

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 31, 2018**

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, The Conservancy North, LRC-2018-113

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:** NW of Galligan & Freeman Roads

State: Illinois County/parish/borough: **Kane** City: Gilberts  
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.136277°N, Long. -88.376715° W.  
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: S. Br. Kishwaukee River

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: **Rock River**

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): **Kishwaukee (07090006)**

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: August 6, 2018

Field Determination. Date(s): February 26, May 23 & July 6, 2018

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>1</sup>**

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: **Area 1 is a depressional emergent wetland in the farm field with no connection to any ditches or the creek.**

**Area 4 is likely an old oxbow of the creek at the base of a hill, which is now cut off from the creek likely due to ditching activities historically. Area 5 is an impounded area against the access road. Area 7B is a shallow isolated wetland in the farm field with a saddle between it and Area 8. Area 11B is an emergent wetland in the corner of a farm field that impounds against the old farm row breaks. Area 14 is a shallow isolated depression in the farm field with no connections. Area 16 is a small isolated wetland pocket with no connections.**

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>2</sup>**

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .

Other factors. Explain: .

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:** .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

Wetlands: acres.

<sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>2</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: 6.91 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: V3 Companies Wetland Delineation and Assessment Report dated January 24, 2018.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:Huntley HA 361, 1971, .
  - USGS NHD data.
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Huntley 7.5", 1992, Pick List, Pick List, .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Huntley, .
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Kane County ADID, Pick List, .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date): .  
or  Other (Name & Date): .
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Walked property over 3 days, and reviewed historical aerials and GIS.**

- Area(s) are geographically isolated.
- Area(s) do not have a hydrologic nexus.
- Area(s) do not have an ecological nexus.
- Area(s) do not have evidence of a subsurface flow connection to a jurisdictional water.
- Area(s) do not have evidence of surface overland sheet flow.
- Area(s) are not located within the flood plain.

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 10, 2018**

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Chicago District, Compass Business Park, LRC-2018-249

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:** Mississippi Road and S. Chicago Road

State: Illinois County/parish/borough: Will City: Elwood  
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.400568°N, Long. -88.101166° W.  
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: Jackson Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: **Des Plaines River**

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): **Des Plaines (07120004)**

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  
 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 18, 2018  
 Field Determination. Date(s): July 3, 2018

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>1</sup>**

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **Wetland 2 is fed by Farmed Wetland 2, which is a sloped drainage feature; which then continues off-site via another farmed wetland before water dissipates and disappears underground. There is no surface water connection to any flowing water of the U.S.. Wetland 3 is a hole at the terminus of two major upland drainageways where water ponds and evaporates, and/or is taken by subsurface tiles, so is isolated. Wetland 4 is a small shallow isolated wetland in a soybean field that has no surface water connection to any flowing water of the U.S. Farmed Wetlands 1, 2 & 3 are all long linear sloped drainages in the farm fields that occasionally convey flow, then disappear underground, likely by subsurface drain tiles, and have no surface water connection to any flowing water of the U.S., so are isolated.**

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>2</sup>**

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.  
 from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  
 which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  
 Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .  
 Other factors. Explain: .

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:** .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  
 Other non-wetland waters: acres.  
Identify type(s) of waters: .  
 Wetlands: acres.

<sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>2</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: 3.22 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: EnCAP, Inc. Wetland Delineation Report dated December 13, 2017.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:Elwood HA 254, 1967, .
  - USGS NHD data.
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Elwood 7.5", 1993, Pick List, Pick List, Pick List, .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Elwood, .
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Pick List, .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date): .  
or  Other (Name & Date): .
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .


**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Site visit on July 3, 2018 to walk entire property and all wetland boundaries to determine if any flow connections.**

- Area(s) are geographically isolated. Closed isolated wetland features with no outlets.
- Area(s) do not have a hydrologic nexus. .
- Area(s) do not have an ecological nexus. .
- Area(s) do not have evidence of a subsurface flow connection to a jurisdictional water. .
- Area(s) do not have evidence of surface overland sheet flow. .
- Area(s) are not located within the flood plain. .



®

## Regulatory Program



®

### **INTERIM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**

#### **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in the Interim Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form User Manual.

### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (AJD):** Decembe 3, 2019

**B. ORM NUMBER IN APPROPRIATE FORMAT (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ):** LRC-2019-924

#### **C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Illinois

County/parish/borough: Lake

City: Gurnee

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.369427, Long. -87.885381.

Map(s)/diagram(s) of review area (including map identifying single point of entry (SPOE) watershed and/or potential jurisdictional areas where applicable) is/are:  attached  in report/map titled Wetland Assessment Report, Carwash Redevelopment; Grand Avenue at Lawrence Avenue, Gurnee, Lake County, Illinois dated September 6, 2018, prepared by Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL).

Other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different jurisdictional determination (JD) form. List JD form ID numbers (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ-1):

#### **D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office (Desk) Determination Only. Date: November 25, 2019.

Office (Desk) and Field Determination. Office/Desk Dates: Field Date(s):

### **SECTION II: DATA SOURCES**

Check all that were used to aid in the determination and attach data/maps to this AJD form and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Title/Date: Wetland Assessment Report, Carwash Redevelopment; Grand Avenue at Lawrence Avenue, Gurnee, Lake County, Illinois dated September 6, 2018, prepared by Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL).

