  
**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**  
Properties:  
Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:  
Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:  
Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

**1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:**  
Not Applicable.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

**3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**<sup>8</sup>  
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**  
Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  
Not Applicable.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:**<sup>9</sup>  
Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED (INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE) WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:**<sup>10</sup>

Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
LRC-2010-569 Sand Pond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
LRC-2010-569 Sand Pond	-	-

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2010-569 Sand Pond	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	4168.26168
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>4168.26168</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS**

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
- Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2010-569 Sand Pond	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	4168.26168
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>4168.26168</b>

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
LRC-2010-569 Sand Pond	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	4168.26168
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>4168.26168</b>

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
--Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Location map	-
--U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas	Hydro Atlas	-
--USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	Soil Survey	-
--National wetlands inventory map(s).	NWM Map	-
--Other information	DuPage County Reg. Flood Map	-
--Other information	DuPage County Wetland Map	-

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Not Applicable.

- 1-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
- 2-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
- 3-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
- 4-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
- 5-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
- 6-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
- 7-Ibid.
- 8-See Footnote #3.
- 9 -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
- 10 -Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

JD Status: DRAFT

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** 21-Oct-2010

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, LRC-2010-00141-JD2

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

**State :** IL - Illinois  
**County/parish/borough:** Cook  
**City:**  
**Lat:** 41.53891  
**Long:** -87.53486  
**Universal Transverse Mercator** Folder UTM List  
*UTM list determined by folder location*  
 • NAD83 / UTM zone 16N  
Waters UTM List  
*UTM list determined by waters location*

**Name of nearest waterbody:**  
**Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):**  
**Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):**

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office Determination Date: 08-Sep-2010  
 Field Determination Date(s): 07-Oct-2010

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION**

There  "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

**Explain:**

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There  "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:<sup>1</sup>**

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Wetland 2, 3, and 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

**Area:** (m<sup>2</sup>)  
**Linear:** (m)

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:**based on: 

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:  
Wetlands 2, 3, and 4 are not hydrologically isolated except for large rain events.

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS****A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs****1. TNW**

Not Applicable.

**2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW**

Not Applicable.

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):****1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW****(i) General Area Conditions:**Watershed size: Drainage area: 

Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: inches

**(ii) Physical Characteristics****(a) Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through  tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are  river miles from TNW.Project waters are  river miles from RPW.Project Waters are  aerial (straight) miles from TNW.Project waters are  aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:<sup>5</sup>**Tributary Stream Order, if known:**

Not Applicable.

**(b) General Tributary Characteristics:**

Tributary is:

Not Applicable.

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Not Applicable.

**Primary tributary substrate composition:**

Not Applicable.

**Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):**

Not Applicable.

**(c) Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface Flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**Tributary has:**  
Not Applicable.

**If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:**

**High Tide Line indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**Mean High Water Mark indicated by:**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**  
Not Applicable.

**(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

**(i) Physical Characteristics:**  
**(a) General Wetland Characteristics:**  
**Properties:**  
Not Applicable.

**(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

**Flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Surface flow is:**  
Not Applicable.

**Subsurface flow:**  
Not Applicable.

**(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:**  
Not Applicable.

**(ii) Chemical Characteristics:**  
**Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).**  
Not Applicable.

**(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:**  
Not Applicable.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):**

**All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:**  
Not Applicable.

**Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:**  
 Not Applicable.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:**

**1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:**  
 Not Applicable.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
 Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**  
 Not Applicable.

**3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:<sup>8</sup>**  
 Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**  
 Not Applicable.

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**  
 Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
 Not Applicable.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
 Not Applicable.

**Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
 Not Applicable.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:**  
 Not Applicable.

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:**  
 Not Applicable.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:<sup>9</sup>**  
 Not Applicable.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:<sup>10</sup>**

Waters Name	Interstate\Foreign Travelers	Fish/Shellfish Commerce	Industrial Commerce	Interstate Isolated	Explain	Other Factors	Explain
Wetland 2, 3, and 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Water Name	Adjacent To TNW Rationale	TNW Rationale
Wetland 2, 3, and 4	-	-

**Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland 2, 3, and 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	3358.89048
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3358.89048</b>

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS**

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
- Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:**

Water Name	Type	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m <sup>2</sup> )
Wetland 2, 3, and 4	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	-	3358.89048
<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3358.89048</b>

**Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.**

Not Applicable.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD**

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):  
Not Applicable.

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Not Applicable.

<sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.  
<sup>2</sup>-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).  
<sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.  
<sup>4</sup>-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.  
<sup>5</sup>-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.  
<sup>6</sup>-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.  
<sup>7</sup>-Ibid.  
<sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.  
<sup>9</sup>-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.  
<sup>10</sup>-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.