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

Data sheets/delineation report are sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Title/Date: Wetland Assessment Report, Carwash Redevelopment; Grand Avenue at Lawrence Avenue, Gurnee, Lake County, Illinois dated September 6, 2018, prepared by Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL).

Data sheets/delineation report are not sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Summarize rationale and include information on revised data sheets/delineation report that this AJD form has relied upon:

Revised Title/Date:

Data sheets prepared by the Corps. Title/Date:

Corps navigable waters study. Title/Date:

CorpsMap ORM map layers. Title/Date:

USGS Hydrologic Atlas. Title/Date: Libertyville.

USGS, NHD, or WBD data/maps. Title/Date:

USGS 8, 10 and/or 12 digit HUC maps. HUC number: Libertyville.

USGS maps. Scale & quad name and date: Libertyville.

USDA NRCS Soil Survey. Citation:

USFWS National Wetlands Inventory maps. Citation: Libertyville.

State/Local wetland inventory maps. Citation: Lake County ADID.

FEMA/FIRM maps. Citation:

Photographs:  Aerial. Citation: . or  Other. Citation: .

- LiDAR data/maps. Citation:
- Previous JDs. File no. and date of JD letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify): Site visit on January 11, 2019 to walk property and view surrounding topography.

### **SECTION III: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**Complete ORM "Aquatic Resource Upload Sheet" or Export and Print the Aquatic Resource Water Droplet Screen from ORM for All Waters and Features, Regardless of Jurisdictional Status – Required**

#### **A. RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT (RHA) SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION:**

- "navigable waters of the U.S." within RHA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

- **Complete Table 1 - Required**

*NOTE:* If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Section 10 navigable waters list, **DO NOT USE THIS FORM TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION.** The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Section 10 RHA navigability determination.

#### **B. CLEAN WATER ACT (CWA) SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION: "waters of the U.S." within CWA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328.3) in the review area. Check all that apply.**

- (a)(1): All waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. (Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs))
  - **Complete Table 1 - Required**
  - This AJD includes a case-specific (a)(1) TNW (Section 404 navigable-in-fact) determination on a water that has not previously been designated as such. Documentation required for this case-specific (a)(1) TNW determination is attached.
- (a)(2): All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands.
  - **Complete Table 2 - Required**
- (a)(3): The territorial seas.
  - **Complete Table 3 - Required**
- (a)(4): All impoundments of waters otherwise identified as waters of the U.S. under 33 CFR part 328.3.
  - **Complete Table 4 - Required**
- (a)(5): All tributaries, as defined in 33 CFR part 328.3, of waters identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
  - **Complete Table 5 - Required**
- (a)(6): All waters adjacent to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3, including wetlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters.
  - **Complete Table 6 - Required**
  - Bordering/Contiguous.
    - Neighboring:
      - (c)(2)(i): All waters located within 100 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
      - (c)(2)(ii): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 and not more than 1,500 feet of the OHWM of such water.
      - (c)(2)(iii): All waters located within 1,500 feet of the high tide line of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1) or (a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3, and all waters within 1,500 feet of the OHWM of the Great Lakes.
- (a)(7): All waters identified in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)(i)-(v) where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
  - **Complete Table 7 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**
  - Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.
- (a)(8): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3 not covered by (c)(2)(ii) above and all waters located within 4,000 feet of the high tide line or OHWM of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 where they are determined on a

case-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 8 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

### C. NON-WATERS OF THE U.S. FINDINGS:

#### **Check all that apply.**

The review area is comprised entirely of dry land.

Potential-(a)(7) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

Potential-(a)(8) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

Excluded Waters (Non-Waters of U.S.), even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(4)-(a)(8):

- **Complete Table 10 - Required**

(b)(1): Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of the CWA.

(b)(2): Prior converted cropland.

(b)(3)(i): Ditches with ephemeral flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary.

(b)(3)(ii): Ditches with intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary, excavated in a tributary, or drain wetlands.

(b)(3)(iii): Ditches that do not flow, either directly or through another water, into a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3).

(b)(4)(i): Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land should application of water to that area cease.

(b)(4)(ii): Artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds, irrigation ponds, settling basins, fields flooded for rice growing, log cleaning ponds, or cooling ponds.

(b)(4)(iii): Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(4)(iv): Small ornamental waters created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(4)(v): Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to mining or construction activity, including pits excavated for obtaining fill, sand, or gravel that fill with water.

(b)(4)(vi): Erosional features, including gullies, rills, and other ephemeral features that do not meet the definition of tributary, non-wetland swales, and lawfully constructed grassed waterways.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(4)(vii): Puddles.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(5): Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(6): Stormwater control features constructed to convey, treat, or store stormwater that are created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(7): Wastewater recycling structures created in dry land; detention and retention basins built for wastewater recycling; groundwater recharge basins; percolation ponds built for wastewater recycling; and water distributary structures built for wastewater recycling.

Other non-jurisdictional waters/features within review area that do not meet the definitions in 33 CFR 328.3 of (a)(1)-(a)(8) waters and are not excluded waters identified in (b)(1)-(b)(7).

- **Complete Table 11 - Required.**

### D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT AJD:

<sup>1</sup> In many cases these excluded features will not be specifically identified on the AJD form, unless specifically requested. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these features within the review area.

**Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.**

**Table 1. (a)(1) Traditional Navigable Waters**

<b>(a)(1) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1) Criteria</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(1) Designation Include High Tide Line or Ordinary High Water Mark indicators, when applicable.</b>
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A

**Table 2. (a)(2) Interstate Waters**

<b>(a)(2) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(2) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A

**Table 3. (a)(3) Territorial Seas**

<b>(a)(3) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(3) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A

**Table 4. (a)(4) Impoundments**

<b>(a)(4) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(4) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A



**Table 5. (a)(5) Tributaries**

<b>(a)(5) Waters Name</b>	<b>Flow Regime</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this (a)(5) Tributary Flows</b>	<b>Tributary Breaks</b>	<b>Rationale for (a)(5) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify flowpath to (a)(1)-(a)(3) water or attach map identifying the flowpath; explain any breaks or flow through excluded/non-jurisdictional features, etc.</b>
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A

**Table 6. (a)(6) Adjacent Waters**

<b>(a)(6) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(5) Water Name to which this Water is Adjacent</b>	<b>Rationale for (a)(6) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify the type of water and how the limits of jurisdiction were established (e.g., wetland, 87 Manual/Regional Supplement); explain how the 100-year floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; whether this water extends beyond a threshold; explain if the water is part of a mosaic, etc.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 7. (a)(7) Waters**

<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>(a)(7) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; discuss whether any similarly situated waters were present and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 8. (a)(8) Waters**

<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>(a)(8) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to subject water and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and then summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect the on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Non-Jurisdictional Waters**

**Table 9. Non-Waters/No Significant Nexus**

<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>Non-(a)(7)/(a)(8) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water DOES NOT have a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Basis for Determination that the Functions DO NOT Contribute Significantly to the Chemical, Physical, or Biological Integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) Water. Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to the subject water; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters did not have more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 10. Non-Waters/Excluded Waters and Features**

<b>Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water Name</b>	<b>Rationale for Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.</b>
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A


**Table 11. Non-Waters/Other**

<b>Other Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water Name</b>	<b>Rationale for Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.</b>
Wetland 1	Closed localized depressional wetland feature under authority of Lake County.



®

## Regulatory Program



®

### **INTERIM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**

#### **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in the Interim Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form User Manual.

### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (AJD):** 20 December 2019

**B. ORM NUMBER IN APPROPRIATE FORMAT (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ):** LRC-2003-22401 (200301000)

#### **C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Illinois

County/parish/borough: Cook

City: Chicago

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.992762, Long. -87.90237.

Map(s)/diagram(s) of review area (including map identifying single point of entry (SPOE) watershed and/or potential jurisdictional areas where applicable) is/are:  attached  in report/map titled (see delineation report, below).

Other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different jurisdictional determination (JD) form. List JD form ID numbers (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ-1):

#### **D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office (Desk) Determination Only. Date:

Office (Desk) and Field Determination. Office/Desk Dates: 12-19 Dec 2019 Field Date(s): 4 October 2019.

### **SECTION II: DATA SOURCES**

Check all that were used to aid in the determination and attach data/maps to this AJD form and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Title/Date: Included in the delineation report, titled "ORD WOUS Delineation USACE AJD Transmittal" by Mead & Hunt, dated 31 October 2019.

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

Data sheets/delineation report are sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Title/Date: Included in the delineation report cited above.

Data sheets/delineation report are not sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Summarize rationale and include information on revised data sheets/delineation report that this AJD form has relied upon:

Revised Title/Date:

Data sheets prepared by the Corps. Title/Date:

Corps navigable waters study. Title/Date:

CorpsMap ORM map layers. Title/Date:

USGS Hydrologic Atlas. Title/Date:

USGS, NHD, or WBD data/maps. Title/Date:

USGS 8, 10 and/or 12 digit HUC maps. HUC number:

USGS maps. Scale & quad name and date: 7.5 minute quadrangle, Elmhurst Ill, 1928 ed.; 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle, Arlington Heights Ill., 1927 ed.

USDA NRCS Soil Survey. Citation:

USFWS National Wetlands Inventory maps. Citation:

State/Local wetland inventory maps. Citation:

FEMA/FIRM maps. Citation: As shown on the National Flood Hazard Layer GIS service, accessed 12-19 December 2019.

Photographs:  Aerial. Citation: 1939 and 2003 aerial photography in the USACE GIS files, and 18 June 2019, 5 August 2019, and 25 July 2018 DigitalGlobe aeriels. or  Other. Citation: As included in above-cited delineation report.

- LiDAR data/maps. Citation:
- Previous JDs. File no. and date of JD letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify):

**SECTION III: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**Complete ORM "Aquatic Resource Upload Sheet" or Export and Print the Aquatic Resource Water Droplet Screen from ORM for All Waters and Features, Regardless of Jurisdictional Status – Required**

**A. RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT (RHA) SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION:**

- "navigable waters of the U.S." within RHA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

- **Complete Table 1 - Required**

*NOTE:* If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Section 10 navigable waters list, DO NOT USE THIS FORM TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Section 10 RHA navigability determination.

**B. CLEAN WATER ACT (CWA) SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION:** "waters of the U.S." within CWA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328.3) in the review area. **Check all that apply.**

- (a)(1): All waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. (Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs))

- **Complete Table 1 - Required**

- This AJD includes a case-specific (a)(1) TNW (Section 404 navigable-in-fact) determination on a water that has not previously been designated as such. Documentation required for this case-specific (a)(1) TNW determination is attached.

- (a)(2): All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands.

- **Complete Table 2 - Required**

- (a)(3): The territorial seas.

- **Complete Table 3 - Required**

- (a)(4): All impoundments of waters otherwise identified as waters of the U.S. under 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 4 - Required**

- (a)(5): All tributaries, as defined in 33 CFR part 328.3, of waters identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 5 - Required**

- (a)(6): All waters adjacent to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3, including wetlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters.

- **Complete Table 6 - Required**

- Bordering/Contiguous.
    - Neighboring:

- (c)(2)(i): All waters located within 100 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- (c)(2)(ii): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 and not more than 1,500 feet of the OHWM of such water.

- (c)(2)(iii): All waters located within 1,500 feet of the high tide line of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1) or (a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3, and all waters within 1,500 feet of the OHWM of the Great Lakes.

- (a)(7): All waters identified in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)(i)-(v) where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 7 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

- Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

- (a)(8): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3 not covered by (c)(2)(ii) above and all waters located within 4,000 feet of the high tide line or OHWM of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 where they are determined on a case-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 8 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

**C. NON-WATERS OF THE U.S. FINDINGS:**

**Check all that apply.**

The review area is comprised entirely of dry land.

Potential-(a)(7) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

Potential-(a)(8) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

Excluded Waters (Non-Waters of U.S.), even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(4)-(a)(8):

- **Complete Table 10 - Required**

(b)(1): Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of the CWA.

(b)(2): Prior converted cropland.

(b)(3)(i): Ditches with ephemeral flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary.

(b)(3)(ii): Ditches with intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary, excavated in a tributary, or drain wetlands.

(b)(3)(iii): Ditches that do not flow, either directly or through another water, into a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3).

(b)(4)(i): Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land should application of water to that area cease.

(b)(4)(ii): Artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds, irrigation ponds, settling basins, fields flooded for rice growing, log cleaning ponds, or cooling ponds.

(b)(4)(iii): Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(4)(iv): Small ornamental waters created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(4)(v): Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to mining or construction activity, including pits excavated for obtaining fill, sand, or gravel that fill with water.

(b)(4)(vi): Erosional features, including gullies, rills, and other ephemeral features that do not meet the definition of tributary, non-wetland swales, and lawfully constructed grassed waterways.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(4)(vii): Puddles.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(5): Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(6): Stormwater control features constructed to convey, treat, or store stormwater that are created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>

(b)(7): Wastewater recycling structures created in dry land; detention and retention basins built for wastewater recycling; groundwater recharge basins; percolation ponds built for wastewater recycling; and water distributary structures built for wastewater recycling.

Other non-jurisdictional waters/features within review area that do not meet the definitions in 33 CFR 328.3 of (a)(1)-(a)(8) waters and are not excluded waters identified in (b)(1)-(b)(7).

- **Complete Table 11 - Required.**

**D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT AJD:**

<sup>1</sup> In many cases these excluded features will not be specifically identified on the AJD form, unless specifically requested. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these features within the review area.

**Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.**

**Table 1. (a)(1) Traditional Navigable Waters**

<b>(a)(1) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1) Criteria</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(1) Designation Include High Tide Line or Ordinary High Water Mark indicators, when applicable.</b>
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A

**Table 2. (a)(2) Interstate Waters**

<b>(a)(2) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(2) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A

**Table 3. (a)(3) Territorial Seas**

<b>(a)(3) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(3) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A

**Table 4. (a)(4) Impoundments**

<b>(a)(4) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(4) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A

**Table 5. (a)(5) Tributaries**

<b>(a)(5) Waters Name</b>	<b>Flow Regime</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this (a)(5) Tributary Flows</b>	<b>Tributary Breaks</b>	<b>Rationale for (a)(5) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify flowpath to (a)(1)-(a)(3) water or attach map identifying the flowpath; explain any breaks or flow through excluded/non-jurisdictional features, etc.</b>
Bensenville Ditch Sections 1, 2, 3	Perennial	Des Plaines River	Yes	Bensenville Ditch flows through a wetland (see the feature known as "SW120" in this JD), several culverts (with lengthy culverts at O'Hare Airport, the CP Rail Yard, and W North Ave and smaller road culverts in between) directly to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) traditionally navigable water.
Ditch 30	Intermittent	Des Plaines River	Yes	Ditch 30 is a former alignment of the Bensenville Ditch that flows through a long culvert system into the current alignment of the Bensenville Ditch, and from there follows the above-stated Bensenville Ditch flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.
Crystal Creek Sections 1, 2, 3, 4	Perennial	Des Plaines River	Yes	Crystal Creek flows through several culverts (with lengthy culverts at Interstate 290, a Canadian National Railroad Yard, a housing development and several smaller road culverts in-between) directly to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) traditionally-navigable water.
Willow Creek Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, South Sister	Perennial	Des Plaines River	Yes	Willow Creek flows through several culverts (with lengthy culverts at O'Hare Airport, Interstates 294 & 90, and several smaller road culverts in between) directly to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) traditionally navigable water.
North Sister Middle Sister	Perennial	Des Plaines River	Yes	These features are tributaries that each flow through two culverts and then directly into Willow Creek, and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.
Ditch 08	Intermittent	Des Plaines River	No	The features flows directly into the features known as the North Sister, Middle Sister, and South Sister, and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.
Tributary 1: Willow Creek	Perennial	Des Plaines River	No	This feature is a tributary that flows directly into Willow Creek, and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.
Tributary 2: Willow Creek	Perennial	Des Plaines River	Yes	This features is a tributary that flows through a stormwater basin to Willow Creek and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.



**Table 5. (a)(5) Tributaries**

<b>(a)(5) Waters Name</b>	<b>Flow Regime</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this (a)(5) Tributary Flows</b>	<b>Tributary Breaks</b>	<b>Rationale for (a)(5) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify flowpath to (a)(1)-(a)(3) water or attach map identifying the flowpath; explain any breaks or flow through excluded/non-jurisdictional features, etc.</b>
Tributary 3: Willow Creek	Perennial	Des Plaines River	No	This feature is a tributary that flows directly into Willow Creek, and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.
Higgins Creek Sections 2, 3	Perennial	Des Plaines River	Yes	This feature is a tributary that flows through two large road culverts, and then directly into Willow Creek, and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.
Ditch 03	Intermittent	Des Plaines River	Yes	This feature is a tributary visible on the 1939 aerial photography and 1920's USGS maps that flows through a large culvert under O'Hare Airport, where it flows directly into Willow Creek, and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.
Ditch 10	Intermittent	Des Plaines River	Yes	This feature is a tributary visible on the 1939 aerial photography and 1920's USGS maps that flows through a large culvert under West Higgins Road and a commercial development, then directly into Willow Creek, and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.
Ditch 13 - Section 2	Intermittent	Des Plaines River	No	This feature is a tributary that flows directly into Willow Creek, and from there follows the above-stated Willow Creek flowpath to the Des Plaines River, an a(1) TNW.

**Table 6. (a)(6) Adjacent Waters**

<b>(a)(6) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(5) Water Name to which this Water is Adjacent</b>	<b>Rationale for (a)(6) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify the type of water and how the limits of jurisdiction were established (e.g., wetland, 87 Manual/Regional Supplement); explain how the 100-year floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; whether this water extends beyond a threshold; explain if the water is part of a mosaic, etc.</b>
SW19-94 SW120 SE19-55	Bensenville Ditch	The OHWM of the Bensenville Ditch was determined in the field and the wetland boundaries were established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundaries are bordering the OHWM, the wetlands are a(6) waters.

**Table 6. (a)(6) Adjacent Waters**

<b>(a)(6) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(5) Water Name to which this Water is Adjacent</b>	<b>Rationale for (a)(6) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify the type of water and how the limits of jurisdiction were established (e.g., wetland, 87 Manual/Regional Supplement); explain how the 100-year floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; whether this water extends beyond a threshold; explain if the water is part of a mosaic, etc.</b>
SW19-44	Bensenville Ditch	The OHWM of the Bensenville Ditch was determined in the field and the wetland boundaries were established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundary is within 100 feet of the OHWM, the wetland is an a(6) water under the first neighboring definition.
SE19-46 SE19-47 SE19-60 SW19-37	Bensenville Ditch	The OHWM of the Bensenville Ditch was determined in the field and the wetland boundaries were established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundaries are within 1,500 feet of the OHWM and within the effective 100-year (Zone A) floodplain as shown on the effective FIRM, the wetlands are a(6) waters under the second neighboring definition.
SE19-97	Crystal Creek	The OHWM of Crystal Creek was determined in the field and the wetland boundary was established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundary is bordering the OHWM, the wetland is an a(6) water.
SE19-114 SE64	Crystal Creek	The OHWM of Willow Creek was determined in the field and the wetland boundaries were established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundaries are within 100 feet of the OHWM, the wetlands are a(6) waters under the first neighboring definition.
SE19-124	Crystal Creek	The OHWM of Crystal Creek was determined in the field and the wetland boundaries were established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundaries are within 1,500 feet of the OHWM and within the effective 100-year (Zone A) floodplain as shown on the effective FIRM, the wetlands are a(6) waters under the second neighboring definition.
NW19-01 NE19-116	Willow Creek	The OHWM of Willow Creek was determined in the field and the wetland boundaries were established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundaries are within 100 feet of the OHWM, the wetlands are a(6) waters under the first neighboring definition.
NE 41	Willow Creek	The OHWM of Willow Creek was determined in the field and the wetland boundary was established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundary is bordering the OHWM, the wetland is an a(6) water.
NW19-96	Tributary 1: Willow Creek	The OHWM of the Tributary 1: Willow Creek was determined in the field and the wetland boundary was established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundary is bordering the OHWM, the wetland is an a(6) water.
NW19-78	Tributary 2: Willow Creek	The OHWM of the Tributary 2: Willow Creek was determined in the field and the wetland boundary was established using the 1987 Manual/Regional Supplement, and since the established boundary is within 100 feet of the OHWM, the wetland is an a(6) water under the first neighboring definition.

**Table 7. (a)(7) Waters**

<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>(a)(7) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; discuss whether any similarly situated waters were present and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 8. (a)(8) Waters**

<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>(a)(8) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to subject water and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and then summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect the on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.</b>
Willow Creek	SE62, SE19-50, SE19-48, SE19-49, SE19-51, SE19-65, SE19-66, SE19-95, SE19-120, SE19-121, SE19-122, SE19-125	Des Plaines River	The Willow Creek SPOE is the watershed that flows into the Des Plaines River at the confluence with Willow Creek. It has an area of approximately 13,400 acres. The Ordinary High Water Mark of the (a)(1) through (a)(5) waters was determined in the field, as set out in the (a)(5) waters above, and the 4000-foot buffer was calculated in ArcGIS using the NAD83 Illinois State Plane East projection. These features are all within the SPOE, are all the same Cowardin type (PEM), share the same type of soils, vegetation, and landform classifications, and therefore are all sufficiently close together and have similar functions. Together, these features have a greater than speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical and chemical integrity of the Des Plaines River through sediment trapping, pollutant management, and runoff storage.
Bensenville Ditch - Crystal Creek	NE19-104, NE19-104A, NE19-104B, NE19-105, NE19-106, NE19-109, NE19-110, NE19-111, NE19-112, NE19-113, NE19-117, NE19-118, NE19-20, NE19-61, NE65, NW19-04, NW19-05, NW19-12, NW39, SE19-137, NW19-06, SE19-52, NE19-62, NE19-87, NE19-88, NW19-18, NW19-77, SE19-115, SE19-127, SE19-136, SE19-138	Des Plaines River	The Bensenville Ditch – Crystal Creek SPOE is a combined topographic watershed that is drained by both Bensenville Ditch in its south and west portions, and Crystal Creek in its eastern portion. Recent modifications to the proejct area, as well as the highly modified nature of Bensenville Ditch and Crystal Creek, have made it impracticable to distinguish between the two flowpaths to the Des Plaines River using the best available GIS data, and therefore they will be regarded as a SPOE watershed. The Ordinary High Water Mark of the (a)(1) through (a)(5) waters was determined in the field, as set out in the (a)(5) waters above, and the 4000-foot buffer was calculated in ArcGIS using the NAD83 Illinois State Plane East projection. These features are all within the SPOE, are all the same Cowardin system (PEM/PSS/PFO), share the same type of soils, vegetation, and landform classifications, and therefore are all sufficiently close together and have similar functions. Together, these features have a greater than speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical and chemical integrity of the Des Plaines River through sediment trapping, pollutant management, and runoff storage.

**Non-Jurisdictional Waters**

<b><u>Table 9. Non-Waters/No Significant Nexus</u></b>			
<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>Non-(a)(7)/(a)(8) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water DOES NOT have a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Basis for Determination that the Functions DO NOT Contribute Significantly to the Chemical, Physical, or Biological Integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) Water. Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to the subject water; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters did not have more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water.</b>
Bensenville Ditch – Crystal Creek	SE19-53, SE19-43, SE19-58, SE19-63, SE19-64, SW19-28, SW19-56, SW19-57, SW19-59, SW19-72, SW19-73, SW19-34	Des Plaines River	These features met the wetland criteria according to the 1987 Wetland Delineation manual and appropriate Regional Supplement, but are contained within the south stormwater treatment system and drain through a culvert system to the South Drainage Basin at O’Hare Airport, which discharges to the MWRD Deep Tunnel system and is eventually treated at a MWRD treatment plant., and accordingly are not hydrologically connected to the Des Plaines River. Because they are not physically connected, the likelihood of these features having effects on the chemical or physical integrity of the Des Plaines River is insubstantial. Because these features are on the airside of the O’Hare International Airport, which is controlled according to FAA regulations for wildlife, biological effects from these features on the Des Plaines River are also insubstantial.

<b><u>Table 10. Non-Waters/Excluded Waters and Features</u></b>	
<b>Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water Name</b>	<b>Rationale for Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.</b>
Ditches 01, 22, 25, 28; NW19-07, NW19-08, NW19-09, NE19-16, NE19-17, NE19-19, NE19-21, SW19-35, SW19-38, SW19-39, SE19-67, SW19-71, NW19-75, NW19-76, NW19-84, SW19-93, SE19-98, NE19-89, SE19-119, NE19-126, NE19-128, SE19-129, SE19-140, SE19-141, SW19-142, SE19-143, SE19-144, SE19-146	These ditches have one or more indicators of an OHWM, but have ephemeral flow, were not constructed in or relocating a tributary (as compared to 1939 aerial photography and 1928 USGS topographic maps). Accordingly, these are b(3)(i) excluded ditches.

**Table 10. Non-Waters/Excluded Waters and Features**

<b>Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water Name</b>	<b>Rationale for Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.</b>
Ditches 02, 04, 05, 06, 07, 09, 11, 13 (Section 1), 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 (PO Drainage), 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48; NE19-22, NW19-02, NW19-03, SE19-123, SE19-130, SE19-139	These ditches have one or more indicators of an OHWM, but have intermittent flow, were not constructed in or relocating a tributary (as compared to 1939 aerial photography and 1928 USGS topographic maps), and do not drain wetlands. Accordingly, these are b(3)(ii) excluded ditches.
Ditch 12	This Ditch does drain a wetland and has intermittent flow, but drains through a culvert system to the South Drainage Basin at O'Hare Airport, which discharges to the MWRD Deep Tunnel system and is eventually treated at a MWRD treatment plant. Accordingly, it does not drain to an (a)(1) through (a)(3) water and is a (b)(3)(iii) excluded ditch.
SE19-25, SE19-26, SE19-27, SE19-41, SE19-54, SE19-68, SW19-29, SW19-30, SW19-31, SW19-32, SW19-33, SW19-36, SW19-42, SW19-70, SW19-74	These ditches met the wetland criteria according to the 1987 Wetland Delineation manual and appropriate Regional Supplement, but drain through a culvert system to the South Drainage Basin at O'Hare Airport, which discharges to the MWRD Deep Tunnel system and is eventually treated at a MWRD treatment plant. Accordingly, it does not drain to an (a)(1) through (a)(3) water and is a (b)(3)(iii) excluded ditch.
NW19-103	This feature had one or more indicators of an OHWM and within that OHWM met the wetland criteria according to the 1987 Wetland Delineation manual and appropriate Regional Supplement, but is a ditch constructed in dry land. This ditch is not connected to a stream network and appears to connect and store local runoff. As such, it does not drain to an (a)(1) through (a)(3) water and is therefore an excluded (b)(3)(iii) water.
Erosional Features 1, 2, 3	These features are erosional features without an OHWM or Bed & Banks, and as such are excluded (b)(4)(vi) waters.
NE19-69	This feature met the wetland criteria according to the 1987 Wetland Delineation manual and appropriate Regional Supplement, but is a construction pit which has not been abandoned, and has no use in interstate or foreign commerce. Accordingly, this is an excluded (b)(4)(v) water.
NE19-13, NE19-14, NE19-15, NE19-23, NW19-10, NW19-11, SE19-131, SE19-24	These features met the wetland criteria according to the 1987 Wetland Delineation manual and appropriate Regional Supplement, but are part of stormwater control features constructed in dry land (as verified against 1939 and 2003 aerial photography). Accordingly, these are excluded (b)(6) waters.


**Table 11. Non-Waters/Other**

<b>Other Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water Name</b>	<b>Rationale for Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.</b>
NE19-107, NE19-108, NE19-80, NW19-79, NW19-81, NW19-82, NW19-83, NW19-90, NW19-91, NW19-92, SE19-100, SE19-132, SE19-133, SE19-134, SE19-135, SE19-145, SE19-85, SE19-86, SE19-99, SW19-101, SW19-102	These features met the wetland criteria according to the 1987 Wetland Delineation manual and appropriate Regional Supplement, but did not meet the criteria for adjacent (a)(6) waters. Furthermore, they are more than 4,000 feet from the OHWM of any (a)(1) through (a)(5) waters, and therefore cannot meet the definition of (a)(8) waters.



®

## Regulatory Program



®

### **INTERIM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**

#### **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in the Interim Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form User Manual.

### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (AJD):** December 11, 2019

**B. ORM NUMBER IN APPROPRIATE FORMAT (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ):** LRC-2014-865

#### **C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Illinois

County/parish/borough: Will

City: Bolingbrook

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.6614, Long. -88.09907.

Map(s)/diagram(s) of review area (including map identifying single point of entry (SPOE) watershed and/or potential jurisdictional areas where applicable) is/are:  attached  in report/map titled Request for a Jurisdictional Determination, Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, dated December 5, 2019.

Other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different jurisdictional determination (JD) form. List JD form ID numbers (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ-1):

#### **D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:**

Office (Desk) Determination Only. Date: December 11, 2019.

Office (Desk) and Field Determination. Office/Desk Dates: Field Date(s):

### **SECTION II: DATA SOURCES**

Check all that were used to aid in the determination and attach data/maps to this AJD form and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Title/Date: Request for a Jurisdictional Determination, Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, dated December 5, 2019.

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

Data sheets/delineation report are sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Title/Date: Request for a Jurisdictional Determination, Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, dated December 5, 2019.

Data sheets/delineation report are not sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Summarize rationale and include information on revised data sheets/delineation report that this AJD form has relied upon:

Revised Title/Date:

Data sheets prepared by the Corps. Title/Date:

Corps navigable waters study. Title/Date:

CorpsMap ORM map layers. Title/Date: Distance to nearest waterways.

USGS Hydrologic Atlas. Title/Date:

USGS, NHD, or WBD data/maps. Title/Date:

USGS 8, 10 and/or 12 digit HUC maps. HUC number:

USGS maps. Scale & quad name and date:

USDA NRCS Soil Survey. Citation:

USFWS National Wetlands Inventory maps. Citation:

State/Local wetland inventory maps. Citation:

FEMA/FIRM maps. Citation:

Photographs:  Aerial. Citation: or  Other. Citation:

LiDAR data/maps. Citation:

Previous JDs. File no. and date of JD letter:

Applicable/supporting case law:

- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify): ORM review shows nearest tributary is over 7000 feet away.

**SECTION III: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**Complete ORM "Aquatic Resource Upload Sheet" or Export and Print the Aquatic Resource Water Droplet Screen from ORM for All Waters and Features, Regardless of Jurisdictional Status – Required**

**A. RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT (RHA) SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION:**

"navigable waters of the U.S." within RHA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

- **Complete Table 1 - Required**

*NOTE:* If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Section 10 navigable waters list, DO NOT USE THIS FORM TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Section 10 RHA navigability determination.

**B. CLEAN WATER ACT (CWA) SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION:** "waters of the U.S." within CWA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328.3) in the review area. **Check all that apply.**

(a)(1): All waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. (Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs))

- **Complete Table 1 - Required**

This AJD includes a case-specific (a)(1) TNW (Section 404 navigable-in-fact) determination on a water that has not previously been designated as such. Documentation required for this case-specific (a)(1) TNW determination is attached.

(a)(2): All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands.

- **Complete Table 2 - Required**

(a)(3): The territorial seas.

- **Complete Table 3 - Required**

(a)(4): All impoundments of waters otherwise identified as waters of the U.S. under 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 4 - Required**

(a)(5): All tributaries, as defined in 33 CFR part 328.3, of waters identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 5 - Required**

(a)(6): All waters adjacent to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3, including wetlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters.

- **Complete Table 6 - Required**

Bordering/Contiguous.  
Neighboring:

(c)(2)(i): All waters located within 100 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

(c)(2)(ii): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 and not more than 1,500 feet of the OHWM of such water.

(c)(2)(iii): All waters located within 1,500 feet of the high tide line of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1) or (a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3, and all waters within 1,500 feet of the OHWM of the Great Lakes.

(a)(7): All waters identified in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)(i)-(v) where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 7 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**

Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

(a)(8): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3 not covered by (c)(2)(ii) above and all waters located within 4,000 feet of the high tide line or OHWM of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 where they are determined on a case-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.

- **Complete Table 8 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**



Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.

### C. NON-WATERS OF THE U.S. FINDINGS:

#### **Check all that apply.**

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land.
- Potential-(a)(7) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
- **Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**
- Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.
- Potential-(a)(8) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
- **Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis. - Required**
- Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established, normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.
- Excluded Waters (Non-Waters of U.S.), even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(4)-(a)(8):
- **Complete Table 10 - Required**
- (b)(1): Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of the CWA.
- (b)(2): Prior converted cropland.
- (b)(3)(i): Ditches with ephemeral flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary.
- (b)(3)(ii): Ditches with intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary, excavated in a tributary, or drain wetlands.
- (b)(3)(iii): Ditches that do not flow, either directly or through another water, into a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3).
- (b)(4)(i): Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land should application of water to that area cease.
- (b)(4)(ii): Artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds, irrigation ponds, settling basins, fields flooded for rice growing, log cleaning ponds, or cooling ponds.
- (b)(4)(iii): Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>
- (b)(4)(iv): Small ornamental waters created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>
- (b)(4)(v): Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to mining or construction activity, including pits excavated for obtaining fill, sand, or gravel that fill with water.
- (b)(4)(vi): Erosional features, including gullies, rills, and other ephemeral features that do not meet the definition of tributary, non-wetland swales, and lawfully constructed grassed waterways.<sup>1</sup>
- (b)(4)(vii): Puddles.<sup>1</sup>
- (b)(5): Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems.<sup>1</sup>
- (b)(6): Stormwater control features constructed to convey, treat, or store stormwater that are created in dry land.<sup>1</sup>
- (b)(7): Wastewater recycling structures created in dry land; detention and retention basins built for wastewater recycling; groundwater recharge basins; percolation ponds built for wastewater recycling; and water distributary structures built for wastewater recycling.
- Other non-jurisdictional waters/features within review area that do not meet the definitions in 33 CFR 328.3 of (a)(1)-(a)(8) waters and are not excluded waters identified in (b)(1)-(b)(7).
- **Complete Table 11 - Required.**

### D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT AJD:

<sup>1</sup> In many cases these excluded features will not be specifically identified on the AJD form, unless specifically requested. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these features within the review area.

**Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.**

**Table 1. (a)(1) Traditional Navigable Waters**

<b>(a)(1) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1) Criteria</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(1) Designation Include High Tide Line or Ordinary High Water Mark indicators, when applicable.</b>
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A

**Table 2. (a)(2) Interstate Waters**

<b>(a)(2) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(2) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A

**Table 3. (a)(3) Territorial Seas**

<b>(a)(3) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(3) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A

**Table 4. (a)(4) Impoundments**

<b>(a)(4) Waters Name</b>	<b>Rationale to Support (a)(4) Designation</b>
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A

**Table 5. (a)(5) Tributaries**

<b>(a)(5) Waters Name</b>	<b>Flow Regime</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this (a)(5) Tributary Flows</b>	<b>Tributary Breaks</b>	<b>Rationale for (a)(5) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify flowpath to (a)(1)-(a)(3) water or attach map identifying the flowpath; explain any breaks or flow through excluded/non-jurisdictional features, etc.</b>
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A	Choose an item.	N/A

**Table 6. (a)(6) Adjacent Waters**

<b>(a)(6) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(5) Water Name to which this Water is Adjacent</b>	<b>Rationale for (a)(6) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify the type of water and how the limits of jurisdiction were established (e.g., wetland, 87 Manual/Regional Supplement); explain how the 100-year floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; whether this water extends beyond a threshold; explain if the water is part of a mosaic, etc.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 7. (a)(7) Waters**

<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>(a)(7) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; discuss whether any similarly situated waters were present and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 8. (a)(8) Waters**

<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>(a)(8) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to subject water and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and then summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect the on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Non-Jurisdictional Waters**

**Table 9. Non-Waters/No Significant Nexus**

<b>SPOE Name</b>	<b>Non-(a)(7)/(a)(8) Waters Name</b>	<b>(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water DOES NOT have a Significant Nexus</b>	<b>Basis for Determination that the Functions DO NOT Contribute Significantly to the Chemical, Physical, or Biological Integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) Water. Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to the subject water; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters did not have more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water.</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 10. Non-Waters/Excluded Waters and Features**

<b>Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water Name</b>	<b>Rationale for Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.</b>
N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A

**Table 11. Non-Waters/Other**

<b>Other Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water Name</b>	<b>Rationale for Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.</b>
Wetland	Wetland is a small shallow pocket along a roadside in an industrial/commercial development; and the closest tributaries are well beyond 4000 feet.